STATE OF MINNESOTA

EIGHTY-FIRST SESSION — 1999

FIFTY-SECOND DAY

SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA, TUESDAY, APRIL 27, 1999

The House of Representatives convened at 10:00 a.m. and was called to order by Steve Sviggum, Speaker of the House.

Music was performed by Representative Kris Hasskamp from District 12A, Crosby, Minnesota.

The members of the House gave the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following members were present:

Abeler	Dorman	Holsten	Lindner	Paulsen	Storm
Abrams	Dorn	Howes	Luther	Pawlenty	Swenson
Anderson, B.	Entenza	Huntley	Mahoney	Paymar	Sykora
Anderson, I.	Erhardt	Jaros	Mares	Pelowski	Tomassoni
Bakk	Erickson	Jennings	Mariani	Peterson	Trimble
Biernat	Finseth	Johnson	McCollum	Pugh	Tuma
Bishop	Folliard	Juhnke	McElroy	Rest	Tunheim
Boudreau	Fuller	Kahn	McGuire	Reuter	Van Dellen
Bradley	Gerlach	Kalis	Milbert	Rhodes	Vandeveer
Broecker	Gleason	Kelliher	Molnau	Rifenberg	Wagenius
Buesgens	Goodno	Kielkucki	Mulder	Rostberg	Wenzel
Carlson	Greenfield	Knoblach	Mullery	Rukavina	Westerberg
Carruthers	Greiling	Koskinen	Murphy	Schumacher	Westfall
Cassell	Gunther	Krinkie	Ness	Seagren	Westrom
Chaudhary	Haake	Kubly	Nornes	Seifert, J.	Wilkin
Clark, J.	Haas	Kuisle	Olson	Seifert, M.	Winter
Clark, K.	Hackbarth	Larsen, P.	Opatz	Skoe	Wolf
Daggett	Harder	Larson, D.	Orfield	Skoglund	Workman
Davids	Hasskamp	Leighton	Osskopp	Smith	Spk. Sviggum
Dawkins	Hausman	Lenczewski	Osthoff	Solberg	
Dehler	Hilty	Leppik	Otremba	Stanek	
Dempsey	Holberg	Lieder	Ozment	Stang	

A quorum was present.

Wejcman was excused.

Gray was excused until 11:15 a.m. Marko, Munger and Tingelstad were excused until 11:30 a.m.

The Chief Clerk proceeded to read the Journal of the preceding day. Rifenberg moved that further reading of the Journal be suspended and that the Journal be approved as corrected by the Chief Clerk. The motion prevailed.

REPORTS OF CHIEF CLERK

S. F. No. 1002 and H. F. No. 1055, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Fuller moved that the rules be so far suspended that S. F. No. 1002 be substituted for H. F. No. 1055 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 1219 and H. F. No. 1303, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Goodno moved that the rules be so far suspended that S. F. No. 1219 be substituted for H. F. No. 1303 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 1329 and H. F. No. 1140, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Leighton moved that the rules be so far suspended that S. F. No. 1329 be substituted for H. F. No. 1140 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 1615 and H. F. No. 1933, which had been referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison, were examined and found to be identical with certain exceptions.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Boudreau moved that the rules be so far suspended that S. F. No. 1615 be substituted for H. F. No. 1933 and that the House File be indefinitely postponed. The motion prevailed.

SECOND READING OF SENATE BILLS

S. F. Nos. 1002, 1219, 1329 and 1615 were read for the second time.

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF HOUSE BILLS

The following House Files were introduced:

Rukavina, Mares, Bakk, Finseth and Anderson, I., introduced:

H. F. No. 2424, A bill for an act relating to public property; providing for consolidation of state and federal landholdings through land exchange; establishing a state forest; specifying powers and duties of state officers and employees; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 1; and 89.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources Policy.

Smith; Dawkins; Clark, K.; Biernat and Pawlenty introduced:

H. F. No. 2425, A bill for an act relating to landlord and tenant; recodifying the landlord and tenant law; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 72A.20, subdivision 23; 82.24, subdivision 7; 144.9504, subdivision 7; 144A.13, subdivision 2; 144D.06; 216C.30, subdivision 5; 299C.67, subdivisions 5 and 7; 299C.69; 327C.02, subdivision 2a; 327C.03, subdivision 4; 327C.10, subdivision 1; 327C.11, subdivision 1; 363.033; 462A.05, subdivision 15; 462C.05, subdivision 8; 469.156; 471A.03, subdivision 6; 481.02, subdivision 3; 484.013, subdivision 2; 487.17; 487.24; 488A.01, subdivisions 4a and 5; 488A.11; 488A.18, subdivisions 4 and 6; 491A.01, subdivision 9; 514.977; 515B.3-116; 515B.4-111; 576.01, subdivision 2; 609.33, subdivision 6; and 609.5317, subdivision 1; proposing coding for new law as Minnesota Statutes, chapter 504B; repealing Laws 1998, chapter 253, sections 1 to 79.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Civil Law.

Seifert, M.; Ness; Pelowski; Carlson; Cassell; Leppik and Reuter introduced:

H. F. No. 2426, A bill for an act relating to education; changing eligibility for the post-secondary enrollment options program; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 124D.09, subdivision 5.

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Education Policy.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

The following messages were received from the Senate:

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following House File, herewith returned, as amended by the Senate, in which amendments the concurrence of the House is respectfully requested:

H. F. No. 1079, A bill for an act relating to alcoholic beverages; authorizing acceptance of certain coupons by retailers of alcoholic beverages; authorizing issuance of on-sale and temporary on-sale intoxicating liquor licenses in Minneapolis, St. Paul, Detroit Lakes, Eden Prairie, International Falls, Marshall, Proctor, and Stillwater; providing for duration of on-sale intoxicating liquor licenses for seasonal tour boats; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 340A.404, subdivisions 2, 8, and by adding a subdivision; 340A.412, subdivision 4; and 340A.5071; repealing Laws 1998, chapter 364, section 13.

PATRICK E. FLAHAVEN, Secretary of the Senate

Paulsen moved that the House refuse to concur in the Senate amendments to H. F. No. 1079, that the Speaker appoint a Conference Committee of 3 members of the House, and that the House requests that a like committee be appointed by the Senate to confer on the disagreeing votes of the two houses. The motion prevailed.

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce that the Senate has concurred in and adopted the report of the Conference Committee on:

S. F. No. 778.

The Senate has repassed said bill in accordance with the recommendation and report of the Conference Committee. Said Senate File is herewith transmitted to the House.

PATRICK E. FLAHAVEN, Secretary of the Senate

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT ON S. F. NO. 778

A bill for an act relating to motor vehicles; requiring release of a security interest in a vehicle to be acted on within seven days if satisfied by a dealer; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 168A.20.

April 20, 1999

The Honorable Allan H. Spear President of the Senate

The Honorable Steve Sviggum Speaker of the House of Representatives

We, the undersigned conferees for S. F. No. 778, report that we have agreed upon the items in dispute and recommend as follows:

That the Senate concur in the House amendment.

We request adoption of this report and repassage of the bill.

Senate Conferees: DALLAS C. SAMS, CAROL FLYNN AND WILLIAM V. BELANGER, JR.

House Conferees: WILLIAM KUISLE, CAROL L. MOLNAU AND AL JUHNKE.

Kuisle moved that the report of the Conference Committee on S. F. No. 778 be adopted and that the bill be repassed as amended by the Conference Committee. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 778, A bill for an act relating to motor vehicles; requiring release of a security interest in a vehicle to be acted on within seven days if satisfied by a dealer; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 168A.20.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended by Conference, and placed upon its repassage.

The question was taken on the repassage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 125 yeas and 0 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler Abrams Anderson, B. Anderson, I. Bakk Biernat Boudreau Bradley Broecker Buesgens Carlson Carruthers Cassell Clark, J.	Daggett Davids Dawkins Dehler Dempsey Dorman Dorn Entenza Erhardt Erickson Finseth Folliard Fuller Gerlach	Goodno Greenfield Greiling Gunther Haake Haas Hackbarth Harder Hasskamp Hausman Hilty Holberg Holsten Howes	Jaros Jennings Johnson Juhnke Kahn Kalis Kelliher Kielkucki Knoblach Koskinen Krinkie Kubly Kuisle Larsen, P.	Leighton Lenczewski Leppik Lieder Lindner Luther Mahoney Mares McCollum McElroy McGuire Milbert Molnau Mulder	Murphy Ness Nornes Olson Opatz Orfield Osskopp Osthoff Otremba Ozment Paulsen Pawlenty Paymar Pelowski
					Pelowski Peterson
*		•	•	•	

Pugh	Rukavina	Skoglund	Sykora	Vandeveer	Wilkin
Rest	Schumacher	Smith	Tomassoni	Wagenius	Winter
Reuter	Seagren	Solberg	Trimble	Wenzel	Wolf
Rhodes	Seifert, J.	Stang	Tuma	Westerberg	Workman
Rifenberg	Seifert, M.	Storm	Tunheim	Westfall	Spk. Sviggum
Rostberg	Skoe	Swenson	Van Dellen	Westrom	

The bill was repassed, as amended by Conference, and its title agreed to.

Mr. Speaker:

I hereby announce the passage by the Senate of the following Senate Files, herewith transmitted:

S. F. Nos. 2226 and 2234.

PATRICK E. FLAHAVEN, Secretary of the Senate

FIRST READING OF SENATE BILLS

S. F. No. 2226, A bill for an act relating to state government; appropriating money for environmental, natural resource, and agricultural purposes; establishing and modifying certain programs; providing for regulation of certain activities and practices; providing for accounts, assessments, and fees; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 14.386; 16A.531, by adding a subdivision; 16B.171, as amended; 17.038; 17.102, subdivision 4; 17.109, subdivisions 1 and 3; 17.115, subdivision 3; 17.116, subdivision 3; 17.117, subdivision 3; 17.457, subdivision 10; 17.59, subdivision 5; 17.85; 17.982, subdivision 1; 17.983, subdivision 1; 17A.11; 17B.15, subdivision 1; 18B.05, subdivision 1; 18B.26, subdivision 5; 18C.131; 18E.02, subdivision 5; 18E.03, subdivision 1; 21.115; 21.116; 21.90, subdivision 3; 21.92; 25.39, subdivision 4; 27.07, subdivision 6; 28A.08, subdivision 3; 29.22, subdivision 5; 31.94; 31.95, subdivision 3a; 31B.06; 32.21, subdivision 4; 32.394, subdivision 9; 41B.044, subdivision 2; 84.027, subdivision 15; 84.0855, subdivision 2, and by adding a subdivision; 84.81, by adding a subdivision; 84.8205, by adding a subdivision; 84.83, subdivisions 3 and 4; 84.86, subdivision 1; 84.862, subdivisions 1 and 2; 84.872, subdivision 1; 84.91, subdivision 1; 84.98, subdivision 6; 84A.55, subdivision 5; 85.015, subdivision 4, and by adding a subdivision; 85.019, subdivision 2, and by adding subdivisions; 85.40, subdivision 5; 85.41, subdivisions 1, 4, and 5; 85.42; 85.44; 85.45, subdivision 1; 88.067; 89A.01, by adding a subdivision; 89A.02; 89A.03; 89A.04; 89A.05; 89A.06; 89A.07, subdivisions 3 and 5; 89A.10; 92.45; 92.46, subdivision 1; 97A.075, subdivision 1; 97B.020; 103G.271, subdivision 6; 115.55, subdivision 5a; 115A.908, subdivision 2; 115A.9651, subdivision 6; 115B.175, subdivision 2; 115B.39, subdivision 2; 115B.40, subdivisions 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8; 115B.405, subdivision 1; 115B.412, subdivision 3; 115B.42; 115B.43, subdivision 1; 115B.442, by adding a subdivision; 115B.445; 115B.48, subdivision 8; 116.072, subdivision 1; 116.073, subdivisions 1 and 2; 116O.09, subdivision 5; 169.121, subdivision 3; 169.1217, subdivisions 7a and 9; 169.123, subdivision 1; 171.07, subdivisions 12 and 13; 216C.41, subdivision 2; 223.17, subdivision 3; 231.16; 232.22, subdivision 3; 233.08; 236.02, subdivision 4; 290.431; 290.432; 446A.072, subdivision 4; 574.263; and 574.264, subdivision 1; Laws 1994, chapter 643, section 27, subdivision 2, as amended; Laws 1995, chapter 220, section 142, as amended; and Laws 1998, chapter 401, section 53; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 18; 28A; 31B; 41B; 84; 85; 97C; 103G; 115B; and 116; repealing Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 115A.981; 297H.13, subdivisions 3 and 6; and 473.845, subdivision 2.

The bill was read for the first time.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Pursuant to Article IV, Section 19, of the Constitution of the state of Minnesota, Holsten moved that the rule therein be suspended and an urgency be declared so that S. F. No. 2226 be given its second and third readings and be placed upon its final passage. The motion prevailed.

Holsten moved that the rules of the House be so far suspended that S. F. No. 2226 be given its second and third readings and be placed upon its final passage. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 2226 was read for the second time.

Holsten moved to amend S. F. No. 2226 as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert the following language of H. F. No. 2388, the second engrossment:

"ARTICLE 1

ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Section 1. [ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS.]

The sums shown in the columns marked "APPROPRIATIONS" are appropriated from the general fund, or another named fund, to the agencies and for the purposes specified in this article, to be available for the fiscal years indicated for each purpose. The figures "1999," "2000," and "2001," where used in this article, mean that the appropriation or appropriations listed under them are available for the year ending June 30, 1999, June 30, 2000, or June 30, 2001, respectively.

SUMMARY BY FUND

	1999	2000	2001	TOTAL
General	\$	\$181,667,000	\$178,169,000	\$359,836,000
Petroleum Tank		3,333,000	3,393,000	6,726,000
State Governmen Special Revenue	· ·	44,000	45,000	89,000
Environmental		27,808,000	22,601,000	50,409,000
Solid Waste		6,953,000	7,032,000	13,985,000
Natural Resource	s	24,683,000	23,908,000	48,591,000
Game and Fish		64,913,000	66,021,000	130,934,000
Minnesota Future Resources	e	15,177,000	830,000	16,007,000

Environmental Trust	991,000	13,004,000	13,005,000	26,009,000
Great Lakes Protection		200,000	-0-	200,000
TOTAL	\$991,000	\$337,782,000	\$315,004,000	\$653,777,000

15,908,000

APPROPRIATIONS Available for the Year Ending June 30 2000 2001

Sec. 2. POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

Subdivision 1. Total Appropriation

\$ 53,254,000 \$ 48,351,000

Summary by Fund

16,484,000	16,653,000
3,333,000	3,393,000
44,000	45,000
26,540,000	21,328,000
6,853,000	6,932,000
	3,333,000 44,000 26,540,000

The amounts that may be spent from this appropriation for each program are specified in the following subdivisions.

Subd. 2. Protection of the Water

	Summary by Fund	
General State Government	12,508,000	12,687,000
Special Revenue Environmental Petroleum Tank	44,000 2,616,000 250,000	45,000 3,176,000 -0-

15,418,000

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are for individual sewage treatment system (ISTS) grants. Any unexpended balance in the first year does not cancel, but is available in the second year.

\$1,375,000 the first year and \$1,375,000 the second year are for grants to local units of government for the clean water partnership program for phase II implementation projects. If the balance in either year is insufficient, the balance remaining in the other year is available for it.

\$265,000 the second year is for feedlot grants for county administration of the feedlot permit program, including inventories. These amounts are transferred to the board of water and soil resources for disbursement in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.3369, in cooperation with the pollution

control agency. Grants must be matched with a combination of local cash and/or in-kind contributions. Counties receiving these grants shall submit an annual report to the pollution control agency regarding activities conducted under the grant, expenditures made, and local match contributions. First priority for funding shall be given to counties that have requested and received delegation from the pollution control agency for processing of animal feedlot permit applications under Minnesota Statutes, section 116.07, subdivision 7. Delegated counties shall be eligible to receive a grant of either: \$50 multiplied by the number of livestock or poultry farms with sales greater than \$10,000, as reported in the 1997 census of Agriculture, published by the United States Bureau of Census; or \$80 multiplied by the number of feedlots with greater than ten animal units, as determined by a level 2 or level 3 feedlot inventory conducted in accordance with the Feedlot Inventory Guidebook published by the board of water and soil resources, dated June 1991. Any money remaining after the first year is available for the second year.

\$496,000 the second year is from the environmental fund contingent upon adoption of feedlot rule changes for staff and associated expenses for purposes of addressing issues relating to feedlots to improve water quality.

\$375,000 the first year and \$375,000 the second year are for total maximum daily load allocation studies to improve water quality.

\$250,000 the first year is from the petroleum tank release fund for the following purposes: (1) to purchase and distribute emergency spill response equipment, such as spill containment booms, sorbent pads, and installation tools, along the Mississippi river upstream of drinking water intakes at the locations designated by the agency in consultation with the Mississippi River Defense Network; (2) to purchase mobile trailers to contain the equipment in clause (1) so that rapid deployment can occur; and (3) to conduct spill response training for those groups of responders receiving the spill response equipment described in clause (1). The agency shall develop and administer protocol for the use of the equipment among all potential users, including private contract firms, public response agencies, and units of government. Any money remaining after the first year is available for the second year. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are for a grant to the University of Minnesota center for rural technology and cooperative development for the continued development of water quality cooperatives that own or control alternative discharging sewage systems as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 115.58, subdivision 1. The university must study and prepare a report to the legislature on the barriers to financing and permitting cost-effective innovative or alternative sewage treatment technologies, systems, methods, and processes under existing statutes, agency rules, and practices, and on the potential for such treatment technologies for reducing point and nonpoint

sources of water pollution. As a condition of this grant, the university must submit a work program and submit semiannual progress reports as provided in Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.05, subdivision 2, paragraph (c). This is a one-time appropriation.

\$100,000 for the biennium is for a grant to the Garrison, Kathio, West Mille Lacs Lake Sanitary District for the cost of environmental studies, planning, and legal assistance for sewage treatment purposes. This is a one-time appropriation.

Subd. 3. Protection of the Air

	7,871,000	8,023,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Environmental	181,000 7,690,000	142,000 7,881,000

\$181,000 the first year and \$142,000 the second year are for mercury reduction strategies other than education programs.

Subd. 4. Protection of the Land

	23,008,000	16,882,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Petroleum Tank Environmental Solid Waste	1,722,000 2,891,000 12,678,000 5,717,000	1,746,000 2,951,000 6,417,000 5,768,000

All money in the environmental response, compensation, and compliance account in the environmental fund not otherwise appropriated is appropriated to the commissioners of the pollution control agency and the department of agriculture for purposes of Minnesota Statutes, section 115B.20, subdivision 2, clauses (1), (2), (3), (4), (10), (11), and (12). At the beginning of each fiscal year, the two commissioners shall jointly submit an annual spending plan to the commissioner of finance that maximizes the utilization of resources and appropriately allocates the money between the two agencies. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2001.

\$136,000 the first year and \$139,000 the second year are from the solid waste fund for staff and associated expenses related to permitting, compliance, and response actions at eligible facilities under Minnesota Statutes, section 473.845.

\$196,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the solid waste fund to be transferred to the department of health for private water supply monitoring and health assessment costs in areas contaminated by unpermitted mixed municipal solid waste disposal facilities.

\$550,000 the first year and \$550,000 the second year are from the petroleum tank release fund for purposes of the leaking underground storage tank program to protect the land.

\$85,000 the first year is from the solid waste fund for a grant to Benton county to pay the principal amount due in fiscal year 2000 on bonds issued by the county to pay part of a final order or settlement of a lawsuit for environmental response costs at a mixed municipal solid waste facility. This money and any future money appropriated for this purpose must be apportioned by Benton county among the local units of government that were parties to the final order or settlement in the same proportion that the local units of government agreed to as their share of the liability. This is a one-time appropriation.

Subd. 5. General Support

	= =	
	7,207,000	7,538,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Petroleum Tank Environmental Solid Waste	2,073,000 442,000 3,556,000 1,136,000	2,078,000 442,000 3,854,000 1,164,000

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are for information system optimization for new regional office computers. \$263,000 the second year is appropriated from the environmental fund for system optimization and for an optical imaging system. This is a one-time appropriation.

Sec. 3. OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE

Summary by Fund

General	19,863,000	19,946,000
Environmental	1,268,000	1,273,000

\$14,008,000 each year is for SCORE block grants to counties.

\$500,000 the first year and \$500,000 the second year are for an increase in the environmental assistance grant program. This is a one-time appropriation.

Any unencumbered grant and loan balances in the first year do not cancel but are available for grants and loans in the second year.

All money in the metropolitan landfill abatement account in the environmental fund not otherwise appropriated is appropriated to the office of environmental assistance for the purposes of Minnesota Statutes, section 473.844.

21,131,000 21,219,000

Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 115A.54, subdivision 2, paragraph (h), and rules of the office of environmental assistance, an applicant that receives a grant from money appropriated in Laws 1998, chapter 404, section 8, for less than 25 percent of the total capital costs of a project may be issued a second grant for capital costs of the project from other money appropriated for capital assistance grants. For the purpose of the grants issued under this item, each grant phase of the project shall be considered a separate project, but not for purposes of determining the maximum grant assistance as provided in Minnesota Statutes, section 115A.54, subdivision 2a.

Sec. 4. ZOOLOGICAL BOARD

\$1,900,000 the first year and \$1,900,000 the second year are for operation of the zoo. This is a one-time appropriation.

The zoological board must submit a report to the governor and legislature by February 1, 2000, analyzing alternative governing structures, including, but not limited to, conversion to a private nonprofit or local governmental entity. The report must include analysis of the impact on ownership of the facility, impacts on employees, and ongoing costs to the state related to any changes in governance structure. Release of the 2001 appropriation is contingent upon making significant progress toward financial self-sufficiency.

Notwithstanding Laws 1994, chapter 643, section 27, subdivision 2, as amended by Laws 1996, chapter 463, section 54, the zoological board may institute an admission fee increase before April 1, 2000.

The director must determine and report to the environmental finance committees of the legislature on whether altering the hours and dates of operation would reduce the zoo's operating deficit by February 1, 2000.

Sec. 5. NATURAL RESOURCES

Subdivision 1. Total Appropriation

Summary by Fund

General	117,259,000	114,106,000
Natural Resources	24,683,000	23,908,000
Game and Fish	64,913,000	66,021,000
Solid Waste	100,000	100,000

The amounts that may be spent from this appropriation for each program are specified in the following subdivisions.

Subd. 2. Mineral Resources Management

5,054,000 5,164,000

7,349,000 7,429,000

206,955,000 204,135,000

\$311,000 the first year and \$311,000 the second year are for iron ore cooperative research, of which \$225,000 the first year and \$225,000 the second year are available only as matched by \$1 of nonstate money for each \$1 of state money. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the first year does not cancel but is available for the second year.

\$376,000 the first year and \$377,000 the second year are for mineral diversification. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the first year does not cancel but is available for the second year.

\$46,000 the first year and \$47,000 the second year are for minerals cooperative environmental research, of which \$30,000 the first year and \$30,000 the second year are available only as matched by \$1 of nonstate money for each \$1 of state money. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the first year does not cancel but is available for the second year.

Subd. 3. Water Resources Management

14,739,000 12,481,000 Summary by Fund 14,477,000 12,212,000

General 14,477,000 12,212,000 Natural Resources 262,000 269,000

\$113,000 the first year and \$113,000 the second year are for a grant to the Mississippi headwaters board for up to 50 percent of the cost of updating and implementing the comprehensive plan, under Minnesota Statutes, sections 103F.361 to 103F.377, for the upper Mississippi river corridor within areas under its jurisdiction. The unencumbered balance in the first year does not cancel but is available for the second year. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$200,000 the first year and \$150,000 the second year are for a grant to the Cannon river watershed partnership for protection, conservation, and enhancement of the ecological integrity of the Cannon river watershed. The grant the second year is contingent upon the establishment of a joint powers board by the counties of Steele, Rice, Goodhue, LeSueur, Waseca, and Dakota, and any cities and towns within the counties, to prepare a land use management and recreation plan for the Cannon river watershed; and to eventually provide grant programs for filter strips, side inlet structures, and reconstruction of bridges over sensitive environmental areas. The goal of the plan is to protect the river system's natural beauty, environment, and water quality. The purpose of the plan is to assist local units of government within the Cannon river watershed to adequately plan for the protective management of the river within their jurisdiction. The plan and programs must meet or exceed the requirements of state shoreland, floodplain, and wild and scenic river laws. The joint powers board must seek available federal funding, and funding or in-kind services from organizations and local units of government to complete the plan and implement the program.

\$1,100,000 the first year and \$500,000 the second year are for grants to local units of government located within the Red River Basin to develop comprehensive watershed plans, to establish agency interdisciplinary teams for each watershed in the Red River Valley, and to establish and maintain a basin repository including data on flood flows and water supply.

\$118,000 is for a grant to the city of Thief River Falls to finish dredging projects within the city on the Red Lake river and the Thief river. This appropriation is in addition to the appropriation in Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 5, subdivision 3. This appropriation is available to the extent matched by an equal amount of nonstate money until June 30, 2001. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$1,000,000 the first year is for the construction of ring dikes under Minnesota Statutes, section 103F.161. The ring dikes may be publicly or privately owned. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$1,400,000 is transferred to the general fund the first year from the special account established in Minnesota Statutes, section 103G.271, subdivision 6, paragraph (g).

Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 103G.271, subdivision 6, paragraph (g), all water appropriation fees collected from July 2, 1999, to June 30, 2001, shall be deposited in the general fund.

\$20,000 the first year is for a feasibility study of raising the control elevation of Coon Lake in Anoka county. The study must be completed by February 1, 2000.

Subd. 4. Forest Management

33,840,000 34,565,000

Summary by Fund

General 33,387,000 34,101,000 Natural Resources 453,000 464,000

\$3,500,000 the first year and \$3,500,000 the second year are for presuppression and suppression costs of emergency fire fighting. If the appropriation for either year is insufficient to cover all costs of suppression, the amount necessary to pay for emergency firefighting expenses during the biennium is appropriated from the general fund. If money is spent under the appropriation in the preceding sentence, the commissioner of natural resources shall, by 15 days after the end of the following quarter, report on how the money was spent to the chairs of the house of representatives ways and means committee, the environment and agriculture budget division of the senate environment and natural resources committee, and the house of representatives environment and natural resources finance committee. The appropriations may not be transferred.

\$5,000 the first year is for closing down the office of the Minnesota forest resources council. This is a one-time appropriation.

Subd. 5. Parks and Recreation Management

30,285,000 30,975,000

Summary by Fund

General 29,651,000 30,339,000 Natural Resources 634,000 636,000

\$631,000 the first year and \$632,000 the second year are from the water recreation account in the natural resources fund for state park development projects. If the appropriation in either year is insufficient, the appropriation for the other year is available for it.

\$4,950,000 the first year and \$4,950,000 the second year are for payment of a grant to the metropolitan council for metropolitan area regional parks and trails maintenance and operation. \$1,950,000 is a one-time appropriation each year.

\$25,000 the first year and \$25,000 the second year are for a grant to the city of Taylors Falls for fire and rescue operations in support of Interstate park. This is a one-time appropriation.

Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, effective the day following final enactment, the commissioner of natural resources may enter into a 30-year lease with the Minneapolis park and recreation board for the golf course and polo grounds at Fort Snelling. The land to be leased shall be used for recreation purposes in the development of athletic fields connected with the property. The commissioner of natural resources is not obligated to make improvements on the leased property.

Subd. 6. Trails and Waterways Management

	19,924,000	16,409,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Natural Resources Game and Fish	4,294,000 13,733,000 1,897,000	2,040,000 12,761,000 1,608,000

\$3,819,000 the first year and \$3,819,000 the second year are from the snowmobile trails and enforcement account in the natural resources fund for snowmobile grants-in-aid.

\$400,000 is to construct a snowmobile trail to connect the Willard Munger state trail at Hermantown to the North Shore state trail in Duluth. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$120,000 the first year is for the planning, development, and construction of the Gitchi-Gami trail on the north shore of Lake Superior. The trail must be designed primarily for hiking and bicycling and must connect communities, state parks, and other points of interest along the north shore. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$550,000 is to develop nonpaved alternative trails that are adjacent to Heartland and Paul Bunyan state trails. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$175,000 is for a grant to the Ramsey county board of commissioners and the Washington county board of commissioners to cooperatively develop a master plan, with the cooperation and assistance of the Minnesota parks and trails council, for a trail around Silver Lake, a White Bear Lake to Stillwater regional trail, a trail and route around White Bear Lake and trail connections with the Gateway trail and other state or regional trails within the counties. The master plan must be developed with the cities of North St. Paul, Maplewood, Oakdale, Birchwood, Dellwood, Mahtomedi, and White Bear Lake, White Bear township, and the departments of natural resources and transportation.

\$1,500,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the natural resources fund to plan, acquire, develop, and operate the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area. The first year appropriation is one-time and available until expended. Of the amount appropriated the first year, \$750,000 is from the all-terrain vehicle account, \$600,000 is from the off-road vehicle account, and \$150,000 is from the off-highway motorcycle account. Of the amount appropriated in the second year, \$40,000 is from the all-terrain vehicle account, \$30,000 is from the off-noad account, and \$5,000 is from the off-highway motorcycle account. The appropriations are available until expended.

\$360,000 the first year and \$660,000 the second year are from the natural resources fund for expansion of off-highway vehicle facilities. Of these amounts, \$144,000 the first year and \$264,000 the second year are from the all-terrain vehicle account, \$54,000 the first year and \$99,000 the second year are from the off-highway motorcycle account, and \$162,000 the first year and \$297,000 the second year are from the off-road vehicle account in the natural resources fund.

\$1,000,000 is to the city of St. Paul for the acquisition of the portion of the Trout Brook Corridor located between Maryland Avenue, I-35E, Cayuga Street, and Agate Street. The lands shall be acquired for the reestablishment of natural habitat, as well as passive recreational and environmental educational opportunities. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$50,000 the first year is for a grant to the city of Silver Bay for supplies and equipment to furnish and equip the interior of the harbor administration building.

Subd. 7. Fish and Wildlife Management

	51,535,000	52,205,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Natural Resources Game and Fish	8,396,000 2,091,000 41,048,000	8,076,000 2,132,000 41,997,000

\$4,500,000 the first year and \$4,500,000 the second year are from the game and fish fund. Eighty-five percent of this appropriation must be used for regional field operations. The commissioner must provide a report by February 1, 2000, to the legislative finance committees on natural resources on how and where the money for regional field operations has been spent.

\$923,000 the first year and \$943,000 the second year are from the nongame wildlife management account in the natural resources fund for the purpose of nongame wildlife management. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the first year does not cancel but is available the second year.

\$1,337,000 the first year and \$1,361,000 the second year are for the reinvest in Minnesota programs of game and fish, critical habitat, and wetlands established under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.95, subdivision 2. Any unencumbered balance for the first year does not cancel but is available for use the second year.

\$1,110,000 the first year and \$1,117,000 the second year are from the wildlife acquisition account for only the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.071, subdivision 2a.

\$860,000 the first year and \$881,000 the second year are from the deer habitat improvement account for only the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.075, subdivision 1, paragraph (b).

\$60,000 the first year and \$61,000 the second year are from the deer and bear management account for only the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.075, subdivision 1, paragraph (c).

\$668,000 the first year and \$673,000 the second year are from the waterfowl habitat improvement account for only the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.075, subdivision 2.

\$652,000 the first year and \$654,000 the second year are from the trout and salmon management account for only the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.075, subdivision 3.

\$545,000 the first year and \$545,000 the second year are from the pheasant habitat improvement account for only the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.075, subdivision 4.

In addition to the purposes specified in Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.075, subdivision 4, this appropriation may be used for pheasant restocking efforts.

\$1,565,000 the first year and \$1,565,000 the second year are for field operation costs associated with the division of wildlife and fish. Eighty-five percent of this appropriation must be used for regional field operations. The commissioner must provide a report by February 1, 2000, to the legislative finance committees on natural resources on how and where the money for regional field operations has been spent.

\$530,000 the first year and \$530,000 the second year are for expansion of the walleye stocking program. \$320,000 each year must be used for the purchase of fingerlings.

\$160,000 the first year is split equally for a joint development with the office of tourism to develop a Minnesota river valley birding trail and a Mississippi river valley birding trail, with the assistance of the Minnesota Audubon Society. The Mississippi river parkway commission also shall assist with the Mississippi river valley birding trail. A work plan for each trail must be developed by the department of natural resources and approved by the legislative commission on Minnesota resources. The appropriation is available for the biennium ending June 30, 2001.

Subd. 8. Enforcement

	20,884,000	21,331,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Natural Resources Game and Fish Solid Waste	3,572,000 3,926,000 13,286,000 100,000	3,645,000 3,982,000 13,604,000 100,000

\$1,082,000 the first year and \$1,082,000 the second year are from the water recreation account in the natural resources fund for grants to counties for boat and water safety.

\$130,000 the first year and \$130,000 the second year are to continue the enforcement community liaison officers program.

Overtime shall be distributed to conservation officers at historical levels. In the case of an unallotment, the overtime bank may be reduced in proportion to reductions made in other areas of the budget.

If Minnesota Statutes, section 86B.415, subdivision 7a, is repealed, a refund of the \$50 surcharge shall be issued by the commissioner to any person who demonstrates having paid the fee.

\$40,000 the first year and \$40,000 the second year are from the natural resources fund for enforcement activities relating to the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area. Of the amount appropriated, \$40,000 is from the all-terrain vehicle account, \$32,000 is from the off-road vehicle account, and \$8,000 is from the off-highway motorcycle account.

Subd. 9. Operations Support

	30,694,000	31,005,000
	Summary by Fund	
General Natural Resources Game and Fish	18,428,000 3,584,000 8,682,000	18,529,000 3,664,000 8,812,000

The commissioner of natural resources may contract with and make grants to nonprofit agencies to carry out the purposes, plans, and programs of the office of youth programs, Minnesota conservation corps.

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are an increase in the base appropriation for the Minnesota conservation corps program activities.

\$785,000 the first year and \$415,000 the second year are for the project IT infrastructure for subregion connectivity, information technology support staff, Oracle 8 implementation, and a spatial database engine.

Electronic licensing under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.027, subdivision 15, other than by telephone or Internet transaction, may not be implemented until July 1, 2000. The commissioner shall review and analyze other types of licensing systems and report to the house and senate environmental finance committees by March 1, 2000.

\$116,000 the first year and \$116,000 the second year are for grants to the counties of Beltrami, Marshall, and Roseau for the payment of unpaid back ditch assessments on state lands, based on the signed agreement between the attorney general and the commissioner.

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are to maintain the state parks Southeast Asian environmental education program. This is a one-time appropriation.

At least one-half of the base budget reductions for the biennium must be made in the department's central office.

Sec. 6. BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

\$1,268,000 the first year and \$1,268,000 the second year are for the administrative costs of easement programs.

19.006.000 18.559.000

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are for a grant to the Red river basin board to develop a Red river basin water management plan and to coordinate water management activities in the states and provinces bordering the Red river. This appropriation is only available to the extent it is matched by a proportionate amount in United States currency from the states of North Dakota and South Dakota and the province of Manitoba. The unencumbered balance in the first year does not cancel but is available for the second year. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$32,000 is for a grant to the Blue Earth county soil and water conservation district for stream bank stabilization on the LeSueur river within the city limits of St. Clair. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$500,000 the first year and \$500,000 the second year are for grants to soil and water conservation districts for cost-sharing contracts under Minnesota Statutes, section 103C.501. This appropriation is one-time and is available until expended.

\$5,443,000 the first year and \$5,443,000 the second year are for natural resources block grants to local governments.

The board shall reduce the amount of the natural resource block grant to a county by an amount equal to any reduction in the county's general services allocation to a soil and water conservation district from the county's 1998 allocation.

Grants must be matched with a combination of local cash or in-kind contributions. The base grant portion related to water planning must be matched by an amount that would be raised by a levy under Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.3369.

\$3,867,000 the first year and \$3,867,000 the second year are for grants to soil and water conservation districts for general purposes and for implementation of the RIM conservation reserve program. Upon approval of the board, expenditures may be made from these appropriations for supplies and services benefiting soil and water conservation districts. The appropriation is in addition to any money distributed under Minnesota Statutes, section 103C.401, subdivision 2.

\$500,000 is for a grant to the Minneapolis parks and recreation board to mitigate flooding, restore and stabilize the shoreline, and provide for wetland replacement at Lake of the Isles. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$50,000 the first year and \$50,000 the second year are for the Blue Earth river basin initiative in Minnesota Statutes, sections 103F.191 to 103F.197. This is a one-time appropriation.

Any unencumbered balance in the board's program of grants does not cancel at the end of the first year and is available for the second year for the same grant program.

APPROPRIATIONS
Available for the Year
Ending June 30
2000 2001

Sec. 7.	. CITIZENS COUNCIL ON VO	YAGEURS NATIONAL
PARK		

66,000 -0-

The council's duties shall expire on June 30, 2000.

Sec. 8. SCIENCE MUSEUM OF MINNESOTA

1,199,000 1,235,000

Sec. 9. MINNESOTA-WISCONSIN BOUNDARY AREA COMMISSION

-0--0-

Sec. 10. MINNESOTA ACADEMY OF SCIENCE

41,000 41,000

Sec. 11. TRANSPORTATION

200,000 -0-

\$200,000 is for a grant to the city of Savage or Scott county, or both, for engineering and environmental studies relating to the extension of Scott county state-aid highway No. 27 in the vicinity of the Savage fen wetlands complex. This is a one-time appropriation.

Sec. 12. ADMINISTRATION

200,000 200,000

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are for a grant to the Minnesota Children's Museum to fund Project GreenStart. The appropriation shall be used to enhance curricular programming, expand community outreach, and continue development of exhibit-based education. This is a one-time appropriation.

Sec. 13. MINNESOTA RESOURCES

Subdivision 1. Total Appropriation

28,381,000 13,835,000

Summary by Fund

Minnesota Future Resources Fund

15,177,000 830,000

Environment and Natural Resources

991,000 Trust Fund 13,004,000 13,005,000

Great Lakes

Protection Account 200,000 -()-

Appropriations from the Minnesota future resources fund and the Great Lakes protection account are available for either year of the biennium.

For appropriations from the environment and natural resources trust fund, any unencumbered balance remaining in the first year does not cancel and is available for the second year of the biennium.

Unless otherwise provided, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2001, when projects must be completed and final products delivered.

Subd. 2. Definitions

- (a) "Future resources fund" means the Minnesota future resources fund referred to in Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.13.
- (b) "Trust fund" means the Minnesota environment and natural resources trust fund referred to in Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.02, subdivision 6.
- (c) "Great Lakes protection account" means the account referred to in Minnesota Statutes, section 116Q.02.

Subd. 3. Legislative Commission on Minnesota Resources

583,000 284,000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 300,000 -0-Trust Fund 283,000 284,000

\$300,000 is from the future resources fund and \$283,000 the first year and \$284,000 the second year are from the trust fund, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.09, subdivision 5.

Subd. 4. Recreation

8,862,000 3,550,000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 6,142,000 830,000 Trust Fund 2,720,000 2,720,000

(a) Local Initiatives Grants Program.

This appropriation is to the commissioner of natural resources to provide matching grants, as follows:

- (1) \$1,978,000 is from the future resources fund to local units of government for local park and recreation areas of up to \$250,000 notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 85.019. \$50,000 is to complete the Larue Pit Recreation Development. \$28,000 is to the city of Hitterdal for park construction at Lake Flora. \$460,000 is available immediately upon enactment.
- (2) \$435,000 the first year and \$435,000 the second year are from the trust fund to local units of government for natural and scenic areas pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, section 85.019.

- (3) \$1,484,000 is from the future resources fund for trail grants to local units of government on land to be maintained for at least 20 years for the purposes of the grant. \$500,000 is for grants of up to \$50,000 per project for trail linkages between communities, trails, and parks, and \$720,000 is for grants of up to \$250,000 for locally funded trails of regional significance outside the metropolitan area. \$54,000 is to the Department of Natural Resources for planning and archaelogical costs to develop a multiuse trail connecting the Douglas Trail in Rochester with Chester Woods County Park and the cities of Eyota and Dover. \$50,000 is to the upper Minnesota River valley regional development commission for the preliminary design and engineering of a single segment of the Minnesota River trail from Appleton to the Milan Beach on Lake Lac Qui Parle. \$160,000 is to the Department of Natural Resources to resurface four miles of recreational trail from the town of Milan to Lake Lac Qui Parle in Chippewa county.
- (4) \$305,000 the first year and \$305,000 the second year are from the trust fund for a statewide conservation partners program, to encourage private organizations and local governments to cost share improvement of fish, wildlife, and native plant habitats and research and surveys of fish and wildlife. Conservation partners grants may be up to \$20,000 each. \$10,000 is for an agreement with the Canby Sportsman's Club for shelterbelts for habitat and erosion control.
- (5) \$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are from the trust fund for environmental partnerships program grants of up to \$20,000 each for environmental service projects and related education activities through public and private partnerships.

In addition to the required work program, grants may not be approved until grant proposals to be funded have been submitted to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources and the commission has approved the grants or allowed 60 days to pass. The commission shall monitor the grants for approximate balance over extended periods of time between the metropolitan area as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 473.121, subdivision 2, and the nonmetropolitan area through work program oversight and periodic allocation decisions. For the purpose of this paragraph, the match must be nonstate contributions, but may be either cash or in-kind. Recipients may receive funding for more than one project in any given grant period. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program. If a project financed under this program receives a federal grant, the availability of the financing from this subdivision for that project is extended to equal the period of the federal grant.

(b) Mesabi Trail Land Acquisition and Development-Continuation

\$1,000,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with St. Louis and Lake Counties Regional Rail Authority for the fourth biennium to develop and acquire segments of the Mesabi trail and procure design and engineering for trail heads and enhancements. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$1,000,000 of nonstate money. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(c) Kabetogama to Ash River Community Trail System

\$100,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Kabetogama Lake Association in cooperation with the National Park Service for trail construction linking Lake Kabetogama, Ash River, and Voyagers National Park. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$100,000 of nonstate money.

This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(d) Mesabi Trail Connection

\$80,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the East Range Joint Powers Board to develop trail connections to the Mesabi Trail with the communities of Aurora, Hoyt Lakes, and White. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$80,000 of nonstate money. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(e) Dakota County Bikeway Mapping

\$15,000 is from the future resources fund to the metropolitan council for an agreement with Dakota county to cost share the integration of digital elevation information in the Dakota county geographic information system database with trail and bikeway routes and develop maps for trail and bikeway users.

(f) Mississippi Riverfront Trail and Access

\$155,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the city of Hastings to acquire and restore the public access area and to complete the connecting riverfront trail from the public access to lock and dam number two adjacent to Lake Rebecca. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$155,000 of nonstate money.

(g) Management and Restoration of Natural Plant Communities on State Trails

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources to manage and restore natural plant communities along state trails under Minnesota Statutes, section 85.015

(h) Gitchi-Gami State Trail

\$275,000 the first year and \$275,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for construction of the Gitchi-Gami state trail through Split Rock State Park. The commissioner must submit grant requests for supplemental funding for federal TEA-21 money in eligible categories and report the results to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources. All segments of the trail must become part of the state trail system. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(i) State Park and Recreation Area Acquisition, Development, Betterment, and Rehabilitation

\$500,000 the first year and \$500,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources as follows: (1) for state park and recreation area acquisition, \$500,000; and (2) for state park and recreation area development, rehabilitation, and resource management, \$500,000, unless otherwise specified in the approved work program. The use of the Minnesota conservation corps is encouraged. The commissioner must submit grant requests for supplemental funding for federal TEA-21 money in eligible categories and report the results to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(j) Interpretive Boat Tours of Hill Annex Mine State Park

\$30,000 the first year and \$30,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources to add interpretive boat excursion tours of the mine. The project will include purchase and equipping of a craft and development of a landing area.

(k) Metropolitan Regional Parks Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Development

\$1,000,000 the first year and \$1,000,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the metropolitan council for subgrants for acquisition, development, and rehabilitation in the metropolitan regional park system, consistent with the metropolitan council regional recreation open space capital improvement plan. This appropriation may be used for the purchase of homes only if the purchases are expressly included in the work program approved

by the legislative commission on Minnesota resources. The metropolitan council shall collect and digitize all local, regional, state, and federal parks and all off-road trails with connecting on-road routes for the metropolitan area and produce a printed map that is available to the public. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(1) Como Park Campus Maintenance

\$500,000 is from the future resources fund to the department of finance for a grant to the city of St. Paul for a subsidy for the maintenance of live plant and animal exhibits for the zoo and the conservatory at the Como Park campus.

(m) Snowmobile Grants-in-Aid and DNR Operations

\$550,000 the first year and \$550,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for snowmobile grants-in-aid.

\$280,000 the first year and \$280,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for trails and waterways snowmobile operations.

Subd. 5. Historic

477,000	213,000
Commence has Free d	

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 265,000 -0-Trust Fund 212,000 213,000

(a) Using National Register Properties to Interpret Minnesota History

\$90,000 is from the future resources fund to the Minnesota Historical Society to create interactive, mini-documentaries in Internet format using the National Register properties to interpret selected themes in Minnesota history.

(b) Historic Site Land Acquisition

\$87,000 the first year and \$88,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the Minnesota Historical Society to purchase land adjacent to the Lower Sioux Agency, Jeffers Petroglyphs, and Oliver Kelley Farm sites to protect the historic resources. Allocation of dollars between the three sites shall be determined based on the willingness of sellers and reasonable purchase prices at the respective sites. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(c) Gibbs Farm Museum Interpretation

\$150,000 is from the future resources fund to the Minnesota Historical Society for an agreement with Ramsey County Historical Society to build and furnish replica structures of historic lifestyles and land use of the Dakota and pioneers.

(d) Traverse des Sioux Site Development

\$125,000 the first year and 125,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the Minnesota Historical Society to improve public access to state historic site Traverse des Sioux including trails, interpretive markers, and basic visitor amenities.

(e) Old Wadena Historic Site Development

\$25,000 is from the future resources fund to the Minnesota Historical Society for an agreement with Wah De Nah Historic and Environmental Learning Project to develop a footbridge, archaeological survey, and educational programs. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$6,000 of nonstate money.

Subd. 6. Water Quality

1,730,000 730,000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 1,000,000 -0-Trust Fund 730,000 730,000

- (a) On-Site Sewage Treatment Alternatives; Performance, Outreach and Demonstration Continuation
- \$275,000 the first year and \$275,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of the pollution control agency for the third biennium to monitor previously built test sites for pathogen removal and other parameters for indicators of treatment efficiency, to determine maintenance needs and system longevity, and to pursue the establishment of cooperative demonstration projects.
- (b) Identification of Sediment Sources in Agricultural Watersheds
- \$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the Science Museum of Minnesota to quantify the contribution of streambank erosion versus overland erosion sources to riverine suspended sediment concentrations. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$90,000 of nonstate money.

(c) Accelerated Statewide Local Water Plan Implementation

\$1,000,000 is from the future resources fund to the board of water and soil resources to accelerate the local water planning challenge grant program under Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.3361, to assist in the implementation of high priority activities in comprehensive water management plans on a cost-share basis. \$140,000 is to St. Louis county to inventory and evaluate existing sewage treatment systems. \$75,000 is to the Whitefish Area Property Owners Association in cooperation with Crow Wing county to inspect all lakeshore properties on the Whitefish chain of lakes for conformance with septic system requirements. \$50,000 is to Chisago county to develop sustainable wastewater treatment alternatives which must be matched by at least \$30,000 of nonstate money.

(d) Tracking Sources of Fecal Pollution Using DNA Techniques

\$150,000 the first year and \$150,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to define sources of fecal pollution in waters.

(e) Groundwater Flow in the Prairie du Chien Aquifer

\$55,000 the first year and \$55,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to characterize groundwater flow within the Prairie du Chien Formation.

(f) Erosion Impacts on the Cannon Valley Big Woods

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota in cooperation with the Big Woods Project to determine historical and future effects of land practices on soil erosion levels and develop land management tools in the big woods ecosystem in Rice county.

Subd. 7. Agriculture and Natural Resource Based Industries

4,568,000 1,132,000 Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 3,435,000 -0-Trust Fund 1,133,000 1,132,000

(a) Green Forest Certification Project

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy to certify foresters and to evaluate private forest lands for green certification.

(b) Accelerated Transfer of New Forest - Research Findings

\$58,000 the first year and \$57,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to accelerate educational programming by the sustainable forest education cooperative on the practical application of landscape-level analysis in site-level forest management.

(c) Minnesota Wildlife Tourism Initiative

\$125,000 the first year and \$125,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources to develop, implement, and evaluate a project focusing on wildlife tourism as a sustainable industry in Minnesota in cooperation with the office of tourism.

(d) Integrated Prairie Management

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the University of Minnesota and Clay county in a cooperative project for an aggregate resource inventory on public lands, prairie restoration and research, and stewardship plans for management options. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(e) Improved Agricultural Systems Overlying Sensitive Aquifers in Southwestern Minnesota

\$200,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of agriculture for an agreement with the University of Minnesota, Southwest Experiment Station, to provide technical support, research, systems evaluation, and advisory teams to protect sensitive alluvial aquifers threatened by nitrate contamination in southwest Minnesota.

(f) Diversifying Agriculture for Environmental, Economic, and Social Benefits

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to research new plant materials and crop management systems for diversification.

(g) Commercial Fertilizer Plant for Livestock Solid Waste Processing

\$400,000 is from the future resources fund to the agricultural utilization research institute for an agreement with AquaCare International, Inc. to establish a commercial grade fertilizer plant that will enhance and process animal wastewater solids through micronization technology. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$425,000 of nonstate money. As a condition of receiving

this appropriation, AquaCare International, Inc. must agree to pay to the state a royalty. Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.10, the royalty must be two percent of gross revenues accruing to AquaCare International, Inc. from this application of micronization technology. Receipts from the royalty must be credited to the fund.

(h) Preservation of Native Wild Rice Resource

\$200,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Leech Lake Reservation to analyze critical factors in different northern rice habitats and determine methods to preserve the natural diversity of wild rice. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$45,000 of nonstate money.

(i) Wild Rice Management Planning

\$200,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Boise Forte Band of Chippewa to develop databases and management plans for northern wild rice lakes. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$20,000 of nonstate money.

(j) Mesabi Iron Range, Water and Mineral Resource Planning

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources. \$125,000 the first year and \$125,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to develop and assemble essential data on stockpile composition and ownership, complete hydrogeologic base maps, site and design an overflow outlet, and distribute results to local government and industry. This project is to be coordinated by the Range Association of Municipalities and Schools. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(k) Sustainable Aquaculture Development in Minnesota

\$130,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of agriculture in cooperation with the University of Minnesota to develop, demonstrate, and evaluate prototypes of aquaponic systems that operate in an urban environment and use a combination of aquacultural and hydroponic techniques to produce fish and plants for human consumption. \$55,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of agriculture in cooperation with the MinAqua Fisheries Cooperative, with assistance from the University of Minnesota, for the purchase, operation, and demonstration of ozonation equipment for water treatment and conditioning in large recirculating aquaculture systems. These appropriations are available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

As a condition of receiving this appropriation, MinAqua Fisheries Cooperative must agree to pay to the state a royalty. Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.10, the royalty must be two percent of the gross revenues accruing to MinAqua Fisheries Cooperative from this application of ozonation technology. Receipts from the royalty must be credited to the fund.

(1) Sustainable Farming Systems - Continuation

\$350,000 is from the future resources fund to the University of Minnesota, Minnesota Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, for on-farm and experiment station research, documentation and dissemination of information on alternative farm practices in order to integrate recent scientific advances, improve farm efficiencies, promote profitability, and to enhance environmental quality.

(m) Sustainable Livestock Systems

\$350,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of agriculture for an agreement with the University of Minnesota, West Central Experiment Station, for on-farm research and education programs to support small- to moderate-scale farms through whole farm planning and monitoring of forage-based livestock systems.

(n) Forest Wildlife Biologist for Ruffed Grouse

\$1,000,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Ruffed Grouse Society, Inc. to fund a position and related costs for a forest wildlife biologist employed by the society that will provide technical assistance to public and private landowners for improved ruffed grouse habitat and related forest wildlife conservation. The activity funded by this appropriation must be done in collaboration with institutes of higher learning and state agencies. The amounts of this appropriation made available in each fiscal year must not exceed those stated in the work program. As a condition of receiving this appropriation, the society must demonstrate that it has created a private endowment to fund this position and related costs with nonstate money after this appropriation has been spent. The society must demonstrate that it has a sound financial plan to increase the principal of the endowment to at least \$1,000,000 of nonstate money by January 1, 2000, and to \$2,000,000 of nonstate money by June 30, 2007. The work program must provide that failure of the society to meet the goals of the financial plan on time will cause further payments from this appropriation to be withheld until the goals are met. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2007, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(o) Organic Farming Training Project

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of agriculture for an agreement with the Minnesota Food Association in cooperation with the

Midwest Organic Alliance to recruit and train new immigrant and conventional farmers in sustainable and organic methods utilizing a mentoring approach.

(p) Construction and Demolition Waste Abatement Demonstration Project

\$250,000 is from the future resources fund to the director of the office of environmental assistance for an agreement with the Green Institute to field test building salvage strategies, expanding markets for salvaged materials, and creating a community-based enterprise model.

(q) Minnesota River Basin Initiative; Local Leadership

\$300,000 is from the future resources fund to the board of water and soil resources for a cost-share agreement with the Minnesota River Basin Joint Powers Board for landscape planning and demonstration, and restoration and management projects for the Minnesota River on a cost-share basis.

Subd. 8. Urbanization Impacts

715,000	175,000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 540,000 -0-Trust Fund 175,000 175,000

(a) Resources for Redevelopment: A Community Property Investigation Program

\$100,000 is from the future resources fund to the pollution control agency for an agreement with the Minnesota Environmental Initiative to assess environmental contamination in up to sixteen brownfield sites statewide on a cost-share basis for each site in order to promote property redevelopment by community nonprofit organizations.

(b) Protecting Dakota County Farmland and Natural Areas

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Dakota county to inventory and identify unique farmland and natural areas and to protect land through conservation easements.

(c) Urban Corridor Design

\$400,000 is from the future resources fund to the University of Minnesota to develop sustainability designs for selected urban corridors. One project must be inside the metropolitan area and one project must be outside the metropolitan area.

(d) Conservation-Based Development Program

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Minnesota Land Trust to design four model developments and acquire conservation easements within new developments that enhance the natural, rural landscape. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$65,000 of nonstate money.

(e) Chisago Lakes Outlet Channel Project

\$40,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Chisago county to complete the final construction phase of the outlet channel at Chisago Lakes. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$50,000 of nonstate money.

Subd. 9. Decision-Making Tools

795,000	500,000
Summary by Fund	

Future Resources

Fund 295,000 -0-Trust Fund 500,000 500,000

(a) Goodhue County Natural Resources Inventory and Management Plan

\$75,000 is from the future resources fund to the board of water and soil resources for an agreement with Goodhue county to inventory, evaluate, and describe natural resources and create a geographic information system-based map and database. The appropriation must be matched by at least \$50,000 of nonstate money.

(b) Public Access to Mineral Knowledge

\$100,000 is from the future resources fund to the department of natural resources to accelerate the automation of historic mineral exploration information and to make the database accessible and searchable.

(c) Updating Outmoded Soil Surveys - Continuation

\$250,000 the first year and \$250,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the board of water and soil resources for the first biennium of a four biennia project to accelerate a statewide program to begin to update and digitize soil surveys in up to 25 counties, including Fillmore county. Participating counties must provide a cost share.

(d) Minnesota Environmentally Preferable Chemicals Project

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the office of environmental assistance for an agreement with the Institute for Local Self-Reliance to build an industry network of users and producers of petrochemicals and biochemicals, and to promote a shift to environmentally preferable chemicals. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$40,000 of nonstate money.

(e) GIS Utilization of Historic Timberland Survey Records

\$120,000 is from the future resources fund to the Minnesota Historical Society to digitize and distribute historic timberland survey records in a geographic information system format.

(f) By-Products Application to Agricultural, Mineland, and Forest Soils

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the pollution control agency for an agreement with Western Lake Superior Sanitary District to create a northeast Minnesota consortium of public utilities, wood-products, and mining industries to research environmentally sound coapplications of industrial and municipal by-products for agriculture, forestry, and mineland reclamation. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$21,000 of nonstate money.

Subd. 10. Environmental Education

2.045.000 705.000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 1,340,000 -0-Trust Fund 705,000 705,000

(a) Uncommon Ground: An Educational Television Series

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota for matching funding to produce a televised series of natural landscapes chronicling two centuries of change in Minnesota.

(b) Karst Education for Southeastern Minnesota

\$60,000 the first year and \$60,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the board of water and soil resources for an agreement with the Southeast Minnesota Water Resources Board to develop teacher training workshops, educational materials, and exhibits demonstrating the connections between land use and ground water contamination in southeastern Minnesota.

(c) Accessible Outdoor Recreation

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with wilderness inquiry to survey facilities in at least 50 state recreation units for the Minnesota guide to universal access, develop assessments of inclusion in recreation and environmental education activities, and provide opportunities for participation. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(d) Science Outreach and Integrated Learning on Soil

\$125,000 the first year and \$125,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the Science Museum of Minnesota to develop a soils experiment center and demonstration plots to increase the awareness of soil science and soil health. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$100,000 of nonstate money. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(e) Development and Rehabilitation of Recreational Shooting Ranges

\$350,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources to provide cost-share grants to local recreational shooting clubs for the purpose of developing or rehabilitating shooting sports facilities for public use. In addition to the required work program, grants may not be approved until grant proposals to be funded have been submitted to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources and the commission has approved the grants or allowed 60 days to pass.

(f) Youth Outdoor Environmental Education Program

\$100,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Dakota county to develop youth-at-risk environmental education programs.

(g) Twin Cities Environmental Service Learning - Continuation

\$20,000 the first year and \$20,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Eco Education to provide training and matching grants for student service environmental learning projects. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$40,000 of nonstate money.

(h) Minnesota Whitetail Deer Resource Center Exhibits

\$400,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Minnesota Deer Hunters Association to construct exhibits on whitetail deer in Minnesota. This appropriation is available to the extent matched by expenditure of nonstate money on exhibits.

(i) Sustainability Forums

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the office of environmental assistance for an agreement with the Minnesota Division of the Izaak Walton League of America to conduct forums for the public and local units of government on sustainability and community-based planning objectives.

(j) Minnesota River Watershed Ecology and History Exhibit

\$90,000 is from the future resources fund to the Minnesota Historical Society for an agreement with Joseph R. Brown Heritage Society to design and construct exhibits at the Joseph R. Brown Minnesota River Center.

(k) Hyland Park Environmental Center

\$200,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Suburban Hennepin Regional Park District for predesign and design of an environmental education center in Hyland-Bush-Anderson Lakes Regional Park Reserve.

(1) Improved Shoreland Management Education

\$200,000 is from the future resources fund to the board of water and soil resources for a long-term coordinated education program, with a full-time education coordinator, that promotes stewardship to protect state lakes and rivers through improved shoreland management.

Subd. 11. Benchmarks and Indicators

	2,365,000	1,965,000
	Summary by Fund	
Future Resources Fund	200,000	-0-
Trust Fund Great Lakes Prot	1,965,000 tection	1,965,000
Account	200,000	-0-

(a) Measuring Children's Exposures to Environmental Health Hazards

\$250,000 the first year and \$250,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota in cooperation with the department of health to augment a federal study of exposure of children to multiple environmental hazards, to evaluate comparative health risks, and to design intervention strategies.

(b) Minnesota County Biological Survey - Continuation

\$800,000 the first year and \$800,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the seventh biennium of a 12-biennia project to accelerate the survey that identifies significant natural areas and systematically collects and interprets data on the distribution and ecology of natural communities, rare plants, and animals.

(c) Environmental Indicators Initiative - Continuation

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the third and final biennium to complete a set of statewide environmental indicators that will assist public understanding of Minnesota environmental health and the effectiveness of sustainable development efforts.

- (d) Dakota County Wetland Health Monitoring Program
- \$80,000 the first year and \$80,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of the pollution control agency for an agreement with Dakota county to evaluate wetland health through citizen volunteers, develop wetland biodiversity projects in urban areas, and conduct public education.
- (e) Predicting Water and Forest Resources Health and Sustainability
- \$150,000 the first year and \$150,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota, Natural Resources Research Institute, to assess ecosystem health using indicators and to develop models that incorporate landscape composition change.
- (f) Potential for Infant Risk from Nitrate Contamination
- \$200,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of health to study nitrate and bacteria-contaminated drinking water of infants and families at risk.
- (g) Assessing Lake Superior Waters Off the North Shore
- \$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year of this appropriation are from the trust fund, and \$200,000 is from the Great Lakes protection account to the University of Minnesota Duluth for a pilot program to establish benchmark data for Lake Superior. Expenses may not include capital cost for a research vessel. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(h) Minnesota's Forest Bird Diversity Initiative - Continuation

\$225,000 the first year and \$225,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the fifth biennium of a six-biennium project to establish benchmarks for using birds as ecological indicators of forest health. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$80,000 of nonstate contributions. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(i) Farm Ponds as Critical Habitats for Native Amphibians

\$125,000 the first year and \$125,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Upper Mississippi Science Center to study management practices that sustain healthy populations of amphibians in southeastern Minnesota farm ponds and to recommend monitoring methods suitable for testing amphibian habitat quality. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$200,000 of nonstate contributions. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(j) Improved Minnesota Fungus Collection and Database

\$35,000 the first year and \$35,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to consolidate and preserve fungus specimen collections and computerize the data for use in agriculture, forestry, and recreation management.

Subd. 12. Critical Lands or Habitats

4,962,000 3,572,000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 1,390,000 -0-Trust Fund 3,572,000 3,572,000

(a) Sustainable Woodlands and Prairies on Private Lands - Continuation

\$225,000 the first year and \$225,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources, in cooperation with the Minnesota Forestry Association and the Nature Conservancy, to develop stewardship plans for private landowners and to implement natural resource projects by providing matching money to private landowners. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(b) National Prairie Passage; Linking Isolated Prairie Preserves

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of transportation to link isolated tallgrass prairie preserves with corridors of prairie. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$600,000 of nonstate money.

(c) Greening the Metro Mississippi-Minnesota River Valleys

\$400,000 the first year and \$400,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Greening the Great River Park to implement private and public habitat projects in the Mississippi and Minnesota River Valleys. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$374,000 of nonstate money and cost sharing is required for projects on private lands. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(d) Restoring the Greater Prairie Chicken to Southwestern Minnesota

\$30,000 the first year and \$30,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Minnesota Prairie Chicken Society to restore the greater prairie chicken to appropriate habitat.

(e) Prairie Heritage Fund - Continuation

\$342,000 the first year and \$342,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Pheasants Forever, Inc. to acquire and develop land for prairie grasslands and wetlands to be donated to the public. The land must be open and accessible to the public. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$500,000 of money. In addition to the required work program, parcels may not be acquired until parcel lists have been submitted to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources and the commission has approved the parcel list or allowed 60 days to pass.

(f) Public Boat Access and Fishing Piers

\$500,000 the first year and \$500,000 the second year are from the trust fund, and \$610,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for increased access to lakes and rivers statewide through the provision of public boat access, fishing piers, and shoreline access, with approximately equal allocations for the Twin Cities metropolitan area and the remainder of the state. These appropriations are available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program. \$212,000 of the appropriation from the future resources fund is available immediately upon enactment.

(g) Arboretum Land Acquisition and Wetlands Restoration - Continuation

\$350,000 the first year and \$350,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota for an agreement with the University of Minnesota Landscape Arboretum Foundation for the third biennium for land acquisition. The priority is to acquire approximately 40 acres of land within the Arboretum boundary before completing the Spring Peeper Meadow wetland restoration. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$700,000 of nonstate money.

(h) Native Prairie Prescribed Burns

\$225,000 the first year and \$225,000 the second year are from the trust fund for a grant to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Nature Conservancy for prescribed burns of native prairie on state wildlife lands.

(i) RIM Shoreland Stabilization

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources to complete the high priority bank stabilization on Lake Winnibigoshish and, if additional match money becomes available, to begin similar work on Lac Qui Parle Lake. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$56,000 of nonstate money, and is available until June 30, 2002, when the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(j) Enhancing Canada Goose Hunting Opportunities for Recreation and Management Purposes

\$340,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Geese Unlimited to purchase leases and provide observational and hunting blinds for the public using volunteer labor.

(k) Nongame Wildlife Management

\$1,000,000 the first year and \$1,000,000 the second year are appropriated from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the purpose of nongame wildlife management.

(l) Wildlife Habitat Acquisition and Development

\$250,000 the first year and \$250,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources to acquire and protect land and to make improvements of a capital nature for the Chub lake natural area. The appropriation is available until expended and must be matched by federal or local funds totaling \$500,000.

(m) Trout Stream Protection

\$440,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for trout stream protection.

Subd. 13. Native Species Planting

905,000 635,000

Summary by Fund

Future Resources

Fund 270,000 -0-Trust Fund 635,000 635,000

(a) Minnesota Releaf Matching Grant Program - Continuation

\$290,000 the first year and \$290,000 the second year of this appropriation are from the trust fund, and \$270,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the fourth biennium, with at least \$210,000 for matching grants to local communities to protect native oak forests from oak wilt and to provide technical assistance and cost sharing with communities for tree planting and community forestry assessments. The appropriation from the future resources fund is available immediately upon enactment.

(b) Landscaping for Wildlife and Nonpoint Source Pollution Prevention

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with St. Paul Neighborhood Energy Consortium to work with urban and suburban communities to expand native species planting through residential landscaping and cooperative neighborhood projects. The activities must include participant cost sharing. This appropriation must be matched by at least \$24,000 of nonstate money.

(c) Lakescaping for Wildlife and Water Quality Initiative

\$70,000 the first year and \$70,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources in cooperation with the Minnesota Lakes Association to promote lakescaping for wildlife and water quality through workshops, demonstration sites, and a registry program for lakeshore owners. The activities must include participant cost sharing.

(d) Development and Assessment of Oak Wilt Biological Control Technologies - Continuation

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the University of Minnesota to evaluate biocontrol efficacy, spore mat production, and root graft barrier guidelines for oak wilt, in cooperation with the department of agriculture.

(e) Restoring Ecological Health to St. Paul's Mississippi River Bluffs

\$100,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Friends of the Parks and Trails of St. Paul and Ramsey County to inventory and restore native species, and to plan for critical greenways and natural area habitat. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

Subd. 14. Native Fish

229,000 229,000

Summary by Fund

Trust Fund 229,000 229,000

(a) Mussel Resource Survey

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the first biennium of a three-biennium project to survey mussels statewide for resource management.

(b) Freshwater Mussel Resources in the St. Croix River

\$29,000 the first year and \$29,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Macalester College to continue refugia studies and assess populations for freshwater mussels.

Subd. 15. Exotic Species

145,000 145,000

Summary by Fund

Trust Fund 145,000 145,000

(a) Biological Control of Eurasian Water Milfoil and Purple Loosestrife - Continuation

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of natural resources for the fourth biennium of a five-biennium project to develop and implement biological controls for Eurasian water milfoil and purple loosestrife. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

(b) Evaluate Establishment, Impact of Leafy Spurge Biocontrol Agents

\$70,000 the first year and \$70,000 the second year are from the trust fund to the commissioner of agriculture to study flea beetles introduced to control leafy spurge by site characterization and assessment for biological control. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2002, at which time the project must be completed and final products delivered, unless an earlier date is specified in the work program.

Subd. 16. Data Availability Requirements

- (a) During the biennium ending June 30, 2001, the data collected by the projects funded under this section that have common value for natural resource planning and management must conform to information architecture as defined in guidelines and standards adopted by the office of technology. Spatial data must conform with guidelines and standards described in the geographic data compatibility guidelines available from the land management information center. These data must be made available under the provisions of the Data Practices Act in chapter 13.
- (b) For the purposes of information dissemination to the extent practicable, summary data and results of projects funded under this section should be readily accessible on the Internet. To the extent practicable, spatial data and their documentation must be made available through the Minnesota Geographic Data Clearinghouse.
- (c) As part of project expenditures, recipients of land acquisition appropriations must provide the information necessary to update public recreation information maps to the department of natural resources in the specified form.

Subd. 17. Project Requirements

It is a condition of acceptance of the appropriations in this section that any agency or entity receiving the appropriation must comply with Minnesota Statutes, chapter 116P.

Subd. 18. Match Requirements

Unless specifically authorized, appropriations in this section that must be matched and for which the match has not been committed by December 31, 1999, are canceled, and in-kind contributions may not be counted as match.

Subd. 19. Payment Conditions and Capital Equipment Expenditures

All agreements, grants, or contracts referred to in this section must be administered on a reimbursement basis. Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 16A.41, expenditures made on or after

July 1, 1999, or the date the work program is approved, whichever is later, are eligible for reimbursement. Payment must be made upon receiving documentation that project-eligible reimbursable amounts have been expended, except that reasonable amounts may be advanced to projects in order to accommodate cash flow needs. The advances must be approved as part of the work program. No expenditures for capital equipment are allowed unless expressly authorized in the project work program.

Subd. 20. Purchase of Recycled and Recyclable Materials

A political subdivision, public or private corporation, or other entity that receives an appropriation in this section must use the appropriation in compliance with Minnesota Statutes, sections 16B.121 to 16B.123, requiring the purchase of recycled, repairable, and durable materials, the purchase of uncoated paper stock, and the use of soy-based ink, the same as if it were a state agency.

Subd. 21. Energy Conservation

A recipient to whom an appropriation is made in this section for a capital improvement project shall ensure that the project complies with the applicable energy conservation standards contained in law, including Minnesota Statutes, sections 216C.19 to 216C.21, and rules adopted thereunder. The recipient may use the energy planning and intervention and energy technologies units of the commissioner of public service to obtain information and technical assistance on energy conservation and alternative energy development relating to the planning and construction of the capital improvement project.

Subd. 22. Accessibility

New structures must be shown to meet the design standards in the Americans with Disability Act Accessibility Guidelines. Nonstructural facilities such as trails, campgrounds, picnic areas, parking, play areas, water sources, and the access routes to these features should be shown to be designed using guidelines in the Recommendations for Accessibility Guidelines: Recreational Facilities and Outdoor Developed Areas.

Subd. 23. Year 2000 Compatible

A recipient to whom an appropriation is made in this section for computer equipment and software must ensure that the project expenditures comply with year 2000 compatible database and software.

Subd. 24. Carryforward

(a) The availability of the appropriations for the following projects is extended to June 30, 2000: Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 15, subdivision 5, paragraph (a), Ft. Snelling State Park-upper bluff

utilization and AYH hostel; paragraph (c), Jeffers petroglyphs environmental assessment and prairie restoration; paragraph (g), Native American perspective of the historic north shore; subdivision 6, paragraph (g), lakeshore restoration - Minneapolis chain of lakes; subdivision 9, paragraph (a), grants to local governments to assist natural resource decision making; paragraph (e), North Minneapolis upper river master plan; paragraph (g), Miller Creek management; and paragraph (h), trout habitat preservation using alternative watershed management practices; subdivision 10, paragraph (g), Fillmore county soil survey update; subdivision 11, paragraph (a), foundations to integrated access to environmental information; subdivision 12, paragraph (a), sustainable development assistance for municipalities through electric utilities; paragraph (h), soy-based diesel fuel study; subdivision 13, paragraph (g), state wolf management: electronically moderating the discussion; subdivision 14, paragraph (f), loons: indicators of mercury in the environment; subdivision 17, paragraph (a), sustainable woodlands on private lands; and paragraph (d), prairie heritage project; subdivision 20, paragraph (a), ballast water technology demonstration for exotic species control; Laws 1995, chapter 220, section 19, subdivision 12, paragraph (a), restore historic Mississippi river mill site, as amended by Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 15, subdivision 26, paragraph (b).

(b) The availability of the appropriations for the following projects is extended to June 30, 2001: Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 15, subdivision 5, paragraph (f), historical and cultural museum on Vermilion Lake Indian Reservation; subdivision 7, paragraph (f), mercury manometers; subdivision 16, paragraph (b), Arboretum Land Acquisition.

Sec. 14. ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

The following amounts are appropriated in fiscal year 1999 from the Minnesota environment and natural resources trust fund referred to in Minnesota Statutes, section 116P.02, subdivision 6.

\$496,000 in fiscal year 1999 is added to the appropriation in Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 15, subdivision 4, paragraph (a), clause (1), for state park and recreation area acquisition.

\$495,000 in fiscal year 1999 is added to the appropriation in Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 15, subdivision 4, paragraph (b), metropolitan regional park system.

Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 14.386, is amended to read:

14.386 [PROCEDURE FOR ADOPTING EXEMPT RULES; DURATION.]

- (a) A rule adopted, amended, or repealed by an agency, under a statute enacted after January 1, 1997, authorizing or requiring rules to be adopted but excluded from the rulemaking provisions of chapter 14 or from the definition of a rule, has the force and effect of law only if:
 - (1) the revisor of statutes approves the form of the rule by certificate;

- (2) the office of administrative hearings approves the rule as to its legality within 14 days after the agency submits it for approval and files two copies of the rule with the revisor's certificate in the office of the secretary of state; and
 - (3) a copy is published by the agency in the State Register.

A statute enacted after January 1, 1997, authorizing or requiring rules to be adopted but excluded from the rulemaking provisions of chapter 14 or from the definition of a rule does not excuse compliance with this section unless it makes specific reference to this section.

- (b) A rule adopted under this section is effective for a period of two years from the date of publication of the rule in the State Register. The authority for the rule expires at the end of this two-year period.
- (c) The chief administrative law judge shall adopt rules relating to the rule approval duties imposed by this section and section 14.388, including rules establishing standards for review.
 - (d) This section does not apply to:
 - (1) any group or rule listed in section 14.03, subdivisions 1 and 3, except as otherwise provided by law;
- (2) game and fish rules of the commissioner of natural resources adopted under section 84.027, subdivision 13, or sections 97A.0451 to 97A.0459;
- (3) experimental and special management waters designated by the commissioner of natural resources under sections 97C.001 and 97C.005; or
 - (4) game refuges designated by the commissioner of natural resources under section 97A.085; or
- (5) transaction fees established by the commissioner of natural resources for electronic or telephone sales of licenses, stamps, permits, registrations, or transfers under section 84.027, subdivision 15, paragraph (a), clause (3).
- (e) If a statute provides that a rule is exempt from chapter 14, and section 14.386 does not apply to the rule, the rule has the force of law unless the context of the statute delegating the rulemaking authority makes clear that the rule does not have force of law.
 - Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.027, subdivision 15, is amended to read:
- Subd. 15. [ELECTRONIC TRANSACTIONS.] (a) The commissioner may receive an application for, sell, and issue any license, stamp, permit, registration, or transfer under the jurisdiction of the commissioner by electronic means, including by telephone. Notwithstanding section 97A.472, electronic and telephone transactions may be made outside of the state. The commissioner may:
 - (1) provide for the electronic transfer of funds generated by electronic transactions, including by telephone;
- (2) assign a license identification number to an applicant who purchases a hunting or fishing license by electronic means, to serve as temporary authorization to engage in the licensed activity until the license is received or expires;
- (3) charge and permit agents to charge a fee of individuals who make electronic transactions, and transactions by telephone, including a transaction the issuing fee under section 97A.485, subdivision 6, and a credit card an additional transaction fee not to exceed \$3.50 for electronic transactions;
- (4) select up to four volunteer counties, not more than two in the metropolitan area, to participate in this pilot project and the counties shall select the participating agents; and
 - (5) upon completion of a pilot project, implement a statewide system and select the participating agents; and

- (6) adopt rules to administer the provisions of this subdivision.
- (b) A county shall not collect a commission for the sale of licenses or permits made by agents selected by the participating counties under this subdivision.
- (c) Establishment of the transaction fee under paragraph (a), clause (3), is not subject to the rulemaking procedures of chapter 14.
 - Sec. 17. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.0855, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd.</u> <u>1a.</u> [SOFTWARE SALES.] <u>Notwithstanding section 16B.405, the commissioner may sell or license intellectual property and software products or services developed by the department or custom developed by a vendor <u>for the department.</u></u>
 - Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.0855, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [RECEIPTS; APPROPRIATION.] Money received by the commissioner under this section or to buy supplies for the use of volunteers, may be credited to one or more special accounts in the state treasury and is appropriated to the commissioner for the purposes for which the money was received. Money received from sales at the state fair shall be available for state fair related costs. Money received from sales of intellectual property and software products or services shall be available for development, maintenance, and support of software products and systems.
 - Sec. 19. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.83, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [PURPOSES FOR THE ACCOUNT.] The money deposited in the account and interest earned on that money may be expended only as appropriated by law for the following purposes:
- (1) for a grant-in-aid program to counties and municipalities for construction and maintenance of snowmobile trails, including maintenance of trails on lands and waters of Voyageurs National Park;
 - (2) for acquisition, development, and maintenance of state recreational snowmobile trails;
 - (3) for snowmobile safety programs; and
 - (4) for the administration and enforcement of sections 84.81 to 84.90.
 - Sec. 20. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.83, subdivision 4, is amended to read:
- Subd. 4. [PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO FUNDING RECIPIENTS.] (a) Recipients of Minnesota trail assistance program funds must be afforded the same protection and be held to the same standard of liability as a political subdivision under chapter 466 for activities associated with the administration, design, construction, maintenance, and grooming of snowmobile trails.
- (b) Recipients of Minnesota trail assistance program funds who maintain ice trails on waters of Voyageurs National Park are expressly immune from liability under section 466.03, subdivision 6e.
 - Sec. 21. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.86, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. With a view of achieving maximum use of snowmobiles consistent with protection of the environment the commissioner of natural resources shall adopt rules in the manner provided by chapter 14, for the following purposes:
 - (1) Registration of snowmobiles and display of registration numbers.

- (2) Use of snowmobiles insofar as game and fish resources are affected.
- (3) Use of snowmobiles on public lands and waters, or on grant-in-aid trails, including, but not limited to, the use of specified metal traction devices and nonmetal traction devices.
- (4) Uniform signs to be used by the state, counties, and cities, which are necessary or desirable to control, direct, or regulate the operation and use of snowmobiles.
 - (5) Specifications relating to snowmobile mufflers.
- (6) A comprehensive snowmobile information and safety education and training program, including but not limited to the preparation and dissemination of snowmobile information and safety advice to the public, the training of snowmobile operators, and the issuance of snowmobile safety certificates to snowmobile operators who successfully complete the snowmobile safety education and training course. For the purpose of administering such program and to defray a portion of the expenses of training and certifying snowmobile operators, the commissioner shall collect a fee of not to exceed \$5 from each person who receives the youth and young adult training and a fee established under chapter 16A from each person who receives or the adult training. The commissioner shall establish a fee that neither significantly over recovers nor under recovers costs, including overhead costs, involved in providing the services. The fee is not subject to the rulemaking provisions of chapter 14 and section 14.386 does not apply. The commissioner shall deposit the fee in the snowmobile trails and enforcement account and the amount thereof is appropriated annually to the commissioner of natural resources for the administration of such programs. The commissioner shall cooperate with private organizations and associations, private and public corporations, and local governmental units in furtherance of the program established under this clause. The commissioner shall consult with the commissioner of public safety in regard to training program subject matter and performance testing that leads to the certification of snowmobile operators.
- (7) The operator of any snowmobile involved in an accident resulting in injury requiring medical attention or hospitalization to or death of any person or total damage to an extent of \$500 or more, shall forward a written report of the accident to the commissioner on such form as the commissioner shall prescribe. If the operator is killed or is unable to file a report due to incapacitation, any peace officer investigating the accident shall file the accident report within ten business days.
 - Sec. 22. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.862, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULT SAFETY TRAINING.] Effective October 1, 1998, any resident born after December 31, 1979, who operates a snowmobile in Minnesota, must possess a valid snowmobile safety certificate or a driver's license or identification card with a valid snowmobile qualification indicator issued under section 171.07, subdivision 12. The certificate or qualification indicator may only be issued upon successful completion of the a course authorized under section 84.86 or 84.862, subdivision 2, if the person is 16 years of age or older.
 - Sec. 23. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.862, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [ADULT SAFETY TRAINING.] Effective October 1, 2002, any resident born after December 31, 1976, and before December 31, 1983, who operates a snowmobile in Minnesota, must possess a valid operator's permit or driver's license or identification card with a valid snowmobile qualification indicator issued under section 171.07, subdivision 12, showing successful completion of a safety course designed for adults or persons 16 years of age or older. Whenever possible, the course shall include a riding component that stresses stopping distances.
 - Sec. 24. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.872, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [RESTRICTIONS ON OPERATION.] (a) Notwithstanding anything in section 84.87 to the contrary, no person under 14 years of age shall make a direct crossing of a trunk, county state-aid, or county highway as the operator of a snowmobile, or operate a snowmobile upon a street or highway within a municipality.

A person 14 years of age or older, but less than 18 years of age, may make a direct crossing of a trunk, county state-aid, or county highway only if the person has in immediate possession a valid snowmobile safety certificate issued by the commissioner or a valid motor vehicle operator's driver's license issued by the commissioner of public safety or the driver's license authority of another state or identification card with a valid snowmobile qualification indicator issued under section 171.07, subdivision 12.

(b) Notwithstanding section 84.862, no person under the age of 14 years shall operate a snowmobile on any public land, public easements, or water or grant-in-aid trail unless accompanied by one of the following listed persons on the same or an accompanying snowmobile, or on a device towed by the same or an accompanying snowmobile: the person's parent, legal guardian, or other person 18 years of age or older designated by the parent or guardian. However, a person 12 years of age or older but under the age of 14 years may operate a snowmobile on public lands, public easements, and waters or a grant-in-aid trail if the person has in immediate possession a valid snowmobile safety certificate issued by the commissioner or an identification card with a valid snowmobile qualification indicator issued under section 171.07, subdivision 12.

Sec. 25. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.91, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [ACTS PROHIBITED.] (a) No owner or other person having charge or control of any snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle shall authorize or permit any individual the person knows or has reason to believe is under the influence of alcohol or a controlled substance or other substance to operate the snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle anywhere in this state or on the ice of any boundary water of this state.

- (b) No owner or other person having charge or control of any snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle shall knowingly authorize or permit any person, who by reason of any physical or mental disability is incapable of operating the vehicle, to operate the snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle anywhere in this state or on the ice of any boundary water of this state.
- (c) A person who operates or is in physical control of a snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle anywhere in this state or on the ice of any boundary water of this state is subject to sections 169.121 to 169.1218 and 169.123 to 169.129. In addition to the applicable sanctions under chapter 169, a person who is convicted of violating section 169.121 while operating a snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle, or who refuses to comply with a lawful request to submit to testing under section 169.123, shall be prohibited from operating the snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle for a period of one year. The commissioner shall notify the convicted person of the time period during which the person is prohibited from operating a snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle.
- (d) Administrative and judicial review of the operating privileges prohibition is governed by section 97B.066, subdivisions 7 to 9, if the person does not have a prior impaired driving conviction or prior license revocation, as defined in section 169.121, subdivision 3. Otherwise, administrative and judicial review of the prohibition is governed by section 169.123.
- (e) The court shall promptly forward to the commissioner and the department of public safety copies of all convictions and criminal and civil sanctions imposed under this section and chapter 169 relating to snowmobiles and all-terrain vehicles.
- (f) A person who violates paragraph (a) or (b), or an ordinance in conformity with either of them, is guilty of a misdemeanor. A person who operates a snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle during the time period the person is prohibited from operating a vehicle under paragraph (c) is guilty of a misdemeanor.
 - Sec. 26. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84.98, subdivision 6, is amended to read:
- Subd. 6. [FEES.] The commissioner may charge a fee for any service performed by the Minnesota conservation corps. Fees generated shall be deposited in a special revenue fund and appropriated to the commissioner for Minnesota conservation corps projects and administration.

Sec. 27. [ADDING LAND TO BLUE MOUNDS STATE PARK.]

[85.012] [Subd. 8.] The following area is added to Blue Mounds state park: That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter and the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 13, Township 103 North, Range 45 West, Rock County, described as follows: Commencing at the southwest corner of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence on an assumed bearing of South 89 degrees 36 minutes 41 seconds East along the south line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter 165.00 feet to the point of beginning; thence North 00 degrees 17 minutes 27 seconds West parallel with the west line of said section 1438.74 feet to an iron stake with DNR caps; thence South 88 degrees 57 minutes 33 seconds East along an existing fence line 42.15 feet; thence South 00 degrees 30 minutes 38 seconds West along an existing fence line 1438.16 feet to the south line of said Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; thence North 89 degrees 36 minutes 41 seconds West along said south line 22.02 feet to the point of beginning.

Sec. 28. [ADDITIONS TO IRON RANGE OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA, ST. LOUIS COUNTY.]

<u>Subdivision 1.</u> [85.013] [Subd. 12a.] [IRON RANGE OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA, ST. LOUIS COUNTY.] <u>The following areas are added to the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area, all in St. Louis county:</u>

- (1) Section 2, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, EXCEPT: the East Half; the North Half of the Northwest Quarter; and the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter;
- (2) <u>Section 3, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, EXCEPT: the Southeast Quarter; the North Half of the Northwest Quarter; the Northwest Quarter; Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; and the Northwest Quarter; Of the Southwest Quarter; Southwest Quarter; Of the Southwest </u>
- (3) Section 4, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, EXCEPT: the West Half; the Northeast Quarter; the North Half of the Southeast Quarter; and the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter;
- (4) Section 8, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, EXCEPT: the West Half; the West Half of the Southeast Quarter; and the West Half of the Northeast Quarter;
 - (5) Section 9, Township 58 North, Range 17 West;
- (6) Section 11, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, EXCEPT: the West Half of the Northwest Quarter; and the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter;
 - (7) Section 14, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, EXCEPT: the East Half;
- (8) Section 15, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, lying North of the DM&IR grade, EXCEPT: the Southwest Quarter; and the South Half of the Northwest Quarter;
- (9) Section 16, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, lying North of county road 921, EXCEPT: the East Half of the Southeast Quarter, lying North of the DM&IR grade;
 - (10) Section 22, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, lying North of the DM&IR grade; and
- (11) Section 23, Township 58 North, Range 17 West, a 100 foot corridor of the Mesabi Trail as located between the West line of said Section 23 and Minnesota trunk highway No. 135.
- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [ADVISORY COMMITTEE; ADDING MEMBERS.] <u>The advisory committee created under Laws 1996, chapter 407, section 32, subdivision 4, shall continue to provide direction on the planning, development, and operation of the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area, including the land added under subdivision 1. The following members are added to the advisory committee:</u>
 - (1) a representative of the city council of Gilbert; and

- (2) a representative of the city council of Virginia.
- Subd. 3. [MINING.] The commissioner shall recognize the possibility that mining may be conducted in the future within the Iron Range off-highway vehicle area and that use of portions of the surface estate and control of the flowage of water may be necessary for future mining operations.
- <u>Subd. 4.</u> [MANAGEMENT PLAN.] <u>The commissioner of natural resources and the local area advisory committee shall cooperatively develop a separate comprehensive management plan for the land added to the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area under subdivision 1. The management plan shall provide for:</u>
 - (1) multiple use recreation for off-highway vehicles;
 - (2) protection of natural resources;
 - (3) limited timber management;
 - (4) mineral exploration and mining management;
 - (5) land acquisition needs;
 - (6) road and facility development; and
- (7) trail and road connections between the land added under subdivision 1 and the land added by Laws 1996, chapter 407, section 32, subdivision 6.

The completed management plan, together with the management plan completed under Laws 1996, chapter 407, section 32, subdivision 5, shall serve as the master plan for the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.09.

- <u>Subd. 5.</u> [APPLICABILITY OF OTHER LAW.] <u>Except as otherwise provided by subdivisions 2 and 4, the provisions of Laws 1996, chapter 407, section 32, apply to the land added to the Iron Range off-highway vehicle recreation area under subdivision 1.</u>
 - Sec. 29. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 85.015, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 21.</u> [GITCHI-GAMI TRAIL, LAKE AND COOK COUNTIES.] (a) <u>The trail shall originate in the city of Two Harbors and shall extend in a northeasterly direction along the shore of Lake Superior, running parallel to state <u>highway 61 to the city of Grand Marais.</u></u>
 - (b) The trail shall be developed primarily for hiking and bicycling.
 - Sec. 30. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 85.019, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [PARKS AND OUTDOOR RECREATION AREAS.] The commissioner shall administer a program to provide grants to units of government for up to 50 percent of the costs or \$50,000, whichever is less, of acquisition and betterment of public land and improvements needed for parks and other outdoor recreation areas and facilities.
 - Sec. 31. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 85.019, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- Subd. 4b. [REGIONAL TRAILS.] The commissioner shall administer a program to provide grants to units of government for up to 50 percent of the costs of acquisition and betterment of public land and improvements needed for trails deemed to be of regional significance according to criteria published by the commissioner. If land used for the trails is not in full public ownership, then the recipients must prove it is dedicated to the purposes of the grants for at least 20 years.

- Sec. 32. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 85.019, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 4c.</u> [LOCAL TRAIL CONNECTIONS.] <u>The commissioner shall administer a program to provide grants to units of government for up to 50 percent of the costs of acquisition and betterment of public land and improvements needed for trails that connect communities, trails, and parks and thereby increase the effective length of trail experiences. If land used for the trails is not in full public ownership, then the recipients must prove it is dedicated to the purposes of the grants for at least 20 years.</u>
 - Sec. 33. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 86B.415, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [WATERCRAFT 19 FEET OR LESS.] The fee for a watercraft license for watercraft 19 feet or less in length is \$12 except:

- (1) for watercraft, other than personal watercraft, 19 feet in length or less that is offered for rent or lease, the fee is \$6;
 - (2) for a canoe, kayak, sailboard, sailboard, paddle boat, or rowing shell 19 feet in length or less, the fee is \$7;
 - (3) for personal watercraft, the fee is \$25;
- (3) (4) for a watercraft 19 feet in length or less used by a nonprofit corporation for teaching boat and water safety, the fee is as provided in subdivision 4; and
 - (4) (5) for a watercraft owned by a dealer under a dealer's license, the fee is as provided in subdivision 5.
 - Sec. 34. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 88.067, is amended to read:

88.067 [TRAINING OF GRANTS TO LOCAL FIRE DEPARTMENTS.]

The commissioner may make grants for procurement of fire suppression equipment and training of fire departments in techniques of fire control that. These grants will enable them local fire departments to assist the state more effectively in controlling wildfires. The commissioner may require a local match for any grant. Fire suppression equipment may include, but is not limited to, fire suppression tools and equipment, protective clothing, dry hydrants, communications equipment, and conversion of vehicles to wildfire suppression vehicles. Training shall be provided to the extent practicable in coordination with other public agencies with training and educational responsibilities.

Sec. 35. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 92.46, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [PUBLIC CAMPGROUNDS.] (a) The director may designate suitable portions of the state lands withdrawn from sale and not reserved, as provided in section 92.45, as permanent state public campgrounds. The director may have the land surveyed and platted into lots of convenient size, and lease them for cottage and camp purposes under terms and conditions the director prescribes, subject to the provisions of this section.

(b) A lease may not be for a term more than 20 years. The lease may allow renewal, from time to time, for additional terms of no longer than 20 years each. The lease may be canceled by the commissioner 90 days after giving the person leasing the land written notice of violation of lease conditions. The lease rate shall be based on the appraised value of leased land as determined by the commissioner of natural resources and shall be adjusted by the commissioner at the fifth, tenth, and 15th anniversary of the lease, if the appraised value has increased or decreased. For leases that are renewed in 1991 and following years, the lease rate shall be five percent of the appraised value of the leased land. The appraised value shall be the value of the leased land without any private improvements and must be comparable to similar land without any improvements within the same county. The minimum appraised value that the commissioner assigns to the leased land must be substantially equal to the county assessor's estimated market value of similar land adjusted by the assessment/sales ratio as determined by the department of revenue.

- (c) By July 1, 1986, the commissioner of natural resources shall adopt rules under chapter 14 to establish procedures for leasing land under this section. The rules shall be subject to review and approval by the commissioners of revenue and administration prior to the initial publication pursuant to chapter 14 and prior to their final adoption. The rules must address at least the following:
 - (1) method of appraising the property; and
 - (2) an appeal procedure for both the appraised values and lease rates.
 - (d) All money received from these leases must be credited to the fund to which the proceeds of the land belong.

Notwithstanding section 16A.125 or any other law to the contrary, 50 percent of the money received from the lease of permanent school fund lands leased pursuant to this subdivision must be credited to the lakeshore leasing and sales account in the permanent school fund and is appropriated for use to survey, appraise, and pay associated selling and, leasing, or exchange costs of lots as required in this section and Minnesota Statutes 1992, section 92.67, subdivision 3. The money may not be used to pay the cost of surveying lots not scheduled for sale. Any money designated for deposit in the permanent school fund that is not needed to survey, appraise, and pay associated selling and, leasing, or exchange costs of lots, as required in this section, shall be deposited in the permanent school fund. The commissioner shall add to the appraised value of any lot offered for sale or exchange the costs of surveying, appraising, and selling disposing of the lot, and shall first deposit into the permanent school fund an amount equal to the costs of surveying, appraising, and selling disposing of any lot paid out of the permanent school fund. Any remaining money shall be deposited into any other contributing funds in proportion to the contribution from each fund. In no case may the commissioner add to the appraised value of any lot offered for sale or exchange an amount more than \$700 for the the actual contract service costs of surveying and, appraising, and disposing of the lot.

Sec. 36. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.075, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [DEER AND BEAR LICENSES.] (a) For purposes of this subdivision, "deer license" means a license issued under section 97A.475, subdivisions 2, clauses (4), (5), and (9), and 3, clauses (2), (3), and (7), and licenses issued under section 97B.301, subdivision 4.

- (b) At least \$2 from each deer license shall be used for deer habitat improvement or deer management programs.
- (c) At least \$1 from each deer license and each bear license shall be used for deer and bear management programs, including a computerized licensing system. Fifty cents from each deer license is appropriated for emergency deer feeding. Money appropriated for emergency deer feeding is available until expended. When the unencumbered balance in the appropriation for emergency deer feeding at the end of a fiscal year exceeds \$750,000 \$1,500,000, \$750,000 is canceled to the unappropriated balance of the game and fish fund and the amount appropriated for emergency deer feeding is reduced to 25 cents from each deer license.
 - Sec. 37. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 2. [RESIDENT HUNTING.] Fees for the following licenses, to be issued to residents only, are:
 - (1) for persons under age 65 to take small game, \$10 \$13;
 - (2) for persons age 65 or over, \$\frac{\$5}{\$8}\$;
 - (3) to take turkey, \$16 \$18;
 - (4) to take deer with firearms, \$22 \$25;
 - (5) to take deer by archery, \$22 \$25;

- (6) to take moose, for a party of not more than six persons, \$275 \(\frac{\$310}{}\);
- (7) to take bear, \$33 \$38;
- (8) to take elk, for a party of not more than two persons, \$220 \$250;
- (9) to take antlered deer in more than one zone, \$44 \\$50; and
- (10) to take Canada geese during a special season, \$\frac{\\$3}{\\$4}.
- Sec. 38. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [NONRESIDENT HUNTING.] Fees for the following licenses, to be issued to nonresidents, are:
- (1) to take small game, \$56 \$73;
- (2) to take deer with firearms, \$\frac{\$110}{25};
- (3) to take deer by archery, \$110 \$125;
- (4) to take bear, \$165 \$195;
- (5) to take turkey, \$56 \$73;
- (6) to take raccoon, bobcat, fox, coyote, or lynx, \$137.50 \$155;
- (7) to take antlered deer in more than one zone, \$220 \$250; and
- (8) to take Canada geese during a special season, \$3 \$4.
- Sec. 39. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 6, is amended to read:
- Subd. 6. [RESIDENT FISHING.] Fees for the following licenses, to be issued to residents only, are:
- (1) to take fish by angling, for persons under age 65, \$15 \$16;
- (2) to take fish by angling, for persons age 65 and over, \$5.50 \(\frac{\$8.50}{}\);
- (3) to take fish by angling, for a combined license for a married couple, \$20.50 \$22;
- (4) to take fish by spearing from a dark house, \$15.50; and
- (5) to take fish by angling for a 24-hour period selected by the licensee, \$\frac{\$8}{25}\$.
- Sec. 40. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 7, is amended to read:
- Subd. 7. [NONRESIDENT FISHING.] Fees for the following licenses, to be issued to nonresidents, are:
- (1) to take fish by angling, \$31 \$37;
- (2) to take fish by angling limited to seven consecutive days selected by the licensee, \$21.50 \$26;
- (3) to take fish by angling for a 72-hour period selected by the licensee, \$18 \$21;

- (4) to take fish by angling for a combined license for a family, \$\frac{\$41.50}{}\$53;
- (5) to take fish by angling for a 24-hour period selected by the licensee, \$8 \$8.50; and
- (6) to take fish by angling for a combined license for a married couple, limited to 14 consecutive days selected by one of the licensees, \$32 \$37.
 - Sec. 41. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 8, is amended to read:
- Subd. 8. [MINNESOTA SPORTING.] The commissioner shall issue Minnesota sporting licenses to residents only. The licensee may take fish by angling and small game. The fee for the license is:
 - (1) for an individual, \$20 \$24; and
 - (2) for a combined license for a married couple to take fish and for one spouse to take small game, \$27.50 \(\) \
 - Sec. 42. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 11, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 11. [FISH HOUSES AND DARK HOUSES; RESIDENTS.] Fees for the following licenses are:
 - (1) for a fish house or dark house that is not rented, \$10 \$11.50; and
 - (2) for a fish house or dark house that is rented, \$23 \$26.
 - Sec. 43. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 12, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 12. [FISH HOUSES; NONRESIDENT.] Fees for fish house licenses for a nonresident are:
 - (1) annual, \$31.50 \$33; and
 - (2) seven consecutive days, \$18.50 \$19.
 - Sec. 44. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 13, is amended to read:
- Subd. 13. [NETTING WHITEFISH AND CISCOES FOR PERSONAL CONSUMPTION.] The fee for a license to net whitefish and ciscoes in inland lakes and international waters for personal consumption is, for each net, \$\frac{\psi}{9}\$\$10.
 - Sec. 45. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.475, subdivision 20, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 20. [TRAPPING LICENSE.] The fee for a license to trap fur-bearing animals is:
 - (1) for persons over age 13 and under age 18, \$5.50 \under 6; and
 - (2) for persons age 18 and older, \$18 \$20.
 - Sec. 46. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.485, subdivision 12, is amended to read:
- Subd. 12. [YOUTH DEER LICENSE.] The commissioner may, for a fee of \$5 \\[
 \frac{\$5.50}{,}\$ issue to a resident under the age of 16 a license, without a tag, to take deer with firearms. A youth holding a license issued under this subdivision may hunt under the license only if accompanied by a licensed hunter who is at least 18 years of age and possesses a valid tag. A deer taken by a youth holding a license issued under this subdivision must be promptly tagged by the licensed hunter accompanying the youth. Section 97B.301, subdivision 6, does not apply to a youth holding a license issued under this subdivision.

Sec. 47. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97B.020, is amended to read:

97B.020 [FIREARMS SAFETY CERTIFICATE REQUIRED.]

Except as provided in this section, a person born after December 31, 1979, may not obtain a license to take wild animals by firearms. A person may obtain a hunting license if unless the person has a firearms safety certificate or equivalent certificate, driver's license or identification card with a valid firearms safety qualification indicator issued under section 171.07, subdivision 13, previous hunting license, or other evidence indicating that the person has completed in this state or in another state a hunter safety course recognized by the department under a reciprocity agreement. A person who is on active duty and has successfully completed basic training in the United States armed forces, reserve component, or national guard may obtain a hunting license or approval authorizing hunting regardless of whether the person is issued a firearms safety certificate.

- Sec. 48. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 103B.227, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [NOTICE OF BOARD VACANCIES.] Appointing authorities for watershed management organization board members shall publish a notice of vacancies resulting from expiration of members' terms and other reasons. The notices must be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the watershed management organization area. The notices must state that persons interested in being appointed to serve on the watershed management organization board may submit their names to the appointing authority for consideration. After December 31, 1999, staff of local units of government that are members of the watershed management organization are not eligible to be appointed to the board. Published notice of the vacancy must be given at least 15 days before an appointment or reappointment is made.
 - Sec. 49. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 103C.401, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 3.</u> [GENERAL SERVICES ALLOCATION.] <u>Subject to an appropriation by law for this purpose, the board shall provide an annual allocation of general services funding for each organized district in the state. If county funding for a district is reduced from the previous fiscal year funding level, the allocation under this subdivision must be reduced by an equal amount.</u>
 - Sec. 50. [103F.191] [BLUE EARTH RIVER BASIN INITIATIVE BOUNDARIES.]

For the purposes of sections 103F.191 to 103F.197, the term "Blue Earth river basin initiative" means the area within the watersheds of rivers and streams that are tributaries of the Minnesota river from the south through the city of Mankato. Major rivers included within the watershed are the LeSueur, Blue Earth, and Watonwan and their tributaries. All of Watonwan county and parts of Blue Earth, Brown, Cottonwood, Faribault, Freeborn, Jackson, LeSueur, Martin, Steele, and Waseca counties are included in the boundary area.

Sec. 51. [103F.192] [PROGRAM.]

There shall be a state grant-in-aid program of providing financial assistance to the Blue Earth river basin initiative for administrative costs associated with the implementation of conservation practices.

Sec. 52. [103F.193] [AID FORMULA.]

<u>Grants may be made by the board of water and soil resources to a local governmental unit for the purposes of sections 103F.191 to 103F.197.</u>

Sec. 53. [103F.194] [OPERATION WITHIN AN AGENCY.]

<u>Subdivision 1.</u> [BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES.] <u>The board of water and soil resources shall supervise the grant-in-aid program pursuant to sections 103F.191 to 103F.197.</u>

<u>Subd. 2.</u> [PROCEDURES AND FORMS.] <u>The board shall devise procedures and forms for application for grants by the local units of government, and review of and decisions on the applications by the state board.</u>

Sec. 54. [103F.195] [CONDITIONS FOR GRANTS.]

<u>Subdivision 1.</u> [LOCAL EXPRESSION OF WILLINGNESS.] <u>The local unit of government shall apply for a grant by a resolution requesting state funding assistance for administrative costs associated with the implementation of conservation practices within its jurisdiction.</u>

Subd. 2. [GENERAL PLAN.] The Blue Earth river basin initiative shall demonstrate that it has a general plan for water management. The general plan shall be in conformity with the policy and objectives of this chapter and shall, where reasonable and practicable, include nonstructural means of water management.

Sec. 55. [103F.196] [INTERSTATE COOPERATION.]

The board of water and soil resources and the Blue Earth river basin initiative may enter into a working agreement with Iowa in regard to implementing conservation practices pursuant to sections 103F.191 to 103F.197 that involve the territory of the state of Iowa as well as this state.

Sec. 56. [103F.197] [REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE.]

When the project has been in operation for a period of two years, the board of water and soil resources and the Blue Earth river basin initiative shall prepare and deliver a report to the legislature on the program and its consequences with an evaluation of the feasibility and benefit of continuing the project.

Sec. 57. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 115.55, subdivision 5a, is amended to read:

Subd. 5a. [INSPECTION CRITERIA FOR EXISTING SYSTEMS.] (a) An inspection of an existing system must evaluate the criteria in paragraphs (b) to (h) (j).

- (b) If the inspector finds one or more of the following conditions:
- (1) sewage discharge to surface water;
- (2) sewage discharge to ground surface;
- (3) sewage backup; or
- (4) any other situation with the potential to immediately and adversely affect or threaten public health or safety,

then the system constitutes an imminent threat to public health or safety and, if not repaired, must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of the notice described in subdivision 5b, or within a shorter period of time if required by local ordinance.

- (c) An existing system that has none of the conditions in paragraph (b), and has at least two feet of soil separation need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more restrictive.
- (d) Paragraph (c) does not apply to systems in shoreland areas regulated under sections 103F.201 to 103F.221, wellhead protection areas as defined in section 103I.005, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments regulated under chapter 157.
- (e) If the local unit of government with jurisdiction over the system has adopted an ordinance containing local standards pursuant to subdivision 7, the existing system must comply with the ordinance. If the system does not comply with the ordinance, it must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued according to the ordinance.

- (f) If a seepage pit, drywell, cesspool, or leaching pit exists and the local unit of government with jurisdiction over the system has not adopted local standards to the contrary, the system is failing and must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by subdivision 3 or local ordinance.
- (g) If the system fails to provide sufficient groundwater protection, then the local unit of government or its agent shall order that the system be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by rule or the local ordinance.
- (h) The authority to find a threat to public health under section 145A.04, subdivision 8, is in addition to the authority to make a finding under paragraphs (b) to (d).
- (i) Local inspectors must use the standard inspection form provided by the agency. The inspection information required by local ordinance may be included as an attachment to the standard form. The following language must appear on the standard form: "If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, wellhead protection areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law."
- (j) For the purposes of this subdivision, an "existing system" means a functioning system installed prior to April 1, 1996.
 - Sec. 58. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 115A.02, is amended to read:

115A.02 [LEGISLATIVE DECLARATION OF POLICY; PURPOSES.]

- (a) It is the goal of this chapter to protect the state's land, air, water, and other natural resources and the public health by improving waste management in the state to serve the following purposes:
 - (1) reduction in the amount and toxicity of waste generated;
 - (2) separation and recovery of materials and energy from waste;
 - (3) reduction in indiscriminate dependence on disposal of waste;
 - (4) coordination of solid waste management among political subdivisions; and
 - (5) orderly and deliberate development and financial security of waste facilities including disposal facilities.
- (b) The waste management goal of the state is to foster an integrated waste management system in a manner appropriate to the characteristics of the waste stream and thereby protect the state's land, air, water, and other natural resources and the public health. The following waste management practices are in order of preference:
 - (1) waste reduction and reuse;
 - (2) waste recycling;
 - (3) composting of yard waste and food waste;
 - (4) resource recovery through mixed municipal solid waste composting or incineration; and
- (5) <u>land disposal which involves the retrieval of methane gas as a fuel for the production of energy to be used on-site or for sale; and</u>
- (6) land disposal which does not involve the retrieval of methane gas as a fuel for the production of energy to be used on-site or for sale.

Sec. 59. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 115A.554, is amended to read:

115A.554 [AUTHORITY OF SANITARY DISTRICTS.]

A sanitary district has the authorities and duties of counties within the district's boundary for purposes of sections 115A.0716; 115A.46, subdivisions 4 and 5; 115A.48; 115A.551; 115A.552; 115A.553; 115A.919; 115A.929; 115A.93; 115A.96, subdivision 6; 115A.961; 116.072; 375.18, subdivision 14; 400.08; 400.16; and 400.161.

Sec. 60. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 115A.918, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [SCOPE.] The definitions in this section apply to this section and sections 115A.919 to 115A.929 115A.923.

Sec. 61. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 115B.42, is amended to read:

115B.42 [SOLID WASTE FUND.]

Subdivision 1. [ESTABLISHMENT; APPROPRIATION; SEPARATE ACCOUNTING.] (a) The solid waste fund is established in the state treasury. The fund consists of money credited to the fund and interest earned on the money in the fund. Except as provided in subdivision 2, clause clauses (7) and (8), money in the fund is annually appropriated to the commissioner for the purposes listed in subdivision 2.

(b) The commissioner of finance shall separately account for revenue deposited in the fund from financial assurance funds or other mechanisms, the metropolitan landfill contingency action trust fund, and all other sources of revenue.

Subd. 2. [EXPENDITURES.] (a) Money in the fund may be spent by the commissioner to:

- (1) inspect permitted mixed municipal solid waste disposal facilities to:
- (i) evaluate the adequacy of final cover, slopes, vegetation, and erosion control;
- (ii) determine the presence and concentration of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants, and decomposition gases; and
 - (iii) determine the boundaries of fill areas;
- (2) monitor and take, or reimburse others for, environmental response actions, including emergency response actions, at qualified facilities;
 - (3) acquire and dispose of property under section 115B.412, subdivision 3;
 - (4) recover costs under section 115B.39;
 - (5) administer, including providing staff and administrative support for, sections 115B.39 to 115B.445;
 - (6) enforce sections 115B.39 to 115B.445;
 - (7) subject to appropriation, administer the agency's groundwater and solid waste management programs;
- (8) <u>subject to appropriation</u>, <u>pay for private water supply monitoring and health assessment costs of the commissioner of health in areas contaminated by unpermitted mixed municipal solid waste disposal facilities;</u>
 - (9) reimburse persons under section 115B.43; and
- (9) (10) reimburse mediation expenses up to a total of \$250,000 annually or defense costs up to a total of \$250,000 annually for third-party claims for response costs under state or federal law as provided in section 115B.414.

Sec. 62. [115B.421] [CLOSED LANDFILL INVESTMENT FUND.]

The closed landfill investment fund is established in the state treasury. The fund consists of money credited to the fund, and interest and other earnings on money in the fund. The commissioner of finance shall transfer an initial amount of \$5,100,000 from the balance in the solid waste fund beginning in fiscal year 2000 and shall continue to transfer \$5,100,000 for each following fiscal year, ceasing after 2003. The fund shall be managed to maximize long-term gain through the state board of investment. Money in the fund may be spent by the commissioner after fiscal year 2020 in accordance with section 115B.42, subdivision 2, clauses (1) to (6).

Sec. 63. [116.915] [MERCURY REDUCTION.]

Subdivision 1. [GOAL.] It is the goal of the state to reduce mercury contamination by reducing the release of mercury into the air and water of the state by 60 percent from 1990 levels by December 31, 2000, and by 70 percent from 1990 levels by December 31, 2005. The goal applies to the statewide total of releases from existing and new sources of mercury. The commissioner shall publish updated estimates of 1990 releases in the State Register.

- Subd. 2. [REDUCTION STRATEGIES.] The commissioner shall implement the strategies recommended by the mercury contamination reduction initiative advisory council and identified on pages 31 to 42 of the Minnesota pollution control agency's report entitled "Report on the Mercury Contamination Reduction Initiative Advisory Council's Results and Recommendations" as transmitted to the legislature by the commissioner's letter dated March 15, 1999. The commissioner shall solicit by July 1, 1999, voluntary reduction agreements from sources that emit more than 50 pounds of mercury per year.
- Subd. 3. [PROGRESS REPORTS.] The commissioner, in cooperation with the director of the office of environmental assistance, shall submit progress reports to the legislature on October 15, 2001, and October 15, 2005. The reports shall address the state's success in meeting the mercury release reduction goals of subdivision 1, and discuss whether different voluntary or mandatory reduction strategies are needed. The reports shall also discuss whether the reduction goals are still appropriate given the most recent information regarding mercury risks.
 - Sec. 64. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 116G.151, is amended to read:

116G.151 [REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET; FACILITIES IN MISSISSIPPI RIVER AREA.]

- (a) Until completion of an environmental assessment worksheet that complies with the rules of the environmental quality board and this section, a state or local agency may not issue a permit for construction or operation of a metal materials shredding project with a processing capacity in excess of 20,000 tons per month that would be located in the Mississippi river critical area, as described in section 116G.15, upstream from United States Corps of Engineers Lock and Dam Number One.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other statute, rule, or local ordinance, resolution, or moratorium, upon completion of an environmental assessment worksheet and issuance of a negative declaration, whether the completion and issuance occurs prior to the effective date of this section or thereafter, all state and local authorities, agencies, and jurisdictions must issue all permits, licenses, and variances that are necessary or convenient for the completion of any project or development under this section within 60 days after issuance of the negative declaration or 30 days from the effective date of this section.
- (c) The pollution control agency is the responsible governmental unit for the preparation of an environmental assessment worksheet required under this section.
- (e) (d) In addition to the contents required under law and rule, an environmental assessment worksheet completed under this section must also include the following major categories:
- (1) effects of operation of the project, including vibrations and airborne particulates and dust, on the Mississippi river;

- (2) effects of operation of the project, including vibrations and airborne particulates and dust, on adjacent businesses and on residents and neighborhoods;
 - (3) effects of operation of the project on barge and street traffic;
- (4) discussion of alternative sites considered by the project proposer for the proposed project, possible design modifications including site layout, and the magnitude of the project;
- (5) mitigation measures that could eliminate or minimize any adverse environmental effects of the proposed project;
 - (6) impact of the proposed project on the housing, park, and recreational use of the river;
 - (7) effects of waste and implication of the disposal of waste generated from the proposed project;
- (8) effects on water quality from the project operations, including wastewater generated from operations of the proposed project;
 - (9) potential effects from fugitive emissions, fumes, dust, noise, and vibrations from project operations;
 - (10) compatibility of the existing operation and proposed operation with other existing uses;
 - (11) the report of the expert required by paragraph $\frac{\text{(g)}}{\text{(h)}}$.
- $\frac{d}{d}$ (e) In addition to the publication and distribution provisions relating to environmental assessment worksheets under law and rule, notice of environmental assessment worksheets performed by this section shall also be published in a newspaper of general circulation as well as community newspapers in the affected neighborhoods.
- (e) (f) A public meeting in the affected communities must be held on the environmental assessment worksheet prepared under this section. After the public meeting on the environmental assessment worksheet, there must be an additional 30-day period for review and comment on the environmental assessment worksheet.
- (f) (g) If the pollution control agency determines that information necessary to make a reasonable decision about potential of significant environmental impacts is insufficient, the agency shall make a positive declaration and proceed with an environmental impact statement.
- (g) (h) The pollution control agency shall retain an expert in the field of toxicology who is capable of properly analyzing the potential effects and content of any airborne particulates, fugitive emissions, and dust that could be produced by a metal materials shredding project. The pollution control agency shall obtain any existing reports or documents from a governmental entity or project proposer that analyzes or evaluates the potential hazards of airborne particulates, fugitive emissions, or dust from the construction or operation of a metal materials shredding project in preparing the environmental assessment worksheet. The agency and the expert shall prepare, as part of the report, a risk assessment of the types of metals permitted to be shredded as compared to the types of materials that are likely to be processed at the facility. In performing the risk assessment, the agency and the expert must consider any actual experience at similar facilities. The report must be included as part of the environmental assessment worksheet.
- (h) (i) All negative declarations and permits issued by the pollution control agency and all other permits and licenses for projects to which this section applies may not be stayed in any manner by any litigation or appeal of any action of the agency by any party, whether the litigation or appeal is filed or perfected prior to or after the effective date of this section, unless the court of appeals finds clear and convincing evidence that a stay is necessary to prevent substantial damage to the environment and also requires a surety bond from the appellants in the amount of all lost profits and other damages established by the permittee by a preponderance of the evidence.

- (j) If the pollution control agency determines that under the rules of the environmental quality board an environmental impact statement should be prepared, the pollution control agency shall be the responsible governmental unit for preparation of the environmental impact statement.
- (k) A person aggrieved by a final decision of the pollution control agency or the commissioner of the pollution control agency with respect to any project to which this section applies may obtain judicial review with the court of appeals pursuant to sections 14.63 to 14.69 and may not obtain judicial review in state district court or under any other section of state law. Notwithstanding the time requirements of section 14.63, an aggrieved person may file an appeal with the court of appeals of a decision of the pollution control agency or the commissioner of the pollution control agency covered by this section and which is the subject of a pending district court action as of the effective date of this section within 30 days after the effective date of this section. Notwithstanding section 14.69, the standard of review applied by the court of appeals to appeals filed under this section shall be: (1) with regard to factual issues, whether the agency decision is arbitrary or capricious and without a rational basis; and (2) with regard to legal issues, whether the agency decision is in violation of constitutional provisions, in excess of statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency, or otherwise contrary to law. This paragraph applies to any actions pending in state district court for which there has not been a final decision on the merits as of the effective date of this section and any appeal of a decision by the pollution control agency or the commissioner of the pollution control agency subject to this section after its effective date.
- (1) If, in an environmental assessment worksheet, the pollution control agency has considered the factors set forth in paragraph (d), as they relate to a project covered by this section, and the environmental assessment worksheet demonstrates that the project will comply with applicable air emissions and water discharge standards, then a decision by the pollution control agency or the commissioner of the pollution control agency regarding the need for further environmental review and any findings in an environmental assessment worksheet are deemed valid and not arbitrary or capricious or without a rational basis.
 - Sec. 65. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 169.121, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 3. [CRIMINAL PENALTIES.] (a) As used in this section:
 - (1) "Prior impaired driving conviction" means a prior conviction under:
- (i) this section; Minnesota Statutes 1996, section 84.91, subdivision 1, paragraph (a), or 86B.331, subdivision 1, paragraph (a); section 169.1211; section 169.129; or section 360.0752;
- (ii) section 609.21, subdivision 1, clauses (2) to (6); subdivision 2, clauses (2) to (6); subdivision 2a, clauses (2) to (6); subdivision 2b, clauses (2) to (6); or subdivision 4, clauses (2) to (6); or
- (iii) an ordinance from this state, or a statute or ordinance from another state, in conformity with any provision listed in item (i) or (ii).

A prior impaired driving conviction also includes a prior juvenile adjudication that would have been a prior impaired driving conviction if committed by an adult.

- (2) "Prior license revocation" means a driver's license suspension, revocation, cancellation, denial, or disqualification under:
- (i) this section or section 169.1211, 169.123, 171.04, 171.14, 171.16, 171.165, 171.17, or 171.18 because of an alcohol-related incident;
- (ii) section 609.21, subdivision 1, clauses (2) to (6); subdivision 2, clauses (2) to (6); subdivision 2a, clauses (2) to (6); subdivision 2b, clauses (2) to (6); or subdivision 4, clauses (2) to (6); or
- (iii) an ordinance from this state, or a statute or ordinance from another state, in conformity with any provision listed in item (i) or (ii).

"Prior license revocation" also means the revocation of snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle operating privileges under section 84.911, or motorboat operating privileges under section 86B.335, for violations that occurred on or after August 1, 1995 1994; the revocation of snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle operating privileges under section 84.91; or the revocation of motorboat operating privileges under section 86B.331.

- (b) A person who violates subdivision 1, clause (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (g), or (h), or subdivision 1a, or an ordinance in conformity with any of them, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
 - (c) A person is guilty of a gross misdemeanor under any of the following circumstances:
 - (1) the person violates subdivision 1, clause (f);
- (2) the person violates subdivision 1, clause (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (g), or (h), or subdivision 1a, within five years of a prior impaired driving conviction or a prior license revocation;
 - (3) the person violates section 169.26 while in violation of subdivision 1; or
- (4) the person violates subdivision 1 or 1a while a child under the age of 16 is in the vehicle, if the child is more than 36 months younger than the violator.

A person convicted of a gross misdemeanor under this paragraph is subject to the mandatory penalties provided in subdivision 3d.

- (d) A person is guilty of an enhanced gross misdemeanor under any of the following circumstances:
- (1) the person violates subdivision 1, clause (f), or commits a violation described in paragraph (c), clause (3) or (4), within ten years of one or more prior impaired driving convictions or prior license revocations;
- (2) the person violates subdivision 1, clause (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (g), or (h), or subdivision 1a, within ten years of the first of two or more prior impaired driving convictions, two or more prior license revocations, or any combination of two or more prior impaired driving convictions and prior license revocations, based on separate incidents.

A person convicted of an enhanced gross misdemeanor under this paragraph may be sentenced to imprisonment in a local correctional facility for not more than two years or to payment of a fine of not more than \$3,000, or both. Additionally, the person is subject to the applicable mandatory penalties provided in subdivision 3e.

- (e) The court shall notify a person convicted of violating subdivision 1 or 1a that the registration plates of the person's motor vehicle may be impounded under section 168.042 and the vehicle may be subject to forfeiture under section 169.1217 upon a subsequent conviction for violating this section, section 169.129, or section 171.24, or a subsequent license revocation under section 169.123. The notice must describe the conduct and the time periods within which the conduct must occur in order to result in plate impoundment or forfeiture. The failure of the court to provide this information does not affect the applicability of the plate impoundment or the forfeiture provision to that person.
- (f) The attorney in the jurisdiction in which the violation occurred who is responsible for prosecution of misdemeanor violations of this section shall also be responsible for prosecution of gross misdemeanor and enhanced gross misdemeanor violations of this section.
- (g) The court must impose consecutive sentences when it sentences a person for a violation of this section or section 169.129 arising out of separate behavioral incidents. The court also must impose a consecutive sentence when it sentences a person for a violation of this section or section 169.129 and the person, at the time of sentencing, is on probation for, or serving, an executed sentence for a violation of this section or section 169.129 and the prior

sentence involved a separate behavioral incident. The court also may order that the sentence imposed for a violation of this section or section 169.129 shall run consecutively to a previously imposed misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony sentence for a violation other than this section or section 169.129.

- (h) When the court stays the sentence of a person convicted under this section, the length of the stay is governed by section 609.135, subdivision 2.
- (i) The court may impose consecutive sentences for offenses arising out of a single course of conduct as permitted in section 609.035, subdivision 2.
- (j) When an attorney responsible for prosecuting gross misdemeanors or enhanced gross misdemeanors under this section requests criminal history information relating to prior impaired driving convictions from a court, the court must furnish the information without charge.
- (k) A violation of subdivision 1a may be prosecuted either in the jurisdiction where the arresting officer observed the defendant driving, operating, or in control of the motor vehicle or in the jurisdiction where the refusal occurred.
 - Sec. 66. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 169.1217, subdivision 7a, is amended to read:
- Subd. 7a. [ADMINISTRATIVE FORFEITURE PROCEDURE.] (a) A motor vehicle used to commit a designated offense or used in conduct resulting in a designated license revocation is subject to administrative forfeiture under this subdivision.
- (b) When a motor vehicle is seized under subdivision 2, the appropriate agency shall serve the driver or operator of the vehicle with a notice of the seizure and intent to forfeit the vehicle. Additionally, when a motor vehicle is seized under subdivision 2, or within a reasonable time after that, all persons known to have an ownership or possessory interest in the vehicle must be notified of the seizure and the intent to forfeit the vehicle. Notice mailed by certified mail to the address shown in department of public safety records is sufficient notice to the registered owner of the vehicle. Otherwise, notice may be given in the manner provided by law for service of a summons in a civil action.
 - (c) The notice must be in writing and contain:
 - (1) a description of the vehicle seized;
 - (2) the date of seizure; and
- (3) notice of the right to obtain judicial review of the forfeiture and of the procedure for obtaining that judicial review, printed in English, Hmong, and Spanish. Substantially the following language must appear conspicuously: "IF YOU DO NOT DEMAND JUDICIAL REVIEW EXACTLY AS PRESCRIBED IN MINNESOTA STATUTES, SECTION 169.1217, SUBDIVISION 7a, YOU LOSE THE RIGHT TO A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OF THIS FORFEITURE AND YOU LOSE ANY RIGHT YOU MAY HAVE TO THE ABOVE DESCRIBED PROPERTY. YOU MAY NOT HAVE TO PAY THE FILING FEE FOR THE DEMAND IF DETERMINED YOU ARE UNABLE TO AFFORD THE FEE. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO PAY THE FILING FEE IF THE PROPERTY IS WORTH LESS THAN \$500 AND YOU FILE YOUR CLAIM IN CONCILIATION COURT."
- (d) Within 30 days following service of a notice of seizure and forfeiture under this subdivision, a claimant may file a demand for a judicial determination of the forfeiture. The demand must be in the form of a civil complaint and must be filed with the court administrator in the county in which the seizure occurred, together with proof of service of a copy of the complaint on the prosecuting authority having jurisdiction over the forfeiture, and the standard filing fee for civil actions unless the petitioner has the right to sue in forma pauperis under section 563.01. If the value of the seized property is less than \$500, the claimant may file an action in conciliation court for recovery of the seized vehicle without paying the conciliation court filing fee. No responsive pleading is required of the prosecuting authority and no court fees may be charged for the prosecuting authority's appearance in the

- matter. Except as provided in this section, judicial reviews and hearings are governed by section 169.123, subdivisions 5c and 6, and shall take place at the same time as any judicial review of the person's license revocation under section 169.123. The proceedings may be combined with any hearing on a petition filed under section 169.123, subdivision 5c, and are governed by the rules of civil procedure.
- (e) The complaint must be captioned in the name of the claimant as plaintiff and the seized vehicle as defendant, and must state with specificity the grounds on which the claimant alleges the vehicle was improperly seized and the plaintiff's interest in the vehicle seized. Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, an action for the return of a vehicle seized under this section may not be maintained by or on behalf of any person who has been served with a notice of seizure and forfeiture unless the person has complied with this subdivision.
- (f) If the claimant makes a timely demand for a judicial determination under this subdivision, the appropriate agency must conduct the forfeiture under subdivision 8.
- (g) If a demand for judicial determination of an administrative forfeiture is filed under this subdivision and the court orders the return of the seized vehicle, the court shall order that filing fees be reimbursed to the person who filed the demand. In addition, the court may order the payment of reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney fees under section 549.21, subdivision 2 549.211.
 - Sec. 67. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 169.1217, subdivision 9, is amended to read:
- Subd. 9. [DISPOSITION OF FORFEITED VEHICLE.] (a) If the vehicle is administratively forfeited under subdivision 7a, or if the court finds under subdivision 8 that the vehicle is subject to forfeiture under subdivisions 6 and 7, the appropriate agency shall:
 - (1) sell the vehicle and distribute the proceeds under paragraph (b); or
- (2) keep the vehicle for official use. If the agency keeps a forfeited motor vehicle for official use, it shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the motor vehicle is available for use by the agency's officers who participate in the drug abuse resistance education program.
- (b) The proceeds from the sale of forfeited vehicles, after payment of seizure, storage, forfeiture, and sale expenses, and satisfaction of valid liens against the property, must be forwarded to the treasury of the political subdivision that employs the appropriate agency responsible for the forfeiture for use in DWI-related enforcement, training and education. If the appropriate agency is an agency of state government, the net proceeds must be forwarded to the state treasury and credited to the general fund.
- (c) The proceeds from the sale of forfeited off-road recreational vehicles and motorboats, after payment of seizure, storage, forfeiture, and sale expenses, and satisfaction of valid liens against the property, must be forwarded to the state treasury and credited to the following funds:
- (1) if the forfeited vehicle is a motorboat, the net proceeds must be credited to the water recreation account in the natural resources fund;
- (2) if the forfeited vehicle is a snowmobile, the net proceeds must be credited to the snowmobile trails and enforcement account in the natural resources fund;
- (3) if the forfeited vehicle is an all-terrain vehicle, the net proceeds must be credited to the all-terrain vehicle account in the natural resources fund;
- (4) if the forfeited vehicle is an off-highway motorcycle, the net proceeds must be credited to the off-highway motorcycle account in the natural resources fund;

- (5) if the forfeited vehicle is an off-road vehicle, the net proceeds must be credited to the off-road vehicle account in the natural resources fund; and
 - (6) if otherwise, the net proceeds must be credited to the general fund.
 - Sec. 68. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 169.123, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [PEACE OFFICER DEFINED.] For purposes of this section, section 169.121, and section 169.1211, the term peace officer means (1) a state patrol officer, (2) University of Minnesota peace officer, (3) a constable as defined in section 367.40, subdivision 3, (4) police officer of any municipality, including towns having powers under section 368.01, or county, and (5) for purposes of violations of those sections in or on an off-road recreational vehicle or motorboat, or for violations of section 97B.065 or 97B.066, a state conservation officer.
 - Sec. 69. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 171.07, subdivision 12, is amended to read:
- Subd. 12. [SNOWMOBILE SAFETY CERTIFICATE.] (a) The department shall maintain in its records information transmitted electronically from the commissioner of natural resources identifying each person to whom the commissioner has issued a snowmobile safety certificate. The records transmitted from the department of natural resources must contain the full name and date of birth as required for the driver's license or identification card. Records that are not matched to a driver's license or identification card record may be deleted after seven years.
- (b) After receiving information under paragraph (a) that a person has received a snowmobile safety certificate, the department shall include, on all drivers' licenses or Minnesota identification cards subsequently issued to the person, a graphic or written indication that the person has received the certificate.
- (c) If a person who has received a snowmobile safety certificate applies for a driver's license or Minnesota identification card before that information has been transmitted to the department, the department may accept a copy of the certificate as proof of its issuance and shall then follow the procedures in paragraph (b).
 - Sec. 70. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 171.07, subdivision 13, is amended to read:
- Subd. 13. [FIREARMS SAFETY DESIGNATION.] (a) When an applicant <u>has a record transmitted to the department as described in paragraph (c) or presents a firearms safety certificate issued for successfully completing a firearms safety course administered under section 97B.015, voluntarily <u>and</u> requests a driver's license or identification card described in paragraph (b), <u>pays the required fees</u>, and otherwise qualifies, the department shall issue, renew, or reissue to the applicant a driver's license or Minnesota identification card described in paragraph (b).</u>
- (b) Pursuant to paragraph (a), the department shall issue a driver's license or Minnesota identification card bearing a designation or symbolic representation, as designed by the commissioner in consultation with the commissioner of natural resources, indicating graphic or written indication that the applicant has successfully completed a firearms safety course and is knowledgeable in firearms safety administered under section 97B.015.
- (c) The department shall maintain in its records information transmitted electronically from the commissioner of natural resources identifying each person to whom the commissioner has issued a firearms safety certificate. The records transmitted from the department of natural resources must contain the full name and date of birth as required for the driver's license or identification card. Records that are not matched to a driver's license or identification card record may be deleted after seven years.
 - Sec. 71. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 290.431, is amended to read:

290.431 [NONGAME WILDLIFE CHECKOFF.]

Every individual who files an income tax return or property tax refund claim form may designate on their original return that \$1 or more shall be added to the tax or deducted from the refund that would otherwise be payable by or to that individual and paid into an account to be established for the management of nongame wildlife. The

commissioner of revenue shall, on the income tax return and the property tax refund claim form, notify filers of their right to designate that a portion of their tax or refund shall be paid into the nongame wildlife management account. The sum of the amounts so designated to be paid shall be credited to the nongame wildlife management account for use by the nongame program of the section of wildlife in the department of natural resources. All interest earned on money accrued, gifts to the program, contributions to the program, and reimbursements of expenditures in the nongame wildlife management account shall be credited to the account by the state treasurer. The commissioner of natural resources shall submit a work program for each fiscal year and semiannual progress reports to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources in the form determined by the commission. None of the money provided in this section may be expended unless the commission has approved the work program.

The state pledges and agrees with all contributors to the nongame wildlife management account to use the funds contributed solely for the management of nongame wildlife projects and further agrees that it will not impose additional conditions or restrictions that will limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the commissioner of natural resources to use the available funds for the most efficient and effective management of nongame wildlife.

Sec. 72. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 290.432, is amended to read:

290.432 [CORPORATE NONGAME WILDLIFE CHECKOFF.]

A corporation that files an income tax return may designate on its original return that \$1 or more shall be added to the tax or deducted from the refund that would otherwise be payable by or to that corporation and paid into the nongame wildlife management account established by section 290.431 for use by the section of wildlife in the department of natural resources for its nongame wildlife program. The commissioner of revenue shall, on the corporate tax return, notify filers of their right to designate that a portion of their tax return be paid into the nongame wildlife management account for the protection of endangered natural resources. All interest earned on money accrued, gifts to the program, contributions to the program, and reimbursements of expenditures in the nongame wildlife management account shall be credited to the account by the state treasurer. The commissioner of natural resources shall submit a work program for each fiscal year to the legislative commission on Minnesota resources in the form determined by the commission. None of the money provided in this section may be spent unless the commission has approved the work program.

The state pledges and agrees with all corporate contributors to the nongame wildlife account to use the funds contributed solely for the nongame wildlife program and further agrees that it will not impose additional conditions or restrictions that will limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the commissioner of natural resources to use the available funds for the most efficient and effective management of those programs.

- Sec. 73. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 297H.13, subdivision 5, is amended to read:
- Subd. 5. [REPORT ON RECEIPTS.] The commissioner of revenue shall report to the chairs of the house and senate environment and natural resources committees; the house environment and natural resources finance division; the senate environment and agriculture budget division; the house tax committee and the senate taxes and tax laws committee; the commissioner of the pollution control agency; and the director of the office of environmental assistance on the total tax revenues received from the taxes imposed under this chapter. The reports shall be made as follows:
- (1) a report by May 31, 1998, July 31 of each year based on amounts received by the commissioner of revenue from January 1, 1998, through April 30, 1998 January 1 through June 30 of that year; and
- (2) a report by September 30, 1998, January 31 of each year based on amounts received by the commissioner of revenue from May 1, 1998, through August 31, 1998; and
- (3) a report by January 31, 1999, based on amounts received by the commissioner of revenue from September 1, 1998, through December 31, 1998 July 1 through December 31 of the preceding year.

Sec. 74. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 325E.11, is amended to read:

325E.11 [COLLECTION FACILITIES; NOTICE.]

- (a) Any person selling at retail or offering motor oil or motor oil filters for retail sale in this state shall:
- (1) post a notice indicating the nearest location where used motor oil and used motor oil filters may be returned at no cost for recycling or reuse;
 - (2) post a toll-free telephone number that may be called by the public to determine a convenient location; or
- (3) post a listing of locations where used motor oil and used motor oil filters may be returned at no cost for recycling or reuse; or
- (2) if the person is subject to section 325E.112, post a notice informing customers purchasing motor oil or motor oil filters of the location of the used motor oil and used motor oil filter collection site established by the retailer in accordance with section 325E.112 where used motor oil and used motor oil filters may be returned at no cost.
- (b) A notice under paragraph (a) shall be posted on or adjacent to the motor oil and motor oil filter displays, be at least 8-1/2 inches by 11 inches in size, contain the universal recycling symbol with the following language:
 - (1) "It is illegal to put used oil and used motor oil filters in the garbage.";
 - (2) "Recycle your used oil and used motor oil filters."; and
 - (3)(i) "There is a free collection site here for your used oil and used motor oil filters.";
- (ii) "There is a free collection site for used oil and used motor oil filters located at (name of business and street address).";
- (iii) "For the location of a free collection site for used oil and used motor oil filters call (toll-free phone number)."; or
 - (iv) "Here is a list of free collection sites for used oil and used motor oil filters."
- (c) The division of weights and measures under the department of public service shall enforce compliance with this section as provided in section 239.54. The pollution control agency shall enforce compliance with this section under sections 115.071 and 116.072 in coordination with the division of weights and measures.
 - Sec. 75. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 325E.112, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [COLLECTION SITE GOAL.] [COLLECTION.] (a) Retailers that sell at an individual location more than 1,000 motor oil filters per calendar year at retail for off-site installation must provide for collection of used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public. Retailers who do not collect the used motor oil and used motor oil filters at their individual locations may meet the requirement by entering into a written agreement with another party whose location is:
 - (1) within two miles of the retailer's location if the retailer is located:
 - (i) within the Interstate Highway 494/694 beltway;
 - (ii) in a home rule charter or statutory city or a town contiguous to the Interstate Highway 494/694 beltway; or
- (iii) in a home rule charter or statutory city of over 30,000 population within the metropolitan area as defined in section 473.121; or

- (2) within five miles of the retailer's location if the retailer is not in an area described in clause (1).
- (b) The written agreement must specify that the other party will accept from the public up to ten gallons of used motor oil and ten used motor oil filters per person per month during normal hours of operation unless:
- (1) the used motor oil is known to be contaminated with antifreeze, other hazardous waste, or other materials which may increase the cost of used motor oil management and disposal;
 - (2) the storage equipment for that particular waste is temporarily filled to capacity; or
 - (3) the used motor oil or used motor oil filters are from a business.
- (c) Persons accepting used motor oil from the public in accordance with this subdivision shall presume that the used motor oil is not contaminated with hazardous waste, provided the person offering the used motor oil is acting in good faith and the person accepting the used motor oil does not have evidence to the contrary. Persons collecting used motor oil from the public must take precautions to prevent contamination of used motor oil storage equipment. Precautions may include, but are not limited to, keeping a log of persons dropping off used motor oil, securing access to used motor oil storage equipment, or posting signage at the site indicating the proper use of the equipment.
- (d) Persons accepting used motor oil and used motor oil filters under paragraph (a), including persons accepting the oil and filters on behalf of the retailer, may not charge a fee when accepting ten gallons or less of used motor oil or ten or fewer used motor oil filters per person per month.
- (e) Persons that receive contaminated used motor oil may manage the used motor oil as household hazardous waste through publicly administered household hazardous waste collection programs, with approval from the household hazardous waste program. Used motor oil contaminated with hazardous waste from the public that cannot be managed through a household hazardous waste collection program must be managed as a hazardous waste in accordance with rules adopted by the pollution control agency. Motor oil and motor oil filter manufacturers and retailers shall seek to provide:
- (1) access to at least one nongovernment site for collection of used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public every five square miles in the seven-county metropolitan area; and
- (2) access to a nongovernment site for collection of used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public within the city or town with a population of greater than 1,500 outside the seven-county metropolitan area.
 - Sec. 76. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 325E.112, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM.] A contaminated used motor oil reimbursement program is established to provide reimbursement of the costs of disposing of contaminated used motor oil. In order to receive reimbursement, persons who accept used motor oil from the public or parties that they have contracted with to accept used motor oil must provide to the commissioner of the pollution control agency proof of contamination, information on methods the person used to prevent the contamination of used motor oil at the site, a copy of the billing for disposal costs incurred because of the contamination and proof of payment, and a copy of the hazardous waste manifest or shipping paper used to transport the waste. The commissioner shall reimburse a recipient of contaminated used motor oil 100 percent of the costs of properly disposing of the contaminated used motor oil. The commissioner may not reimburse persons who intentionally place contaminants or do not take precautions to prevent contaminants from being placed in used motor oil, or operate a private collection site that:
 - (1) is not publicly promotable or listed with the agency;
- (2) does not accept up to five gallons of used motor oil and five used motor oil filters per person per day without charging a fee; or

(3) does not control access to the site during times when the site is closed.

A person operating a collection site may refuse to accept any used motor oil or used motor oil filter:

- (1) that is from a business;
- (2) that appears to be contaminated with antifreeze, hazardous waste, or other materials that may increase the cost of used motor oil management and disposal; or
 - (3) when the storage equipment for that particular waste is temporarily filled.

Persons operating government collection sites are eligible for reimbursement of the costs of disposing of contaminated used motor oil. Reimbursements made under this subdivision are limited to the money available in the contaminated used motor oil reimbursement account.

- Sec. 77. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 325E.112, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [EDUCATION PROGRAM.] When the By June 30 of each year, the commissioner estimates that all shall estimate the amount of funds available under section 325E.113 that will not be expended for reimbursements; the commissioner may use the estimated unexpended funds and shall transfer all or a portion of the estimated unexpended funds to the office of environmental assistance to cover the costs of educating the public and businesses on the provisions of this section and on proper management of used motor oil, used motor oil filters, and other automotive wastes. In coordination with the pollution control agency, county solid waste administrators, used motor oil and used motor oil filter collection site operators, and manufacturers and retailers of motor oil and motor oil filters, the director of the office of environmental assistance shall educate the public and businesses on the proper management of used motor oil, used motor oil filters, and other automotive wastes. As part of the education efforts, the director shall make information available to the public and businesses regarding the proper management of used motor oil, used motor oil filters, and other automotive wastes on the office's World Wide Web page. The commissioner of the pollution control agency shall also make information regarding the proper management of used motor oil, used motor oil filters, and other automotive wastes available on the agency's World Wide Web page.
 - Sec. 78. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 325E.112, subdivision 4, is amended to read:
- Subd. 4. [LIABILITY EXEMPTION.] Persons who accept used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public and retailers and manufacturers who contract with such persons for purposes of subdivision 1 are exempt from liability under chapter 115B for the used motor oil, contaminated used motor oil, and used motor oil filters accepted under the provisions of subdivision 1 at facilities that accept used motor oil or used motor oil filters from the public free of charge, after the used motor oil, contaminated used motor oil, and used motor oil filters are sent off-site in compliance with rules adopted by the pollution control agency.
 - Sec. 79. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 325E.113, is amended to read:

325E.113 [CONTAMINATED USED MOTOR OIL REIMBURSEMENT ACCOUNT.]

The contaminated used motor oil reimbursement account is established in the environmental fund. Money in the account is appropriated to the commissioner of the pollution control agency for the commissioner's activities under section 325E.112 and to complete the study required by section 86, except that the commissioner may not expend more than \$50,000 for the study required by section 86.

Sec. 80. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 574.263, is amended to read:

574.263 [FORESTRY NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.]

Subdivision 1. [DEFINITION.] For the purposes of this section and section 574.264, "forestry natural resource development project" includes site preparation by discing, shearing, rock raking or piling, patch scarification, or furrowing; prairie restoration; creation of wildlife openings and other wildlife habitat improvements; landscape

<u>clearing</u>; tree planting; tree seeding; tree pruning; timber stand improvement by thinning or clearing existing forest trees by manual, mechanical, or chemical techniques; or <u>forest road and bridge</u> construction, reconstruction, and maintenance <u>of department of natural resources trails</u>, <u>public accesses</u>, <u>water control structures</u>, <u>fish barriers</u>, <u>sewage treatment systems</u>, <u>roads</u>, <u>and bridges</u>.

- Subd. 2. [CONTRACTOR'S BOND.] A contract with the state for a <u>forestry natural resource</u> development project may require a performance bond at the discretion of the commissioner of natural resources. If the commissioner determines that a performance bond is required, it shall not be less than five percent of the contract price.
- Subd. 3. [BID DEPOSIT IN PLACE OF PERFORMANCE BOND.] For a contract made by the commissioner for a forestry natural resource development project, the commissioner may require a bid deposit in place of a performance bond for charges that may accrue because of doing the specified work and to enforce the terms of the contract. The commissioner may set the amount of the bid deposit, but it may not be less than five percent of the contract price.
- Subd. 4. [PAYMENT BOND.] A contract with the state for a forestry <u>natural resource</u> development project may require a payment bond at the discretion of the commissioner of natural resources. If the commissioner determines that a payment bond is required, the commissioner also has the discretion to decide whether the bond may be in the form of securities in place of a bond as provided in section 574.264. If so, the securities cannot have less value than five percent of the contract price.
 - Sec. 81. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 574.264, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [FOREST NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.] In place of a performance or payment bond or bid deposit for a state contract for a forestry natural resource development project less than \$50,000, the person required to file the bond or bid deposit may deposit in a local designated state depository or with the state treasurer a certified check, a cashier's check, a postal, bank, or express money order, assignable bonds or notes of the United States, or an assignment of a bank savings account or investment certificate or an irrevocable bank letter of credit, in the same amount that would be required for the bond or bid deposit. If securities listed in this section are deposited, their value shall not be less than the amount required for the bond or bid deposit and the person required to file the bond or bid deposit shall submit an agreement authorizing the commissioner to sell or otherwise take possession of the securities in the event of default under the contract or nonpayment of any persons furnishing labor and materials under, or to perform, the contract.

Sec. 82. Laws 1995, chapter 220, section 142, as amended by Laws 1995, chapter 263, section 12, and Laws 1996, chapter 351, section 1, is amended to read:

Sec. 142. [EFFECTIVE DATES.]

Sections 2, 5, 7, 20, 42, 44 to 49, 56, 57, 101, 102, 117, and 141, paragraph (d), are effective the day following final enactment.

Sections 114, 115, 118, and 121 are effective January 1, 1996.

Sections 120, subdivisions 2, 3, 4, and 5, and 141, paragraph (c), are effective July 1, 1996.

Section 141, paragraph (b), is effective June 30, 1999 December 31, 1999.

Sections 58 and 66 are effective retroactively to August 1, 1991.

Section 119 is effective September 1, 1996.

Section 120, subdivision 1, is effective July 1, 1999.

3273

Sec. 83. Laws 1996, chapter 351, section 2, as amended by Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 141, is amended to read:

Sec. 2. [RECYCLING GOALS AND ACTIONS.]

Subdivision 1. (a) The following recycling or reuse goals shall be considered met if the actions in this subdivision are initiated by the identified parties on or before September 1, 1997, and are fully completed by December 31, 1998. Additionally, the goals in paragraph (b) must be met in at least 50 percent of counties by December 31, 1997; 75 percent by June 1, 1998; and 100 percent by December 31, 1998.

- (b) Motor oil and motor oil filter manufacturers and retailers shall ensure that:
- (1) at least 90 percent of residents within the seven-county metropolitan area and residents of a city or town with a population greater than 1,500 have access to a free nongovernment collection site for used motor oil and used motor oil filters within five miles of their residences; and
- (2) at least one free nongovernment collection site for used motor oil and used motor oil filters generated by the public would be located in each county.
- (c) Motor oil and motor oil filter manufacturers and retailers shall inform the public about environmental problems associated with improper disposal of used motor oil and used motor oil filters and proper disposal practices for used motor oil and used motor oil filters. At a minimum, this shall include public service announcements designed to reach residents of the state that generate used motor oil and used motor oil filters.
- (d) (b) The commissioner of the pollution control agency director of the office of environmental assistance shall, by December 31, 1997, and at least annually thereafter or more frequently if deemed necessary, request motor oil and motor oil filter manufacturers and retailers, persons who haul used motor oil and used motor oil filters, and nongovernment persons who accept used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public to provide an updated list of all existing sites that collect used motor oil, used motor oil filters, or both, from the public, delineating for public promotion which sites collect for free. The commissioner shall use this information to determine whether the parties identified in paragraph (b) have met the goals listed in that paragraph. A collection site operated by the state or a political subdivision, as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 115A.03, subdivision 24, may be counted towards meeting recycling goals, provided that the parties responsible for meeting the goals of this subdivision voluntarily reimburse the state or political subdivision for all of the costs at that collection site that are associated with used motor oil and used motor oil filter recycling. Persons who accept used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public shall cooperate with manufacturers and retailers of motor oil and motor oil filters to inform the agency office of environmental assistance within ten 30 days of initiating or ceasing to collect used motor oil or used motor oil filters from the public. The information shall be provided in a form and manner prescribed by the commissioner director of the office of environmental assistance. Using the information provided under this paragraph, the director of the office of environmental assistance shall prepare and make available to the public a list of all existing sites that collect used motor oil, used motor oil filters, or both from the public. The list must include all sites in the state, including both government and nongovernment collection sites and both sites that accept used motor oil or used motor oil filters free of charge or for a fee. The director shall update the list at least annually.
- (e) (c) Motor oil filter manufacturers shall disclose to retailers whether lead has been intentionally introduced in manufacturing, and retailers shall not knowingly sell motor oil filters containing lead intentionally introduced in manufacturing.
- Subd. 2. The commissioner of the pollution control agency may appoint an advisory group of diverse interests to assist the agency with experimentation with various approaches to public education, financial incentives, waste management, and other issues that might affect the effectiveness of recycling efforts. The commissioner may request parties responsible for meeting the recycling goals in subdivision 1 to voluntarily pay for some of the experimentation costs. The existence of this advisory group in no way relieves the parties identified in subdivision 1 of responsibility for meeting the goals listed in that subdivision. The commissioner of the pollution control agency shall appoint an advisory group chair.

Subd. 3. By January 15, 1999, the commissioner of the pollution control agency shall report to the environment and natural resources committees of the senate and the house of representatives on the amount of used motor oil and used motor oil filters being recycled and whether the goals in subdivision 1 have been met and recommend whether the mandate for retailers of motor oil and filters described in Minnesota Statutes, section 325E.112, subdivision 1, is needed to achieve the recycling goals.

Sec. 84. Laws 1998, chapter 404, section 7, subdivision 23, is amended to read:

Subd. 23. Metro Regional Trails

5,000,000

For grants to the metropolitan council for acquisition and development of a capital nature of trail connections in the metropolitan area as specified in this subdivision. The purpose of the grants is to improve trails in the metropolitan park and open space system and connect them with existing state and regional trails. Priority shall be given to matching funds for an ISTEA grant.

The funds shall be allocated by the council as follows:

- (1) \$1,050,000 is allocated to Ramsey county as follows:
- (i) \$400,000 to complete six miles of trails between the Burlington Northern Regional Trail and Bald Eagle-Otter Lake Regional Park;
- (ii) \$150,000 to complete a one-mile connection between Birch Lake and the Lake Tamarack segment of Bald Eagle-Otter Lake Regional Park;
- (iii) \$500,000 to acquire real property and design and construct or renovate recreation facilities along the Mississippi River in cooperation with the city of St. Paul;
- (2) \$1,050,000 is allocated to the city of St. Paul as follows:
- (i) \$250,000 to construct a bridge over Lexington Parkway in Como Regional Park; and
- (ii) \$800,000 to enhance amenities for the trailhead at the Lilydale-Harriet Island Regional Park pavilion;
- (3) \$1,400,000 is allocated to Anoka county as follows to construct:
- (i) \$1,100,000 to construct a pedestrian tunnel under Highway 65 on the Rice Creek West Regional Trail in the city of Fridley; and
- (ii) \$300,000 to construct a pedestrian bridge on the Mississippi River Regional Trail crossing over Mississippi Street in the city of Fridley; and

- (4) \$1,500,000 is allocated to the suburban Hennepin regional park district as follows:
- (i) \$1,000,000 to connect North Hennepin Regional Trail to Luce Line State Trail and Medicine Lake; and
- (ii) \$500,000 is for the cost of development and acquisition of the Southwest regional trail in the city of St. Louis Park. The trail must connect the Minneapolis regional trail system at Cedar Lake park to the Hennepin parks regional trail system at the Hopkins trail head.
 - Sec. 85. Laws 1998, chapter 404, section 7, subdivision 26, is amended to read:

Subd. 26. Local Initiative Grants

8,000,000

For matching grants to be provided to local units of government for acquisition, development, or renovation of a capital nature of local parks, trails, and natural and scenic areas. Recipients must provide a match of at least one-half of total eligible project costs. The commissioner shall make payment to local units of government upon receiving documentation of reimbursable expenditures. The commissioner shall determine project priorities as appropriate based upon need.

- \$3,500,000 of this appropriation is for grants to units of government to acquire and develop outdoor recreation areas, and for grants to units of government to acquire and better natural and scenic areas under Minnesota Statutes, section 85.019, subdivision 4a.
- \$1,000,000 of this appropriation is for cooperative trail grants of up to \$50,000 per project to acquire or construct trail linkages between communities, trails, and parks.
- \$3,500,000 of this appropriation is for trail grants for the following locally funded publicly owned trails serving multiple communities: \$1,400,000 for Beaver Island Trail in Stearns County, \$1,400,000 for Skunk Hollow Trail in Yellow Medicine and Chippewa Counties, and \$700,000 for Unity Trail in Faribault County. The grant for Beaver Island Trail in Stearns County is available in the manner and the order that follows: \$500,000 is available upon commitment of an equal amount from nonstate sources, \$152,000 is available upon contribution of an equal amount from local governments, \$374,000 is available upon commitment of an equal amount from nonstate sources, and the balance of \$374,000 is available upon commitment of an equal amount from nonstate sources.

Sec. 86. [ANALYSIS OF USED OIL FILTER DISPOSAL METHODS.]

<u>In consultation with the office of environmental assistance, representatives of motor oil manufacturers, representatives of site that accept used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public, and representatives of the haulers of mixed municipal solid waste, the commissioner of</u>

the pollution control agency shall analyze the technical feasibility of alternative methods of disposing of and recycling of used oil motor filters. The commissioner shall report to the chairs of the house and senate committees with jurisdiction over environmental policy and finance issues by January 15, 2001 on the findings of the analysis performed under this section and any recommendations.

Sec. 87. [PRIVATE CONVEYANCE OF STATE LAND; ROCK COUNTY.]

- (a) Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, sections 94.09 to 94.16, the commissioner of natural resources may sell the state-owned land described in paragraph (c) by private sale to the adjacent landowner east of the township road.
- (b) The consideration for the sale shall be the land's appraised value as certified by the state and the conveyance shall be in a form approved by the attorney general.
 - (c) The land to be sold is located in Rock county, consists of 0.6 acres, more or less, and is described as:

That part of the Northwest Quarter of Section 13, Township 103 North, Range 45 West, described as follows:

Commencing at the West Quarter corner of Section 13; thence North 00 degrees 17 minutes 27 seconds West (assumed bearing) along the west line of the Northwest Quarter of said section a distance of 128.17 feet to the point of beginning; thence continuing North 00 degrees 17 minutes 27 seconds West along said west line a distance of 11.84 feet to a point 140.00 feet north of the south line of the Northwest Quarter of said section and the northwest corner of that certain tract of land conveyed to the state of Minnesota by final certificate, filed for record in the office of the Rock county recorder on May 19, 1938, in Book "M" of Miscl., pages 515-517; thence South 89 degrees 28 minutes 55 seconds East parallel with the south line of the Northwest Quarter of said section and along the north line of said tract a distance of 1474.45 feet to the northeast corner of said tract; thence South 00 degrees 17 minutes 27 seconds East parallel with the west line of said section and along the east line of said tract a distance of 25.29 feet to an iron stake with DNR caps; thence North 88 degrees 57 minutes 33 seconds West along an existing fence line a distance of 1092.38 feet to Point A and an iron stake; thence continuing North 88 degrees 57 minutes 33 seconds West along said fence line extended a distance of 382.32 feet to said point of beginning.

Said tract is subject to a roadway easement and any other easements of record if any.

(d) The deed from the commissioner shall include the following restrictive covenant: that part of the above described tract of land lying easterly of and within 60 feet of Point A shall be maintained in tall grass cover with no use for livestock purposes. A breach of such restrictive covenant shall result in the automatic reversion of the restricted land to the state.

Sec. 88. [RULEMAKING AUTHORITY REVOKED.]

<u>Subdivision 1.</u> [AUTHORITY REVOKED.] <u>Notwithstanding other law to the contrary, the commissioner of natural resources is without authority to adopt the rules proposed in the State Register, volume 23, pages 751 to 763, October 5, 1998.</u>

Subd. 2. [EFFECTIVE DATE.] Subdivision 1 is effective retroactively to October 4, 1998.

Sec. 89. [FARMSTEAD WINDBREAK RULES.]

The board of water and soil resources must adopt rules to implement a cost-share program for farmstead windbreaks.

Sec. 90. [ANALYSIS OF ELECTRONIC DEVICES.]

The commissioner of natural resources shall assess the use of electronic devices used in consumptive activities related to fish and wildlife resources through creel surveys, other user surveys, or point of license purchase. The commissioner shall report to the legislature by January 15, 2000, the findings of the surveys and provide an analysis of the feasibility of assessing the impact of current and anticipated use of electronic devices on fish and wildlife resources.

Sec. 91. [CONSERVATION LICENSE STUDY.]

The commissioner of natural resources shall conduct a study on the feasibility of creating a conservation angling license that imposes lower catch limits. The study must at a minimum address whether a conservation angling license would substantially preserve fish resources, evaluate the fiscal impact of such a license on the game and fish fund, and recommend a fee for the license. The commissioner shall report the study findings and recommendations to the legislature by January 15, 2000.

Sec. 92. [STATE PARK LIFETIME PASS.]

The commissioner of natural resources must study the concept and possibility of a lifetime state park entrance pass for residents. The commissioner must address the cost of a lifetime pass, the incentive it may create for more residents to purchase a pass, and any possible gain or loss to state park income.

Sec. 93. [COMMISSIONER'S ORDERS RESCINDED.]

The commissioner of natural resources' order of January 3, 1999, designating certain lands as wildlife management areas is rescinded.

Sec. 94. [STUDY COMMITTEE REGARDING NEED FOR CENTRAL COLLECTION WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM.]

The commissioner of the Minnesota pollution control agency shall convene a committee of interested persons to address the need for central collection wastewater treatment systems in unsewered areas. The committee shall evaluate the effectiveness of alternative system designs and identify regulatory and other barriers to cost-efficient design and construction. The commissioner shall report the results of the committee's evaluation to the house and senate committees with jurisdiction over environmental policy and budget issues.

Sec. 95. [REPEALER.]

<u>Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 86B.415, subdivision 7a; 115A.929; 115A.9651; 115A.981; 297H.13, subdivision 6; and 473.845, subdivision 2, are repealed effective the day following final enactment. Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 1.31; and 325E.112, subdivision 5, are repealed effective July 1, 1999. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 84B.11, is repealed effective June 30, 2000.</u>

Sec. 96. [EFFECTIVE DATE.]

Sections 15 to 18, 21 to 25, 34, 35, 47, 58 to 72, 73, 80 to 82, 85, 88, and 92 are effective on the day following final enactment. Section 33 is effective January 1, 2000. Sections 37 to 45 are effective March 1, 2000.

ARTICLE 2

AGRICULTURE

Section 1. [AGRICULTURE APPROPRIATIONS.]

The sums shown in the columns marked "APPROPRIATIONS" are appropriated from the general fund, or another named fund, to the agencies and for the purposes specified in this article, to be available for the fiscal years indicated

for each purpose. The figures "1999," "2000," and "2001," where used in this article, mean that the appropriation or appropriations listed under them are available for the year ending June 30, 1999, June 30, 2000, or June 30, 2001, respectively.

SUMMARY BY FUND

	1999	2000	2001	TOTAL
General	\$ -0-	\$35,426,000	\$30,684,000	\$66,110,000
Special Revenue		10,267,000	10,441,000	20,708,000
Environmental		336,000	342,000	678,000
TOTAL	\$ -0-	\$46,029,000	\$41,467,000	\$87.496.000

21,873,000

APPROPRIATIONS Available for the Year Ending June 30 2000 2001

Sec. 2. AGRICULTURE

Subdivision 1. Total Appropriation

\$38,632,000

\$34,016,000

Summary by Fund

General	28,229,000	23,433,000
Special Revenue	10,067,000	10,241,000
Environmental	336,000	342 000

The amounts that may be spent from this appropriation for each program are specified in the following subdivisions.

Subd. 2. Protection Service

	Summary by Fund	
General	11,253,000	11,432,000
Special Revenue	9,926,000	10,099,000
Environmental	336,000	342,000

21,515,000

\$336,000 the first year and \$342,000 the second year are from the environmental response, compensation, and compliance account in the environmental fund.

The amounts listed in paragraphs (a) to (h) are from the accounts in the special revenue fund for the purposes indicated. If the commissioner determines that expenditures must be increased above the amount appropriated for the purpose indicated, and if receipts plus accumulated balances in the account are adequate, the amount of the excess is appropriated after the proposed increase has been submitted for review to the chairs of the house ways and means committee, the house agriculture and rural development finance committee, the senate state government finance committee, and the senate environment and agriculture budget division.

- (a) \$4,466,000 the first year and \$4,554,000 the second year are from the pesticide regulatory account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 18B.131, for administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 18B.
- (b) \$1,034,000 the first year and \$1,055,000 the second year are from the fertilizer inspection account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 18C.131, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 18C.
- (c) \$374,000 the first year and \$380,000 the second year are from the seed potato inspection account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 21.115, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, sections 21.111 to 21.122.
- (d) \$766,000 the first year and \$782,000 the second year are from the seed inspection account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 21.92, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, sections 21.80 to 21.92.
- (e) \$763,000 the first year and \$780,000 the second year are from the commercial feed inspection account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 25.39, subdivision 4, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, sections 25.35 to 25.44.
- (f) \$536,000 the first year and \$547,000 the second year are from the fruit and vegetable inspection account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 27.07, subdivision 6, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, section 27.07.
- (g) \$1,656,000 the first year and \$1,662,000 the second year are from the dairy services account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 32.394, subdivision 9, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 32.
- (h) \$331,000 the first year and \$339,000 the second year are from the livestock weighing account established under Minnesota Statutes, section 17A.11, for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 17A.
- \$200,000 the first year shall be transferred to the seed potato inspection fund and used for the administration and enforcement of Minnesota Statutes, sections 21.80 to 21.92. This appropriation is to supplement the fees paid by seed potato growers. This is a one-time appropriation.
- \$100,000 the first year is to conduct a predesign study for a joint agency laboratory that will serve the environmental laboratory needs of the department of agriculture, department of natural resources, pollution control agency, and the Minnesota department of health. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$25,000 the first year and \$25,000 the second year are for expenses associated with the licensing and management of cervidae shooting preserves in section 12. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$250,000 the first year and \$50,000 the second year shall be transferred to the grain inspection account to replace revenues lost due to poor yields and low market prices for grains during 1999. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$30,000 the first year and \$30,000 the second year are to replace cuts in federal funding for the elevator inspection program. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$158,000 the first year and \$158,000 the second year are for payment of claims relating to livestock damaged by threatened or endangered animal species and agricultural crops damaged by elk. If the appropriation for either year is insufficient, the appropriation for the other year is available for it. This is not a one-time appropriation.

Subd. 3. Agricultural Marketing and Development

	_	•	-
		10,757,000	6,009,000
		Summary by Fund	
General Special		10,616,000 141.000	5,867,000 142,000

\$141,000 the first year and \$142,000 the second year are from the commodities research and promotion account established in Minnesota Statutes, section 17.59, subdivision 5. If the commissioner determines that expenditures must be increased above the amount appropriated, and if receipts plus accumulated balances in the account are adequate, the amount of the excess is appropriated after the proposed increase has been submitted for review to the chair of the house ways and means committee, the house agriculture and rural development finance committee, the senate state government finance committee, and the senate environment and agriculture budget division.

\$25,000 the first year and \$25,000 the second year are for a grant to the University of Minnesota for research on grazing or organic farming. This is a one-time appropriation.

Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 41A.09, subdivision 3a, the total payments from the ethanol development account to all producers may not exceed \$74,117,000 for the biennium ending June 30, 2001. If the total amount for which all producers are eligible in a quarter exceeds the amount available for payments, the commissioner shall make the payments on a pro rata basis. In fiscal year 2000, the commissioner shall first reimburse producers for eligible unpaid claims accumulated through June 30, 1999.

\$200,000 the first year is for a loan from the rural finance authority to an entity that develops a facility that uses poultry litter as a fuel for the generation of electricity. Principal and interest payments on the loan must be deposited in the general fund.

\$300,000 the first year is for an operating loan from the rural finance authority to a cooperative association organized under Minnesota Statutes, chapter 308A, for development and operation of a livestock packing plant. Principal and interest payments on the loan must be deposited in the general fund.

\$50,000 the first year is for the commissioner, in consultation with the commissioner of economic development, to conduct a study of the need for a commercial shipping port at which agricultural cooperatives or individual farmers would have access to port facilities.

\$300,000 the first year is for an operating loan from the rural finance authority to a cooperative association organized under Minnesota Statutes, chapter 308A, for development and operation of an alfalfa pelletizing plant. Principal and interest payments on the loan must be deposited in the general fund.

Notwithstanding the transfers from the ethanol development fund to the general fund required under Laws 1997, chapter 216, section 17, and Laws 1998, chapter 401, section 10, \$500,000 must be retained in the ethanol development fund until June 30, 2000. This sum is available for making one additional loan under Minnesota Statutes, section 41B.044. This provision is effective the day following final enactment.

\$1,500,000 the first year is for a grant to a qualified institution or organization to pursue further research on diseases of soybeans including, but not limited to, soybean cyst nematode (SCN), white mold (sclerotinia stem rot), phytophthora root rot (PRR), and iron deficiency chlorosis. \$300,000 of this appropriation may be designated for research on specialty gene traits of soybeans. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$100,000 the first year is for a grant to a qualified institution to fund research on turkey respiratory disease control and prevention. This appropriation is in addition to other public and nonpublic money for turkey research. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$100,000 the first year is for a grant to a qualified institution to fund research on potato aphids. This appropriation is in addition to other public and nonpublic money for potato aphid research. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$120,000 the first year is for a grant to the University of Minnesota extension service for its farm safety and health program. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$400,000 the first year and \$100,000 the second year are to establish an agricultural water quality and quantity management, research, demonstration, and education program. Of this biennial appropriation, \$250,000 is for projects at the Lamberton site and \$250,000 is for projects at the Waseca site. The commissioner may contract with the University of Minnesota or others for the implementation of parts of the program. If the appropriation for either is insufficient, the appropriation for the other year is available. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$500,000 the first year is for a grant to the University of Minnesota for the agricultural experiment stations. This amount must be distributed to the stations in equal amounts and must be used for agricultural crop and livestock research projects. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$300,000 the first year is for a grant to the Minnesota agriculture education leadership council for a planning grant for an urban agricultural high school. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2001. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year are for grants to the Minnesota agriculture education leadership council for grants to schools and community organizations for agricultural education programs. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$900,000 the first year and \$462,000 the second year are to the commissioner of agriculture for programs to aggressively promote, develop, expand, and enhance the marketing of agricultural products from Minnesota producers and processors. commissioner must enter into collaborative efforts with the department of trade and economic development, the world trade center corporation, and other public or private entities knowledgeable in market identification and development. The commissioner may also contract with or make grants to public or private organizations involved in efforts to enhance communication between producers and markets and organizations that identify, develop, and promote the marketing of Minnesota agricultural crops, livestock, and produce in local, regional, national, and international marketplaces. Grants may be provided to appropriate organizations including those functioning as marketing clubs, to a cooperative known as Minnesota Marketplace, and to recognized associations of producers or processors of organic foods or Minnesota grown specialty crops. Beginning October 15, 1999, and 15 days after the close of each calendar quarter thereafter, the commissioner shall provide to the senate and house committees with jurisdiction over agriculture policy and funding interim reports of the progress toward accomplishing the goals of this item. The commissioner shall deliver a final report on March 1, 2001. If the appropriation for either year is insufficient, the appropriation for the other year is available. This is a one-time appropriation that remains available until expended.

\$30,000 the first year is for staff support and other expenses of the roundtable to assess producer production contracts under section 58. This appropriation is available until June 30, 2001. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$40,000 the first year and \$10,000 the second year are for development of a site on the Internet for extending "Ag in the Classroom" information and materials and maintenance of the site. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$125,000 the first year and \$125,000 the second year are for a grant to the University of Minnesota to employ and support a senior researcher in plant genetics for additional research on the development of scab-resistant wheat varieties. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$400,000 the first year is for a grant to the Minnesota state colleges and universities for providing financial analysis assistance to farm operators who apply for farm operating loans. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$71,000 the first year and \$71,000 the second year are for transfer to the Minnesota grown matching account and may be used as grants for Minnesota grown promotion under Minnesota Statutes, section 17.109.

\$610,000 the first year and \$460,000 the second year are for continued research of solutions and alternatives for manure management and odor control. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$50,000 the first year and \$50,000 the second year are for beaver damage control grants for the purposes of Minnesota Statutes, section 17.110.

\$80,000 the first year and \$80,000 the second year are for grants to farmers for demonstration projects involving sustainable agriculture. If a project cost is more than \$25,000, the amount above \$25,000 must be matched at the rate of one state dollar for each dollar of nonstate money. Priorities must be given for projects involving multiple parties. Up to \$20,000 each year may be used for dissemination of information about the demonstration grant projects. If the appropriation for either year is insufficient, the appropriation for the other is available.

\$501,000 the first year and \$501,000 the second year are for support of the dairy diagnostic teams.

Subd. 4. Administration and Financial Assistance

6,360,000

6,134,000

\$49,000 the first year and \$49,000 the second year are for family farm security interest payment adjustments. If the appropriation for either year is insufficient, the appropriation for the other year is available for it. No new loans may be approved in fiscal year 2000 or 2001.

\$254,000 the first year and \$256,000 the second year are for the farm advocates program.

\$70,000 the first year and \$70,000 the second year are for the Northern Crops Institute. These appropriations may be spent to purchase equipment.

\$250,000 the first year is for creation of a rapid response fund under the control of the commissioner for response to agricultural crop or livestock emergency situations. This is a one-time appropriation and remains available until expended.

\$150,000 the first year and \$150,000 the second year are for grants to agriculture information centers. The grants are only available on a match basis. The funds may be released at the rate of \$5 of state money for each \$1 of matching nonstate money.

\$19,000 the first year and \$19,000 the second year are for a grant to the Minnesota Livestock Breeders' Association.

The pilot program for distribution of coupons through the sustainable resource center under Laws 1998, chapter 401, section 6, is canceled.

\$175,000 the first year and \$175,000 the second year must be spent for the WIC coupon program.

\$50,000 the first year and \$50,000 the second year are for the Passing on the Farm Center under Minnesota Statutes, section 17.985. This appropriation is available only to the extent matched with nonstate money.

\$1,767,000 the first year and \$1,697,000 the second year are for an electronic information management system.

\$267,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are for the dairy inspection account. Of the first year appropriation, up to \$50,000 is available for additional funding of beaver damage control grants. This is a one-time appropriation. By February 15, 2000, the commissioner shall review the fairness and equity of the fee structure for dairy inspections and report the findings to the legislature.

\$50,000 the first year is to complete a study of the business climate for dairy farmers. This is a one-time appropriation.

Sec. 3. BOARD OF ANIMAL HEALTH

\$118,000 each year is for a program to investigate the avian pneumovirus disease and to identify the infected flocks. This is a one-time appropriation.

\$150,000 the first year and \$150,000 the second year are additional money for a program to control paratuberculosis ("Johne's disease") in domestic bovine herds.

2,985,000 3,039,000

\$125,000 the first year and \$125,000 the second year are for pseudorabies control programs. This is a one-time appropriation.

Sec. 4. MINNESOTA HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY

82,000 82,000

Sec. 5. AGRICULTURAL UTILIZATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

4,330,000 4,330,000

Summary by Fund

General 4,130,000 4,130,000 Special Revenue 200,000 200,000

\$200,000 each year shall be transferred from the department of agriculture's pesticide regulatory account in the special revenue fund for the pesticide reduction options program. This is a one-time appropriation. By January 15, 2000, the Agricultural Utilization Research Institute must report to the standing committees of the house and senate with jurisdiction over agricultural policy issues on the pesticide reduction options program.

The Agricultural Utilization Research Institute must collaborate with the commissioner of agriculture on issues of market development and technology transfer.

\$200,000 the first year and \$200,000 the second year are for hybrid tree management research and development of an implementation plan for establishing hybrid tree plantations in the state. This appropriation is available to the extent it is matched by \$2 of nonstate contributions, either cash or in kind, for each \$1 of state money.

The base funding for the Agricultural Utilization Research Institute in fiscal year 2002 and thereafter is reduced by \$73,000 each fiscal year.

- Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.115, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [AWARDING OF LOANS.] (a) Applications for loans must be made to the commissioner on forms prescribed by the commissioner.
- (b) The applications must be reviewed, ranked, and recommended by a loan review panel appointed by the commissioner. The loan review panel shall consist of two lenders with agricultural experience, two resident farmers of the state using sustainable agriculture methods, two resident farmers of the state using organic agriculture methods, a farm management specialist, a representative from a post-secondary education institution, and a chair from the department.
 - (c) The loan review panel shall rank applications according to the following criteria:
 - (1) realize savings to the cost of agricultural production and project savings to repay the cost of the loan;
 - (2) reduce or make more efficient use of energy; and
 - (3) reduce production costs.

- (d) A loan application must show that the loan can be repaid by the applicant.
- (e) The commissioner must consider the recommendations of the loan review panel and may make loans for eligible projects. Priority must be given based on the amount of savings realized by adopting the practice implemented by the loan.
 - Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.116, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [AWARDING OF GRANTS.] (a) Applications for grants must be made to the commissioner on forms prescribed by the commissioner.
- (b) The applications must be reviewed, ranked, and recommended by a technical review panel appointed by the commissioner. The technical review panel shall consist of a soil scientist, an agronomist, a representative from a post-secondary educational institution, two resident farmers of the state using sustainable agriculture methods, two resident farmers of the state using organic agriculture methods, and a chair from the department.
 - (c) The technical review panel shall rank applications according to the following criteria:
 - (1) direct or indirect energy savings or production;
 - (2) environmental benefit;
 - (3) farm profitability;
 - (4) the number of farms able to apply the techniques or the technology proposed;
 - (5) the effectiveness of the project as a demonstration;
 - (6) the immediate transferability of the project to farms; and
 - (7) the ability of the project to accomplish its goals.
- (d) The commissioner shall consider the recommendations of the technical review panel and may award grants for eligible projects. Priority must be given to applicants who are farmers or groups of farmers.
- (e) Grants for eligible projects may not exceed \$25,000 unless the portion above \$25,000 is matched on an equal basis by the applicant's cash or in-kind land use contribution. Grant funding of projects may not exceed \$50,000 under this section, but applicants may utilize other funding sources. A portion of each grant must be targeted for public information activities of the project.
- (f) A project may continue for up to three years. Multiyear projects must be reevaluated by the technical review panel and the commissioner before second or third year funding is approved. A project is limited to one grant for its funding.
 - Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.136, is amended to read:
- 17.136 [ANIMAL FEEDLOTS; POLLUTION CONTROL; FEEDLOT AND MANURE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE.]
- (a) The commissioner of agriculture and the commissioner of the pollution control agency shall establish a feedlot and manure management advisory committee to identify needs, goals, and suggest policies for research, monitoring, and regulatory activities regarding feedlot and manure management. In establishing the committee, the commissioner shall give first consideration to members of the existing feedlot advisory group.

- (b) The committee must include representation from beef, dairy, pork, chicken, and turkey producer organizations. The committee shall not exceed 18 23 members, but, after June 30, 1997 1999, must include representatives from at least four environmental organizations, eight livestock producers, and four experts in soil and water science, nutrient management, and animal husbandry, two commercial solid manure applicators who are not producers, two commercial liquid manure applicators who are not producers, and one member from an organization representing local units of government, and chairs of the senate and the house of representatives committees that deal with agricultural policy or the designees of the chairs. In addition, the departments of agriculture, health, and natural resources, the pollution control agency, board of water and soil resources, soil and water conservation districts, the federal Natural Resource Conservation Service, the association of Minnesota counties, and the Farm Service Agency shall serve on the committee as ex officio nonvoting members.
- (c) The advisory committee shall elect a chair and a vice-chair from its members. The department and the agency shall provide staff support to the committee.
- (d) The commissioner of agriculture and the commissioner of the pollution control agency shall consult with the advisory committee during the development of any policies, rules, or funding proposals or recommendations relating to feedlot-related manure management.
- (e) The commissioner of agriculture shall consult with the advisory committee on establishing a list of manure management research needs and priorities.
 - (f) The advisory committee shall advise the commissioners on other appropriate matters.
- (g) Nongovernment members of the advisory committee shall receive expenses, in accordance with section 15.059, subdivision 6. The advisory committee expires on June 30, 2001.
 - Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.451, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 2. [FARMED CERVIDAE.] "Farmed cervidae" means members of the cervidae family that are:
- (1) raised for the purpose of <u>shooting</u>, <u>harvesting</u>, producing fiber, meat, or animal by-products, as pets, or as breeding stock; and
 - (2) registered in a manner approved by the board of animal health.
 - Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.452, subdivision 5, is amended to read:
- Subd. 5. [RAISING FARMED CERVIDAE IS AN AGRICULTURAL PURSUIT.] Raising farmed cervidae is agricultural production and an agricultural pursuit, which may include the sale of farmed cervidae to a person for personal consumption. Personal consumption may include the harvesting of farmed cervidae by firearms or archery on a licensed shooting preserve.
 - Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.452, subdivision 8, is amended to read:
- Subd. 8. [SLAUGHTER.] Farmed cervidae that are to be sold for commercial meat purposes must be slaughtered and inspected in accordance with the United States Department of Agriculture voluntary program for exotic animals, Code of Federal Regulations, title 9, part 352.
 - Sec. 12. [17.4521] [CERVIDAE SHOOTING PRESERVES.]
- <u>Subdivision 1.</u> [FEES FOR SHOOTING PRESERVES.] (a) <u>The fee for a cervidae shooting preserve license is \$900 annually and will be deposited in the game and fish fund.</u>
 - (b) Shooting preserve licenses issued under this subdivision expire on the last day of March.

- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [SHOOTING PRESERVE APPLICATION.] <u>The commissioner may license up to ten cervidae shooting preserves in the state. An application for a cervidae shooting preserve license must be filed with the commissioner. <u>The application must include a legal description of the shooting preserve land, number of acres, species to be harvested, and other necessary information prescribed by the commissioner.</u></u>
- Subd. 3. [GAME AVAILABLE.] Game that may be released and harvested in a licensed cervidae shooting preserve must be specified in the license and are limited to species raised as farmed cervidae under sections 17.451 and 17.452. Only farmed cervidae from herds in the accredited program of the board of animal health may be transported to and released in a licensed cervidae shooting preserve.
- Subd. 4. [LOCATION; SIZE OF PRESERVE.] A shooting preserve must be separated from any farmed cervidae breeding pens or pastures. A shooting preserve must be contiguous and contain at least 240 acres for elk and at least 120 acres for deer but no more than 960 acres, including any water area, and must have areas of cover to provide for concealment of the cervidae sufficient to prevent the cervidae from being visible in all parts of the preserve at one time and must afford cervidae the chance of escape from pursuit by patrons of the shooting preserve.
- <u>Subd. 5.</u> [POSTING OF BOUNDARIES.] <u>The boundaries of a shooting preserve must be clearly posted in a manner prescribed by the commissioner. The operator must post signs around the entire perimeter of the preserve at intervals not to exceed 500 feet.</u>
- <u>Subd.</u> <u>6.</u> [FENCING AND ENCLOSURES.] <u>All perimeter fencing must be paid for and maintained by the licensee and comply with farmed cervidae requirements in section 17.452.</u>
- Subd. 7. [REMOVAL OF ALL WILD CERVIDAE.] To the extent practicable, all wild cervidae must be removed from the shooting preserve property at the owner's expense prior to final issuance of the shooting preserve license. After the owner's removal efforts are completed, the commissioner shall determine the number and type of wild cervidae remaining on the shooting preserve property. The shooting preserve operator shall pay the restitution value, adopted under section 97A.345, for each wild cervidae remaining on the shooting preserve property. Money received under this subdivision shall be credited to the game and fish fund.
- <u>Subd.</u> <u>8.</u> [REVOCATION OF LICENSE.] <u>The commissioner may revoke a shooting preserve license if the licensee or persons authorized to harvest in the shooting preserve have been convicted of a violation under this section. <u>After revocation, a new license may be issued at the discretion of the commissioner.</u></u>
- <u>Subd.</u> 9. [HUNTING LICENSE NOT REQUIRED.] <u>A hunting license is not required to harvest authorized species of cervidae on a licensed shooting preserve.</u>
 - Subd. 10. [SEASON.] (a) The open season for harvesting in a shooting preserve is August 15 through March 31.
- (b) The commissioner may restrict the open season after receiving a complaint, holding a public hearing, and finding that the population of a particular species of wild cervidae is harmed by harvesting in the shooting preserve.
- <u>Subd. 11.</u> [WEAPONS LIMITATIONS.] <u>A person may harvest farmed cervidae on a shooting preserve by archery or firearms authorized by law to take wild cervidae in the same area.</u>
- <u>Subd. 12.</u> [LICENSEE MAY ESTABLISH RESTRICTIONS.] <u>A shooting preserve licensee is responsible for determining who is allowed to harvest in the preserve. In each preserve, the licensee may establish the charge for harvesting cervidae, the shooting hours, the season, weapon limitations, and restrictions on the age, sex, and number of each species that may be harvested by the hunter. These provisions may not conflict with this section and may not be less restrictive than any rule.</u>
- <u>Subd. 13.</u> [IDENTIFICATION AND MARKING OF CERVIDAE.] <u>All cervidae must be identified by permanent tattoo, electronic implant, or other means of identification that comply with section 17.452.</u>

- Subd. 14. [MARKING HARVESTED CERVIDAE.] <u>Harvested cervidae must be marked in accordance with or identified by the shooting preserve operator in a manner prescribed by the commissioner. The commissioner may issue the tags or other markings at a cost not to exceed \$2 each. The marking must remain attached on the cervidae while the cervidae is transported.</u>
- Subd. 15. [RECORDKEEPING.] A shooting preserve must maintain a registration book listing the names, addresses, and hunting license numbers, if applicable, of all patrons of the shooting preserve, the date when they harvested, the amount and species of cervidae taken, and the tag numbers or other markings affixed to each animal. A shooting preserve must keep records of the number of each species raised and purchased and the date and number of each species released. An annual report shall be made to the commissioner by the date herd registration is required. The records must be open to inspection by the commissioner at all reasonable times.
 - Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 18B.26, subdivision 5, is amended to read:
- Subd. 5. [REVIEW AND REGISTRATION.] (a) The commissioner may not deny the registration of a pesticide because the commissioner determines the pesticide is not essential.
- (b) The commissioner shall review each application and may approve, deny, or cancel the registration of any pesticide. The commissioner may impose state use and distribution restrictions on a pesticide as part of the registration to prevent unreasonable adverse effects on the environment.
- (c) The commissioner must notify the applicant of the approval, denial, cancellation, state use or distribution restrictions.
- (d) The applicant may request a hearing on any adverse action of the commissioner within 30 days after being notified.
- (e) The commissioner may exempt from the requirement of registration pesticides that have been deregulated or classified as minimum risk by the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
 - Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 18E.02, subdivision 5, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 5. [ELIGIBLE PERSON.] "Eligible person" means:
- (1) a responsible party or an owner of real property, but does not include the state, a state agency, a political subdivision of the state, except as provided in clause (2), the federal government, or an agency of the federal government;
- (2) the owners of municipal airports at Perham, Madison, and Hector, in Minnesota where a licensed aerial pesticide applicator has caused an incident through storage, handling, or distribution operations for agricultural chemicals if (i) the commissioner has determined that corrective action is necessary and (ii) the commissioner determines, and the agricultural chemical response compensation board concurs, that based on an affirmative showing made by the owner, a responsible party cannot be identified or the identified responsible party is unable to comply with an order for corrective action; or
- (3) a person involved in a transaction relating to real property who is not a responsible party or owner of the real property and who voluntarily takes corrective action on the property in response to a request or order for corrective action from the commissioner, except an owner of a municipal airport not listed in clause (2).
 - Sec. 15. [18E.035] [FINANCIAL SECURITY; MUNICIPAL AIRPORTS.]

Section 18E.02, subdivision 5, clause (2), does not prohibit the owner of a municipal airport from requiring financial security from an aerial pesticide applicator to cover any necessary corrective action.

Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 28A.08, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. [FEES EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1996 <u>1999</u>.]

ъ			
Pen	9	lt1	AC

Type of food handler	License Fee Effective July 1, 1996 <u>1999</u>	Late Renewal	No License
1. Retail food handler (a) Having gross sales of only prepackaged nonperishable food of less than \$15,000 for the immediately previous license or fiscal year and filing a statement with the commissioner	\$ 45	\$ 15	\$ 25
	<u>\$ 48</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>	<u>\$ 27</u>
(b) Having under \$15,000 gross sales including food preparation or having \$15,000 to \$50,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license			
or fiscal year	\$ 61 <u>\$ 65</u>	\$ 15 <u>\$ 16</u>	\$ 25 \$ <u>27</u>
	<u>φ 05</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u> </u>
(c) Having \$50,000 to \$250,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$118 <u>\$126</u>	\$ 35 \$ 37	\$ 75 \$ 80
(d) Having \$250,000 to \$1,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$202 <u>\$216</u>	\$ 50 \$ <u>54</u>	\$100 \$107
(e) Having \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$562 <u>\$601</u>	\$100 \$107	\$175 <u>\$187</u>
(f) Having \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$787 <u>\$842</u>	\$150 <u>\$161</u>	\$300 \$321
(g) Having over \$10,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$899 <u>\$962</u>	\$200 <u>\$214</u>	\$350 \$375
2. Wholesale food handler(a) Having gross sales or service of less than \$25,000 for the immediately previous license			
or fiscal year	\$ 50	\$ 15	\$ 15
	<u>\$ 54</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>

(b) Having \$25,000 to \$250,000 gross sales or service for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$ 225	\$ 50	\$100
	\$241	<u>\$ 54</u>	\$107
(c) Having \$250,000 to \$1,000,000 gross sales or service from a mobile unit without a separate food facility for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$337	\$ 75	\$150
	<u>\$361</u>	<u>\$ 80</u>	<u>\$161</u>
(d) Having \$250,000 to \$1,000,000 gross sales or service not covered under paragraph (c) for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$449	\$100	\$200
	<u>\$480</u>	\$107	\$214
(e) Having \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000 gross sales or service for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$562	\$125	\$250
	\$601	<u>\$134</u>	\$268
(f) Having over \$5,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$647	\$150	\$300
	\$692	<u>\$161</u>	\$321
3. Food broker	\$112	\$ 30	\$ 50
	\$120	\$ 32	\$ 54
4. Wholesale food processor or manufacturer (a) Having gross sales of less than \$125,000 for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$150	\$ 50	\$100
	<u>\$161</u>	<u>\$ 54</u>	\$107
(b) Having \$125,000 to \$250,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$310	\$ 75	\$150
	\$332	\$ 80	<u>\$161</u>
(c) Having \$250,001 to \$1,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$449	\$100	\$200
	<u>\$480</u>	<u>\$107</u>	\$214
(d) Having \$1,000,001 to 5,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$562	\$ 125	\$250
	\$601	\$134	\$268
(e) Having \$5,000,001 to \$10,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$647	\$ 150	\$300
	\$692	\$161	\$321
(f) Having over \$10,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$900	\$200	\$350
	\$963	\$214	\$375

5.	Wholesale food processor of meat or poultry products under supervision of the U. S. Department of Agriculture (a) Having gross sales of less than \$125,000 for the			
	immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$100 \$107	\$ 25 \$ 27	\$ 50 \$ 54
	(b) Having \$125,000 to \$250,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$169 \$181	\$ 50 \$ 54	\$ 75 \$ 80
	(c) Having \$250,001 to \$1,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$253 \$271	\$ 75 \$ 80	\$125 \$134
	(d) Having \$1,000,001 to \$5,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$310 \$332	\$ 75 \$ 80	\$150 \$161
	(e) Having \$5,000,001 to \$10,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$366 \$392	\$100 \$107	\$175 \$187
	(f) Having over \$10,000,000 gross sales for the immediately previous license or fiscal year	\$500 \$535	\$150 \$161	\$250 \$268
6.	Wholesale food manufacturer having the permission of the commissioner to use the name Minnesota	Ф 20	Φ.10	Ф 15
7.	Farmstead cheese Nonresident frozen dairy manufacturer	\$ 30 \$200	\$ 10 \$50	\$ 15 \$75
8.	Wholesale food manufacturer processing less than 700,000 pounds per year of raw milk	\$ 30	\$ 10	\$ 15
9.	A milk marketing organization without facilities for processing or manufacturing that purchases milk from milk producers for delivery to a licensed wholesale food processor or manufacturer	\$ 50	\$ 15	\$ 25
	wholesale food processor of manufacturer	Ψ 30	Ψ 1.3	Ψ Δ3

Sec. 17. [28A.081] [CERTIFICATE FEES.]

A fee of \$75 for each certificate shall be charged to all food establishments that request certificates issued by the Minnesota department of agriculture to facilitate the movement of Minnesota processed and manufactured foods destined for export from the state of Minnesota. Certificates include, but are not limited to, certificates of free sale, certificates of export, certificates of sanitation, sanitary certificates, certificates of origin and/or free sale, certificates of health and/or free sale, sanitation, purity, and origin, certificate of health, sanitation, purity, and free sale, and letter of plant certification.

A food establishment shall be billed within seven days for certificates issued. The food establishment must submit payment for certificates within ten days of the billing date. If certificate fee payments are not received within 15 days of the billing date, the department may not issue any future certificates until previous fees due are paid in full.

- Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31.94, is amended to read:
- 31.94 [COMMISSIONER DUTIES.]
- (a) The commissioner shall enforce sections 31.92 to 31.95. The commissioner shall withhold from sale or trade any product sold, labeled, or advertised in violation of sections 31.92 to 31.95.
- (b) The commissioner shall investigate the offering for sale, labeling, or advertising of an article or substance as organically grown, organically processed, or produced in an organic environment if there is reason to believe that action is in violation of sections 31.92 to 31.95.
 - (c) The commissioner may adopt rules that further clarify organic food standards and marketing practices.
 - (d) In order to promote opportunities for organic agriculture in Minnesota, the commissioner shall:
- (1) survey producers and support services and organizations to determine information and research needs in the area of organic agriculture practices;
- (2) work with the University of Minnesota to demonstrate the on-farm applicability of organic agriculture practices to conditions in this state;
 - (3) direct the programs of the department so as to work toward the promotion of organic agriculture in this state;
 - (4) inform agencies of how state or federal programs could utilize and support organic agriculture practices; and
- (5) work closely with farmers, the University of Minnesota, the Minnesota trade office, and other appropriate organizations to identify opportunities and needs as well as ensure coordination and avoid duplication of state agency efforts regarding research, teaching, and extension work relating to organic agriculture.
- (e) By November 15 of each even-numbered year the commissioner, in conjunction with the task force created in section 31.95, subdivision 3a, shall report on the status of organic agriculture in Minnesota to the legislative policy and finance committees and divisions with jurisdiction over agriculture. The report must include:
- (1) a description of current state or federal programs directed toward organic agriculture, including significant results and experiences of those programs;
- (2) <u>a description of specific actions the department of agriculture is taking in the area of organic agriculture, including the proportion of the department's budget spent on organic agriculture;</u>
 - (3) a description of current and future research needs at all levels in the area of organic agriculture; and
- (4) suggestions for changes in existing programs or policies or enactment of new programs or policies that will affect organic agriculture.
 - Sec. 19. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31.95, subdivision 3a, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3a. [CERTIFICATION ORGANIZATIONS.] (a) A Minnesota grown organic product that is labeled "certified" must be certified by a designated certification organization.
- (b) A certified organic product sold in this state must be certified by a designated certification organization or by a certification organization approved by the commissioner. Before approving a certification organization, the commissioner must seek the evaluation and recommendation of the Minnesota organic advisory task force.

- (c) The commissioner shall appoint a Minnesota organic advisory task force composed of members of the organic industry to advise the commissioner on organic issues. Members of the task force may not be paid compensation or costs for expenses to advise the commissioner on policies and practices to improve organic agriculture in Minnesota. The task force shall consist of the following residents of the state:
 - (1) three farmers using organic agriculture methods;
 - (2) one organic food retailer or distributor;
 - (3) one representative of organic food certification agencies;
 - (4) one organic food processor;
 - (5) one representative from the Minnesota extension service;
 - (6) one representative from an environmental nonprofit organization;
 - (7) two at-large members; and
- (8) one representative from the agricultural utilization research institute. Terms, compensation, and removal of members are governed by section 15.059, subdivision 6. The task force must meet at least twice each year and expires on June 30, 2001 2003.
 - Sec. 20. [31B.32] [DAILY PRICE REPORTS.]
- (a) At the close of each business day on which a packer purchased or received on contract livestock for slaughter, the packer must report to the United States Department of Agriculture, agricultural marketing service, and the Minnesota commissioner of agriculture all prices paid for livestock under contract and through cash market sales during that business day, including:
 - (1) the amount of the base price and a description of the formula used to establish that base price;
- (2) a description of the types and amount of any premiums or discounts including, but not limited to, quality characteristics, grade and yield, volume, early delivery, percent lean, and transportation or acquisition cost savings to the packer; and
 - (3) the basis on which payment was made including live-weight, carcass weight, or value in the meat.
- (b) The commissioner shall make information reported by packers available to the public, through an electronic medium, on the day succeeding the day covered by the packer's report. The disclosure of information reported by the commissioner may be made only in a form that ensures that:
 - (1) the identity of the parties involved in any transaction described in a report is not disclosed;
 - (2) the identity of the packer submitting a report is not disclosed; and
 - (3) the confidentiality of proprietary business information is otherwise protected.
 - Sec. 21. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 32.21, subdivision 4, is amended to read:
- Subd. 4. [PENALTIES.] (a) A person, other than a milk producer, who violates this section is guilty of a misdemeanor or subject to a civil penalty up to \$1,000.

- (b) A milk producer may not change milk plants within 30 days, without permission of the commissioner, after receiving notification from the commissioner under paragraph (c) or (d) that the milk producer has violated this section.
- (c) A milk producer who violates subdivision 3, clause (1), (2), (3), (4), or (5), is subject to clauses (1) to (3) of this paragraph.
- (1) Upon notification of the first violation in a 12-month period, the producer must meet with the dairy plant field service representative to initiate corrective action within 30 days.
- (2) Upon the second violation within a 12-month period, the producer is subject to a civil penalty of \$300. The commissioner shall notify the producer by certified mail stating the penalty is payable in 30 days, the consequences of failure to pay the penalty, and the consequences of future violations.
- (3) Upon the third violation within a 12-month period, the producer is subject to an additional civil penalty of \$300 and possible revocation of the producer's permit or certification. The commissioner shall notify the producer by certified mail that all civil penalties owed must be paid within 30 days and that the commissioner is initiating administrative procedures to revoke the producer's permit or certification to sell milk for at least 30 days.
- (d) The producer's shipment of milk must be immediately suspended if the producer is identified as an individual source of milk containing residues causing a bulk load of milk to test positive in violation of subdivision 3, clause (6) or (7). Shipment may resume The Grade A or manufacturing grade permit must be converted to temporary status for not more than 30 days and shipment may resume only after subsequent milk has been sampled by the commissioner or the commissioner's agent and found to contain no residues above established tolerances or safe levels.
- The Grade A or manufacturing grade permit may be restored if the producer remains eligible only for manufacturing grade until the producer completes the "Milk and Dairy Beef Residue Prevention Protocol" with a licensed veterinarian, displays the signed certificate in the milkhouse, and sends verification to the commissioner within the 30-day temporary permit status period. If the producer does not comply within the temporary permit status period, the Grade A or manufacturing grade permit must be suspended. A milk producer whose milk supply is in violation of subdivision 3, clause (6) or (7), and has caused a bulk load to test positive is subject to clauses (1) to (3) of this paragraph.
- (1) For the first violation in a 12-month period, a dairy plant may collect from the responsible producer the value of the contaminated truck load of milk. If the amount collected by the plant is less than two days of milk production on that farm, then the commissioner must assess the difference as a civil penalty payable by the plant or marketing organization on behalf of the responsible producer.
- (2) For the second violation in a 12-month period, a dairy plant may collect from the responsible producer the value of the contaminated truck load of milk. If the amount collected by the plant is less than four days of milk production on that farm, then the commissioner must assess the difference as a civil penalty payable by the plant or marketing organization on behalf of the responsible producer.
- (3) For the third violation in a 12-month period, a dairy plant may collect from the responsible producer the value of the contaminated load of milk. If the amount collected by the plant is less than four days of milk production on that farm, then the commissioner must assess the difference as a civil penalty payable by the plant or marketing organization on behalf of the responsible producer. The commissioner shall also notify the producer by certified mail that the commissioner is initiating administrative procedures to revoke the producer's right to sell milk for a minimum of 30 days.
- (4) If a bulk load of milk tests negative for residues and there is a positive producer sample on the load, no civil penalties may be assessed to the producer. The plant must report the positive result within 24 hours and reject further milk shipments from that producer until the producer's milk tests negative. The department shall suspend

the producer's permit and count the violation on the producer's record. The producer remains eligible only for manufacturing grade until Grade A or manufacturing grade permit must be converted to temporary status for not more than 30 days during which time the producer reviews must review the "Milk and Dairy Beef Residue Prevention Protocol" with a licensed veterinarian, display the signed certificate in the milkhouse, and send verification to the commissioner. To maintain a permit or certification to market milk, this program must be reviewed within 30 days. If these conditions are met, the Grade A or manufacturing grade permit must be reinstated. If the producer does not comply within the temporary permit status period, the Grade A or manufacturing grade permit must be suspended.

- (e) A milk producer that has been certified as completing the "Milk and Dairy Beef Residue Prevention Protocol" within 12 months of the first violation of subdivision 3, clause (7), need only review the cause of the violation with a field service representative within three days to maintain <u>Grade A or manufacturing grade permit and</u> shipping status if all other requirements of this section are met.
- (f) Civil penalties collected under this section must be deposited in the milk inspection services account established in this chapter.
 - Sec. 22. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.02, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [MEMBERS; OFFICERS.] The board has five members appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the senate, three of whom are producers of livestock in the state, and two of whom are practicing veterinarians licensed in Minnesota. The dean of the college of veterinary medicine of the University of Minnesota may serve as consultant to the board without vote. Appointments to fill unexpired terms must be made from the classes to which the retiring members belong. The board shall elect a president and a vice-president from among its members and a veterinarian licensed in Minnesota who is not a member to be its executive secretary director for a term of one year and until a successor qualifies. The board shall set the duties of the secretary director.

Sec. 23. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.04, is amended to read:

35.04 [DUTY OF BOARDS OF HEALTH.]

Boards of health as defined in section 145A.02, subdivision 2, shall assist the board in the prevention, suppression, control, and eradication of contagious and infectious dangerous diseases among domestic animals when directed to do so by the secretary director or any member of the board. Two or more local boards may be required in emergencies to cooperate in giving assistance. The rules of the state board prevail over conflicting local board rules.

Sec. 24. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.05, is amended to read:

35.05 [AUTHORITY OF STATE BOARD.]

- (a) The state board may quarantine or kill any domestic animal infected with, or which has been exposed to, a contagious or infectious dangerous disease if it is necessary to protect the health of the domestic animals of the state.
- (b) The board may regulate or prohibit the arrival in and departure from the state of infected or exposed animals and, in case of violation of any rule or prohibition, may detain any animal at its owner's expense. The board may regulate or prohibit the importation of domestic animals which, in its opinion, may injure the health of Minnesota livestock.
 - (c) The board may implement the United States, Voluntary Johne's Disease Herd Status Program for cattle.
 - (d) Rules adopted by the board under authority of this chapter must be published in the State Register.

Sec. 25. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.08, is amended to read:

35.08 [KILLING OF DISEASED ANIMALS.]

If the board decides upon the killing of an animal affected with tuberculosis, paratuberculosis, or brucellosis, it shall notify the animal's owner or keeper of the decision. If the board, through its executive secretary director, orders that an animal may be transported for immediate slaughter to any abattoir where the meat inspection division of the United States Department of Agriculture maintains inspection, or where the animal and plant health inspection service of the United States Department of Agriculture or the board establishes field postmortem inspection, the owner must receive the value of the net salvage of the carcass.

Before the animal is removed from the premises of the owner, the representative or authorized agent of the board must agree with the owner in writing as to the value of the animal. In the absence of an agreement, three competent, disinterested persons, one appointed by the board, one by the owner, and a third by the first two, shall appraise the animal at its full replacement cost taking into consideration the purpose and use of the animal.

The appraisement made under this section must be in writing, signed by the appraisers, and certified by the board to the commissioner of finance, who shall draw a warrant on the state treasurer for the amount due the owner.

- Sec. 26. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.09, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [EXCEPTIONS.] The owner of an animal is entitled to the indemnity provided in subdivision 1, except in the following cases:
 - (1) steers;
 - (2) animals which have not been kept in good faith for one year or since their birth in the state;
 - (3) animals brought into the state, contrary to law or rules of the board;
 - (4) animals diseased on arrival in the state;
 - (5) animals belonging to the United States;
 - (6) animals belonging to institutions maintained by the state, a county, or a municipality;
- (7) animals which the owner or claimant knew or should have known were diseased at the time they were acquired;
 - (8) animals exposed to brucellosis through the owner's negligence;
- (9) animals which have been injected with brucellosis vaccine, bacterin, or other preparations made from or through the agency of Brucella Microorganisms unless it was done in compliance with the rules of the board;
- (10) animals belonging to a person who has received indemnity as a result of a former inspection or tests and has then introduced into the same herd any animals which have not passed the tuberculin or brucellosis test;
- (11) animals if the owner, agent, or person in possession of them has not complied with the rules of the board with respect to condemned animals;
- (12) condemned animals which are not destroyed within 15 days after the date of appraisal, or for which the owner refuses to sign the appraisal or report of the members of the appraisal board, except that in extraordinary circumstances and in meritorious cases and at the discretion of the executive secretary director of the board the time limit of 15 days may be extended an additional 15 days if the owner receives permission from the executive secretary director within 15 days of the date of appraisal;

- (13) livestock affected with tuberculosis, paratuberculosis, or brucellosis unless the entire herd of which the affected livestock is a part, or from which the affected livestock has originated, is examined and tested under the supervision of the board, in order to determine if they are free from the disease;
- (14) livestock affected with tuberculosis, paratuberculosis, or brucellosis unless the owner has carried out the instructions of the board relating to cleaning, disinfection, and rendering the stables and premises in a sanitary condition within 15 days of the time of removal of the animals from the premises, except when, because of inclement weather or other extenuating circumstances, the time is extended by the executive secretary director of the board;
- (15) livestock affected with tuberculosis, paratuberculosis, or brucellosis, if the owner has fed milk or milk products derived from creameries which was not pasteurized as required by state laws; and
 - (16) animals owned by a nonresident if neither the owner nor the owner's agent breed livestock in Minnesota.
- If, at any time, the annual appropriation for payment of indemnities becomes exhausted as a result of condemnation and slaughter of animals, the board shall discontinue making further official tests or authorizing tests unless an owner signs a waiver on blanks furnished by the board of payment of indemnity for any animals that may be condemned as the result of a test and inspection which releases the state from any obligation to pay indemnity from any future appropriation.
 - Sec. 27. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.09, subdivision 2a, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2a. [NONREACTORS; CATTLE INELIGIBLE FOR TEST.] The board may condemn and appraise nonreactors to the brucellosis test and exposed cattle not eligible to be tested from herds affected with brucellosis and may pay the owner the difference between the appraisal value and the salvage value up to \$300 for grade animals or \$600 for purebred registered animals if the board through its executive secretary director has determined according to criteria adopted by the board that herd depopulation is essential to the goal of bovine brucellosis eradication. Indemnity payable by the state must be reduced by the amount paid by the United States Department of Agriculture. No indemnity may be paid for steers.
 - Sec. 28. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.67, is amended to read:

35.67 [RABIES INVESTIGATION.]

If the executive secretary director of the board of animal health, or a board of health as defined in section 145A.02, subdivision 2, receives a written complaint that rabies exists in a town or city in the board's jurisdiction, the board of health shall investigate, either personally or through subordinate officers, the truth of the complaint. A board of health may also make an investigation and determination independently, without having received a complaint. The fact that a board of health has investigated and determined that rabies does not exist in a jurisdiction does not deprive the executive secretary director of the board of animal health of jurisdiction or authority to make an investigation and determination with reference to the territory. For the purposes of sections 35.67 to 35.69, the jurisdiction of the executive secretary director of the board of animal health is the entire state.

Sec. 29. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.68, is amended to read:

35.68 [RABIES PROCLAMATION.]

If a board of health as defined in section 145A.02, subdivision 2, investigates and finds that rabies does exist in a town or city the board of health shall make and file a proclamation of the investigation and determination which prohibits the owner or custodian of any dog from allowing the dog to be at large within the town or city unless the dog is effectively muzzled so that it cannot bite any other animal or person.

If the executive secretary director of the board of animal health, after investigation, has determined that rabies exists in any territory in the state, similar proclamations must be issued in all towns and cities within the territory or area in which it is necessary to control the outbreak and prevent the spread of the disease. The proclamation must prohibit the owner or custodian of any dog within the designated territory from permitting or allowing the dog to be at large within the territory unless the dog is effectively muzzled so that it cannot bite any other animal or person.

All local peace officers and boards of health shall enforce sections 35.67 to 35.69.

A proclamation issued by the board of health must be filed with the clerk of the political subdivision responsible for the board of health. One issued by the executive secretary director of the board of animal health must be filed with the clerk of each town and city within the territory it covers.

Each officer with whom the proclamation is filed shall publish a copy of it in one issue of a legal newspaper published in the clerk's town or city if one is published there. If no newspaper is published there, the clerk must post a copy of the proclamation in three public places. Publication is at the expense of the municipality.

Proof of publication must be by affidavit of the publisher and proof of posting must be by the person doing the posting. The affidavit must be filed with the proclamation. The proclamation is effective five days after the publication or posting and remains effective for the period of time not exceeding six months specified in it by the board of health making the proclamation.

- Sec. 30. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.82, subdivision 1b, is amended to read:
- Subd. 1b. [CARCASSES FOR PET OR MINK FOOD.] (a) The board, through its executive secretary director, may issue a permit to the owner or operator of a pet food processing establishment, a mink rancher, or a supplier of an establishment, located within the boundaries of Minnesota, to transport the carcasses of domestic animals that have died or have been killed, other than by being slaughtered for human or animal consumption, over the public highways to the establishment for pet food or mink food purposes only. The owners and operators of pet food processing establishments or their suppliers and mink ranch operators located in any adjacent state with which a reciprocal agreement is in effect under subdivision 3 are not required to possess a permit issued under this subdivision. The permit is valid for one year following the date of issue unless it is revoked.
- (b) The owner or operator of a pet food processing plant or mink ranch shall employ an official veterinarian. A veterinarian named in the permit application who is accepted by the board to act as the official veterinarian is authorized to act as its representative.
- (c) Carcasses collected by owners or operators under permit may be used for pet food or mink food purposes if the official veterinarian examines them and finds them suitable for pet food or mink food purposes.
- (d) Carcasses not passed by the official veterinarian for pet food or mink food purposes must be disposed of by a rendering plant operating under permit from the board.
- (e) The board must require pet food processing establishments, owners and operators of mink ranches, and suppliers of these establishments to conform to rules of the board applicable to rendering plants within the state.
 - Sec. 31. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.82, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [DISPOSITION OF CARCASSES.] (a) Except as provided in subdivision 1b and paragraph (d), every person owning or controlling any domestic animal that has died or been killed otherwise than by being slaughtered for human or animal consumption, shall as soon as reasonably possible bury the carcass at a depth adequate to prevent scavenging by other animals in the ground or thoroughly burn it or dispose of it by another method approved by the board as being effective for the protection of public health and the control of livestock diseases. The board, through its executive secretary director, may issue permits to owners of rendering plants located in Minnesota which are operated and conducted as required by law, to transport carcasses of domestic animals and fowl that have died,

or have been killed otherwise than by being slaughtered for human or animal consumption, over the public highways to their plants for rendering purposes in accordance with the rules adopted by the board relative to transportation, rendering, and other provisions the board considers necessary to prevent the spread of disease. The board may issue permits to owners of rendering plants located in an adjacent state with which a reciprocal agreement is in effect under subdivision 3.

- (b) Carcasses collected by rendering plants under permit may be used for pet food or mink food if the owner or operator meets the requirements of subdivision 1b.
- (c) An authorized employee or agent of the board may enter private or public property and inspect the carcass of any domestic animal that has died or has been killed other than by being slaughtered for human or animal consumption. Failure to dispose of the carcass of any domestic animal within the period specified by this subdivision is a public nuisance. The board may petition the district court of the county in which a carcass is located for a writ requiring the abatement of the public nuisance. A civil action commenced under this paragraph does not preclude a criminal prosecution under this section. No person may sell, offer to sell, give away, or convey along a public road or on land the person does not own, the carcass of a domestic animal when the animal died or was killed other than by being slaughtered for human or animal consumption unless it is done with a special permit pursuant to this section. The carcass or parts of a domestic animal that has died or has been killed other than by being slaughtered for human or animal consumption may be transported along a public road for a medical or scientific purpose if the carcass is enclosed in a leakproof container to prevent spillage or the dripping of liquid waste. The board may adopt rules relative to the transportation of the carcass of any domestic animal for a medical or scientific purpose. A carcass on a public thoroughfare may be transported for burial or other disposition in accordance with this section.

No person who owns or controls diseased animals shall negligently or willfully permit them to escape from that control or to run at large.

- (d) A sheep producer may compost sheep carcasses owned by the producer on the producer's land without a permit and is exempt from compost facility specifications contained in rules of the board.
- (e) The board shall develop best management practices for dead animal disposal and the pollution control agency feedlot program shall distribute them to livestock producers in the state.
 - Sec. 32. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.82, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [RECIPROCITY.] The executive secretary director of the board may enter into a reciprocal agreement on behalf of this state with an adjacent state which provides for permits to be issued to rendering plants, pet food processing establishments or suppliers of establishments, and mink ranch operators located in either state to transport carcasses to their plants, establishments, or ranches over the public highways of this state and the reciprocating state.

This subdivision applies if the adjacent state has in effect standards and requirements which are the equivalent of the standards and requirements of this state as established by the board.

- Sec. 33. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.92, subdivision 5, is amended to read:
- Subd. 5. [SUBPOENAS.] The board of animal health through its executive secretary director may issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses or submission of books, documents, and records affecting the authority or privilege granted by a license, registration, certification, or permit issued under this chapter or by the board or issued by the commissioner of agriculture if agreed to by the commissioner.
 - Sec. 34. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 35.93, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES.] The board of animal health may seek to remedy violations by authorizing the executive <u>secretary director</u> to issue a written warning, administrative meeting, cease and desist, stop-sale, or other special order, seizure, stipulation, or agreement, if the board determines that the remedy is in the public interest.

- Sec. 35. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 41A.09, subdivision 3a, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3a. [PAYMENTS.] (a) The commissioner of agriculture shall make cash payments to producers of ethanol, anhydrous alcohol, and wet alcohol located in the state. These payments shall apply only to ethanol, anhydrous alcohol, and wet alcohol fermented in the state and produced at plants that have begun production by June 30, 2000. For the purpose of this subdivision, an entity that holds a controlling interest in more than one ethanol plant is considered a single producer. The amount of the payment for each producer's annual production is:
- (1) except as provided in paragraph (b), for each gallon of ethanol or anhydrous alcohol produced on or before June 30, 2000, or ten years after the start of production, whichever is later, 20 cents per gallon; and
- (2) for each gallon produced of wet alcohol on or before June 30, 2000, or ten years after the start of production, whichever is later, a payment in cents per gallon calculated by the formula "alcohol purity in percent divided by five," and rounded to the nearest cent per gallon, but not less than 11 cents per gallon.

The producer payments for anhydrous alcohol and wet alcohol under this section may be paid to either the original producer of anhydrous alcohol or wet alcohol or the secondary processor, at the option of the original producer, but not to both.

- (b) If the level of production at an ethanol plant increases due to an increase in the production capacity of the plant and the increased production begins by June 30, 2000, the payment under paragraph (a), clause (1), applies to the additional increment of production until ten years after the increased production began. Once a plant's production capacity reaches 15,000,000 gallons per year, no additional increment will qualify for the payment.
- (c) The commissioner shall make payments to producers of ethanol or wet alcohol in the amount of 1.5 cents for each kilowatt hour of electricity generated using closed-loop biomass in a cogeneration facility at an ethanol plant located in the state. Payments under this paragraph shall be made only for electricity generated at cogeneration facilities that begin operation by June 30, 2000. The payments apply to electricity generated on or before the date ten years after the producer first qualifies for payment under this paragraph. Total payments under this paragraph in any fiscal year may not exceed \$750,000. For the purposes of this paragraph:
- (1) "closed-loop biomass" means any organic material from a plant that is planted for the purpose of being used to generate electricity or for multiple purposes that include being used to generate electricity; and
 - (2) "cogeneration" means the combined generation of:
 - (i) electrical or mechanical power; and
- (ii) steam or forms of useful energy, such as heat, that are used for industrial, commercial, heating, or cooling purposes.
- (d) Except for new production capacity approved under paragraph (i), clause (1), The total payments under paragraphs (a) and (b) to all producers may not exceed \$34,000,000 in a fiscal year. Total payments under paragraphs (a) and (b) to a producer in a fiscal year may not exceed \$3,000,000.
- (e) By the last day of October, January, April, and July, each producer shall file a claim for payment for ethanol, anhydrous alcohol, and wet alcohol production during the preceding three calendar months. A producer with more than one plant shall file a separate claim for each plant. A producer shall file a separate claim for the original production capacity of each plant and for each additional increment of production that qualifies under paragraph (b). A producer that files a claim under this subdivision shall include a statement of the producer's total ethanol, anhydrous alcohol, and wet alcohol production in Minnesota during the quarter covered by the claim, including anhydrous alcohol and wet alcohol produced or received from an outside source. A producer shall file a separate claim for any amount claimed under paragraph (c). For each claim and statement of total ethanol, anhydrous alcohol, and wet alcohol production filed under this subdivision, the volume of ethanol, anhydrous alcohol, and wet alcohol production or amounts of electricity generated using closed-loop biomass must be examined by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

- (f) Payments shall be made November 15, February 15, May 15, and August 15. A separate payment shall be made for each claim filed. The total quarterly payment to a producer under this paragraph, excluding amounts paid under paragraph (c), may not exceed \$750,000. Except for new production capacity approved under paragraph (i), clause (1), If the total amount for which all other producers are eligible in a quarter under paragraphs (a) and (b) exceeds \$8,500,000 \$10,000,000, the commissioner shall make payments for production capacity that is subject to this restriction in the order in which the portion of production capacity covered by each claim went into production.
- (g) If the total amount for which all producers are eligible in a quarter under paragraph (c) exceeds the amount available for payments, the commissioner shall make payments in the order in which the plants covered by the claims began generating electricity using closed-loop biomass.
- (h) After July 1, 1997, new production capacity is only eligible for payment under this subdivision if the commissioner receives:
 - (1) an application for approval of the new production capacity;
 - (2) an appropriate letter of long-term financial commitment for construction of the new production capacity; and
 - (3) copies of all necessary permits for construction of the new production capacity.

The commissioner may approve new production capacity based on the order in which the applications are received.

- (i) After April 22, 1998, the commissioner may only approve: (1) up to 12,000,000 gallons of new production capacity at one plant that has not previously received approval or payment for any production capacity; or (2) new production capacity at approved or existing plants not to exceed planned expansions reported to the commissioner by February 1997. The commissioner may not approve any new production capacity after July 1, 1998 1999.
- (j) For the purposes of this subdivision "new production capacity" means annual ethanol production capacity that was not allowed under a permit issued by the pollution control agency prior to July 1, 1997, or for which construction did not begin prior to July 1, 1997.
 - Sec. 36. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 41D.02, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION.] The council may provide grants for:
 - (1) planning and establishment costs for elementary and secondary agriculture education programs;
 - (2) new instructional and communication technologies; and
 - (3) curriculum updates.
 - Sec. 37. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 103F.515, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [ELIGIBLE LAND.] (a) Land may be placed in the conservation reserve program if the land meets the requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c).
 - (b) Land is eligible if the land:
 - (1) is marginal agricultural land;
- (2) is adjacent to marginal agricultural land and is either beneficial to resource protection or necessary for efficient recording of the land description;

- (3) consists of a drained wetland;
- (4) is land that with a windbreak would be beneficial to resource protection;
- (5) is land in a sensitive groundwater area;
- (6) is riparian land;
- (7) is cropland or noncropland adjacent to restored wetlands to the extent of up to four acres of cropland or one acre of noncropland for each acre of wetland restored;
 - (8) is a woodlot on agricultural land;
- (9) is abandoned building site on agricultural land, provided that funds are not used for compensation of the value of the buildings; or
 - (10) is land on a hillside used for pasture.
 - (c) Eligible land under paragraph (a) must:
- (1) be owned by the landowner, or a parent or other blood relative of the landowner, for at least one year before the date of application;
- (2) be at least five acres in size, except for a drained wetland area, riparian area, windbreak, woodlot, or abandoned building site, or be a whole field as defined by the United States Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Services;
- (3) not be set aside, enrolled or diverted under another federal or state government program <u>unless</u> <u>enrollment</u> in the <u>conservation reserve program would provide additional conservation benefits or a longer term of enrollment</u> than under the current federal or state program; and
- (4) have been in agricultural crop production for at least two of the last five years before the date of application except drained wetlands, riparian lands, woodlots, abandoned building sites, or land on a hillside used for pasture.
- (d) In selecting drained wetlands for enrollment in the program, the highest priority must be given to wetlands with a cropping history during the period 1976 to 1985.
- (e) In selecting land for enrollment in the program, highest priority must be given to permanent easements that are consistent with the purposes stated in section 103F.505.
 - Sec. 38. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.001, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [ACCREDITED OR APPROVED COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE.] "Accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine" means a veterinary college or division of a university or college that offers the degree of doctor of veterinary medicine or its equivalent and that conforms to the standards required for accreditation or approval by the American Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education.
 - Sec. 39. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.001, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 3. [ANIMAL.] "Animal" does not include poultry or birds of any kind.
 - Sec. 40. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.001, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 5a.</u> [FIRM.] <u>"Firm" includes a corporation, limited liability company, and limited liability partnership, wherever incorporated, organized, or registered.</u>

- Sec. 41. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.01, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [OFFICERS.] The board shall elect from its number a president and such other officers as are necessary, all from within its membership. One person may hold the offices of both secretary and treasurer. The board shall have a seal and the power to subpoena witnesses, to administer oaths, and take testimony. It shall make, alter, or amend such rules as may be that are necessary to carry this chapter into effect the provisions of this chapter. It shall hold examinations for applicants for license to engage in veterinary practice at a time and place of its own choosing. Notice of such an examination shall must be posted 90 days before the date set for an the examination in all veterinary schools approved by the board in the state, and shall must be published in the journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. American Association of Veterinary State Boards "Directory of Veterinary Licensure Requirements." The board may hold such other meetings as it deems necessary; but no meeting shall exceed three days duration.
 - Sec. 42. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.02, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [LICENSE APPLICATION.] Application for a license to practice veterinary medicine in this state shall be made in writing to the board of veterinary medicine upon a form furnished by the board, accompanied by satisfactory evidence that the applicant is at least 18 years of age, is of good moral character, and has one of the following:

- (1) a diploma conferring the degree of doctor of veterinary medicine, or an equivalent degree, from an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine;
 - (2) an ECFVG certificate; or
- (3) a certificate from the dean of an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine stating that the applicant is a student in good standing expecting to be graduated at the completion of the current academic year of the college in which the applicant is enrolled.

The application shall contain the information and material required by subdivision 2 and any other information that the board may, in its sound judgment, require. The application shall be filed with the board at least 45 60 days before the date of the examination. If the board deems it advisable, it may require that such application be verified by the oath of the applicant.

- Sec. 43. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.02, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [REQUIRED WITH APPLICATION.] Every application shall contain the following information and material:
- (1) the <u>application</u> fee set by the board in the form of a check or money order payable to the board, which fee is not returnable in the event permission to take the examination is denied for good cause;
- (2) a copy of a diploma from an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine or a certificate from the dean or secretary of an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine showing the time spent in the school and the date when the applicant was duly and regularly graduated or will duly and regularly graduate or verification of ECFVG certification;
- (3) affidavits of at least two veterinarians and three adults who are not related to the applicant setting forth how long a time, when, and under what circumstances they have known the applicant, and any other facts as may be proper to enable the board to determine the qualifications of the applicant; and
 - (4) if the applicant has served in the armed forces, a copy of discharge papers.

Sec. 44. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.03, is amended to read:

156.03 [EXAMINATION; PAYMENT.]

Upon filing the application and any other papers, affidavits, or proof that the board of veterinary medicine may require, together with the payment to the board of a fee as set by the board, the board, if satisfied, shall issue to the applicant for license an order for examination. Every applicant for a license shall submit to a theoretical or practical examination, or both, as designated by the board. The examination may be oral, or written, or both of the application fee and appropriate examination fee as set by the board, the board shall issue to the applicant a permit to take the national examination in veterinary medicine and the Minnesota Veterinary Jurisprudence Examination. All applicants must be evaluated using an examination prescribed by the board. A passing score for the national examination must be the criterion referenced passing score as determined by the National Board Examination Committee.

Sec. 45. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.072, is amended to read:

156.072 [NONRESIDENTS; LICENSES.]

Subdivision 1. [APPLICATION.] A doctor of veterinary medicine duly admitted to practice in any of the other states or territories or District of Columbia state, commonwealth, territory, or district of the United States or province of Canada desiring permission to practice veterinary medicine in this state shall submit an application to the board upon forms prescribed by the board. Upon proof of licensure to practice in any other state or territory or in the District of Columbia United States or Canadian jurisdiction and having been actively engaged in practicing veterinary medicine therein, for at least three of the five years next preceding the application, or having been engaged in full time teaching of veterinary medicine in an approved or accredited college for at least three of the five years next preceding the application, or any combination thereof, the national examination in veterinary medicine may be waived, upon the recommendation of the board, and the applicant be admitted to practice without examination. However, the board may impose any other tests as examinations it considers proper.

- Subd. 2. [REQUIRED WITH APPLICATION.] Such doctor of veterinary medicine shall accompany the application by the following:
- (1) <u>a copy of a diploma from an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine or certification from the dean, registrar, or secretary of an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine attesting to the applicants graduation from an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine, or a certificate of satisfactory completion of the ECFVG program.</u>
- (2) affidavits of two <u>licensed</u> practicing doctors of veterinary medicine of the state, territory or <u>District of Columbia so certifying residing in the United States or Canadian licensing jurisdiction in which the applicant is <u>currently practicing</u>, attesting that they are well acquainted with <u>such the</u> applicant, that the applicant is a person of good moral character, and has been actively engaged in practicing or teaching as the case may be in such state, territory, or <u>District of Columbia jurisdiction</u> for the period above prescribed;</u>
- (2) (3) a certificate from the regulatory agency having jurisdiction over the conduct of practice of veterinary medicine that such applicant is in good standing and is not the subject of disciplinary action or pending disciplinary action;
- (3) (4) a certificate from all other jurisdictions in which the applicant holds a currently active license or held a license within the past ten years, stating that the applicant is and was in good standing and has not been subject to disciplinary action; and
- (4) (5) in lieu of clauses (3) and (4), certification from the Veterinary Information Verification Agency that the applicant's licensure is in good standing;

- (6) a fee as set by the board in form of check or money order payable to the board, no part of which shall be refunded should the application be denied;
- (7) score reports on previously taken national examinations in veterinary medicine, certified by the Veterinary Information Verification Agency; and
- (8) if requesting waiver of examination, provide evidence of meeting licensure requirements in the state of the applicant's original licensure that were substantially equal to the requirements for licensure in Minnesota in existence at that time.
- Subd. 3. [EXAMINATION.] A doctor of veterinary medicine duly admitted to practice in any of the other states or territories or in the District of Columbia state, commonwealth, territory, or district of the United States or province of Canada desiring admission to practice in this state but who has not been actively engaged in the practice thereof for at least three of the preceding five years must be examined for admission in accordance with the requirements prescribed herein for those not admitted to practice anywhere.
- Subd. 4. [TEMPORARY PERMIT.] The board may issue without examination a temporary permit to practice veterinary medicine in this state to a person who has submitted an application approved by the board for license pending examination, and holds a doctor of veterinary medicine degree or an equivalent degree from an approved or accredited veterinary college of veterinary medicine or an ECFVG certification. The temporary permit shall expire the day after publication of the notice of results of the first examination given after the permit is issued. No temporary permit may be issued to any applicant who has previously failed the national examination in this state or in any other state, territory, or district of the United States or a foreign country and is currently not licensed in any licensing jurisdiction of the United States or Canada or to any person whose license has been revoked or suspended or who is currently subject to a disciplinary order in any licensing jurisdiction of the United States or Canada.

Sec. 46. [156.074] [TEMPORARY LICENSE.]

A graduate of a nonaccredited or approved college of veterinary medicine, who has satisfactorily completed the fourth year of clinical study at an approved or accredited college of veterinary medicine and has successfully passed the national examination in veterinary medicine and the Minnesota Veterinary Jurisprudence Examination, and is enrolled in the ECFVG program, may be granted a temporary license. The holder of a temporary license issued under these provisions must practice under the supervision of a Minnesota licensed veterinarian. The temporary license is valid until the candidate obtains ECFVG certification or for a maximum of two years from the date of issue.

Sec. 47. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.10, is amended to read:

156.10 [UNLAWFUL PRACTICE WITHOUT LICENSE OR PERMIT; GROSS MISDEMEANOR.]

It shall be unlawful is a gross misdemeanor for any person to practice veterinary medicine in the state without having first secured a veterinary license or temporary permit, as provided in this chapter, and any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a gross misdemeanor and punished therefor according to the laws of the state.

Sec. 48. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.11, is amended to read:

156.11 [CORPORATIONS FIRMS NOT TO PRACTICE.]

(a) It shall be is unlawful in the state of Minnesota for any corporation firm, other than one organized pursuant to chapter 319A or 319B, to practice veterinary medicine, or to hold itself out or advertise itself in any way as being entitled to practice veterinary medicine, or to receive the fees, or portions of fees, or gifts or other emoluments or benefits compensation derived from the practice of veterinary medicine, or the performance of veterinary services by any person, whether such that person be is licensed to practice veterinary medicine or not. Any corporation firm violating the provisions of this section shall be is guilty of a gross misdemeanor and must be fined not more than \$3,000 for each offense, and. Each day that this chapter section is violated shall be considered is a separate offense.

- (b) Notwithstanding section 319B.08, a veterinary medical practice firm has 12 months after the death of an owner before all of the owner's ownership interest must be acquired by the practice, by persons permitted to own the ownership interest, or by some combination.
 - Sec. 49. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.12, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 2. [AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.] No provision of this chapter shall be construed to prohibit:
- (a) a person from rendering necessary gratuitous assistance in the treatment of any animal when the assistance does not amount to prescribing, testing for, or diagnosing, operating, or vaccinating and when the attendance of a licensed veterinarian cannot be procured;
- (b) a person who is a regular student in an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine from performing duties or actions assigned by instructors or preceptors or working under the direct supervision of a licensed veterinarian;
- (c) a veterinarian regularly licensed in another jurisdiction from consulting with a licensed veterinarian in this state;
- (d) the owner of an animal and the owner's regular employee from caring for and treating administering to the animal belonging to the owner, except where the ownership of the animal was transferred for purposes of circumventing this chapter;
- (e) veterinarians employed by the University of Minnesota from performing their duties with the college of veterinary medicine, college of agriculture, agricultural experiment station, agricultural extension service, medical school, school of public health, or other unit within the university; or a person from lecturing or giving instructions or demonstrations at the university or in connection with a continuing education course or seminar to veterinarians;
 - (f) any person from selling or applying any pesticide, insecticide or herbicide;
- (g) any person from engaging in bona fide scientific research or investigations which reasonably requires experimentation involving animals;
- (h) any employee of a licensed veterinarian from performing duties other than diagnosis, prescription or surgical correction under the direction and supervision of the veterinarian, who shall be responsible for the performance of the employee;
- (i) a graduate of a foreign college of veterinary medicine from working under the direct personal instruction, control, or supervision of a veterinarian faculty member of the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota in order to complete the requirements necessary to obtain an ECFVG certificate.
 - Sec. 50. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 156.12, subdivision 4, is amended to read:
- Subd. 4. [TITLES.] It shall be is unlawful for a person who has not received a professional degree from an accredited or approved college of veterinary medicine, or ECFVG certification, to use any of the following titles or designations: Veterinary, veterinarian, animal doctor, animal surgeon, animal dentist, animal chiropractor, animal acupuncturist, or any other title, designation, word, letter, abbreviation, sign, card, or device tending to indicate that the person is qualified to practice veterinary medicine.
 - Sec. 51. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 216B.2424, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 6.</u> [FUEL SUPPLY CONTRACT.] <u>Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a public utility may satisfy up to 75 megawatts of the mandate in subdivision 5 by converting power purchase agreements entered into to satisfy that mandate and executed prior to March 15, 1999, into fuel supply agreements between the same parties.</u>

- Sec. 52. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 239.791, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [MINIMUM OXYGEN CONTENT REQUIRED.] Except as provided in subdivisions 10 to 12 14, a person responsible for the product shall comply with the following requirements:
- (a) After October 1, 1995, gasoline sold or offered for sale at any time in a carbon monoxide control area must contain at least 2.7 percent oxygen by weight.
- (b) After October 1, 1997, all gasoline sold or offered for sale in Minnesota must contain at least 2.7 percent oxygen by weight.
 - Sec. 53. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 239.791, subdivision 12, is amended to read:
- Subd. 12. [EXEMPTION FOR COLLECTOR VEHICLE AND OFF-ROAD USE.] (a) Except during a carbon monoxide control period in a carbon monoxide control area, A person responsible for the product may offer for sale, sell, or dispense at a retail gasoline station for use in collector vehicles or vehicles eligible to be licensed as collector vehicles, off-road vehicles, motorcycles, boats, snowmobiles, or small engines, gasoline that is not oxygenated in accordance with subdivision 1 if the person meets the conditions in paragraphs (b) to (d) (e). If the nonoxygenated gasoline is for use in a small engine, it must be dispensed into a can with a capacity of six or fewer gallons.
 - (b) The nonoxygenated gasoline must be unleaded premium grade as defined in section 239.751, subdivision 4.
- (c) No more than one storage tank on the premises of the retail gasoline station may be used for storage of the nonoxygenated gasoline offered for sale, sold, or dispensed by the station.
- (d) The pump stands must be posted with a permanent notice stating: "NONOXYGENATED GASOLINE. FOR USE IN COLLECTOR VEHICLES OR VEHICLES ELIGIBLE TO BE LICENSED AS COLLECTOR VEHICLES, OFF-ROAD VEHICLES, MOTORCYCLES, BOATS, SNOWMOBILES, OR SMALL ENGINES ONLY."
- (e) A retail gasoline station that sells or offers for sale nonoxygenated premium grade gasoline under this subdivision must annually report to the division of weights and measures, department of public service, on forms provided by the division, the total number of gallons of nonoxygenated gasoline sold. Data submitted to the department under this paragraph are nonpublic data as defined in section 13.02, subdivision 9.
 - Sec. 54. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 239.791, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 13.</u> [EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN RIPARIAN LANDOWNERS.] (a) <u>A person responsible for the product may offer for sale, sell, and deliver directly to a bulk fuel storage tank gasoline that is not oxygenated in accordance with subdivision 1 if the conditions in paragraphs (b) to (e) are met.</u>
 - (b) The nonoxygenated gasoline must be unleaded premium grade as defined in section 239.751, subdivision 4.
 - (c) The bulk fuel storage tank must be stationary or permanent.
- (d) The bulk fuel storage tank must be under the control of an owner of littoral or riparian property and located on that littoral or riparian property.
- (e) The nonoxygenated gasoline must be purchased for use in vehicles that would qualify for an exemption under subdivision 12, paragraph (a).
 - Sec. 55. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 239.791, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- <u>Subd. 14.</u> [EXEMPTION FOR AIRCRAFT OPERATORS.] <u>A person responsible for the product may offer for sale, sell, and deliver directly to a bulk fuel storage tank gasoline that is not oxygenated in accordance with subdivision 1 for use in aircraft if the nonoxygenated gasoline is unleaded premium grade as defined in section 239.751, subdivision 4.</u>

- Sec. 56. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 500.24, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [DEFINITIONS.] The definitions in this subdivision apply to this section.
- (a) "Farming" means the production of (1) agricultural products; (2) livestock or livestock products; (3) milk or milk products; or (4) fruit or other horticultural products. It does not include the processing, refining, or packaging of said products, nor the provision of spraying or harvesting services by a processor or distributor of farm products. It does not include the production of timber or forest products, the production of poultry or poultry products, or the feeding and caring for livestock that are delivered to a corporation for slaughter or processing for up to 20 days before slaughter or processing.
- (b) "Family farm" means an unincorporated farming unit owned by one or more persons residing on the farm or actively engaging in farming.
- (c) "Family farm corporation" means a corporation founded for the purpose of farming and the ownership of agricultural land in which the majority of the voting stock is held by and the majority of the stockholders are persons or the spouses of persons related to each other within the third degree of kindred according to the rules of the civil law, and at least one of said related persons is residing on or actively operating the farm, and none of whose stockholders are corporations; provided that a family farm corporation shall not cease to qualify as such hereunder by reason of any devise or bequest of shares of voting stock.
 - (d) "Authorized farm corporation" means a corporation meeting the following standards:
 - (1) it has no more than five shareholders;
 - (2) all its shareholders, other than any estate, are natural persons;
 - (3) it does not have more than one class of shares;
- (4) its revenue from rent, royalties, dividends, interest, and annuities does not exceed 20 percent of its gross receipts;
- (5) shareholders holding 51 percent or more of the interest in the corporation reside on the farm or are actively engaging in farming;
- (6) it does not, directly or indirectly, own or otherwise have an interest in any title to more than 1,500 acres of agricultural land; and
- (7) none of its shareholders are shareholders in other authorized farm corporations that directly or indirectly in combination with the corporation own more than 1,500 acres of agricultural land.
- (e) "Authorized livestock farm corporation" means a corporation formed for the production of livestock and meeting the following standards:
 - (1) it is engaged in the production of livestock other than dairy cattle;
 - (2) all its shareholders, other than any estate, are natural persons or family farm corporations;
 - (3) it does not have more than one class of shares;
- (4) its revenue from rent, royalties, dividends, interest, and annuities does not exceed 20 percent of its gross receipts;

- (5) shareholders holding 75 percent or more of the control, financial, and capital investment in the corporation are farmers residing in Minnesota and at least 51 percent of the required percentage of farmers are actively engaged in livestock production;
- (6) it does not, directly or indirectly, own or otherwise have an interest in any title to more than 1,500 acres of agricultural land; and
- (7) none of its shareholders are shareholders in other authorized farm corporations that directly or indirectly in combination with the corporation own more than 1,500 acres of agricultural land.
 - (f) "Agricultural land" means real estate used for farming or capable of being used for farming in this state.
- (g) "Pension or investment fund" means a pension or employee welfare benefit fund, however organized, a mutual fund, a life insurance company separate account, a common trust of a bank or other trustee established for the investment and reinvestment of money contributed to it, a real estate investment trust, or an investment company as defined in United States Code, title 15, section 80a-3.
- (h) "Farm homestead" means a house including adjoining buildings that has been used as part of a farming operation or is part of the agricultural land used for a farming operation.
- (i) "Family farm partnership" means a limited partnership formed for the purpose of farming and the ownership of agricultural land in which the majority of the interests in the partnership is held by and the majority of the partners are persons or the spouses of persons related to each other within the third degree of kindred according to the rules of the civil law, at least one of the related persons is residing on or actively operating the farm, and none of the partners are corporations. A family farm partnership does not cease to qualify as a family farm partnership because of a devise or bequest of interest in the partnership.
 - (j) "Authorized farm partnership" means a limited partnership meeting the following standards:
- (1) it has been issued a certificate from the secretary of state or is registered with the county recorder and farming and ownership of agricultural land is stated as a purpose or character of the business;
 - (2) no more than five partners;
 - (3) all its partners, other than any estate, are natural persons;
 - (4) its revenue from rent, royalties, dividends, interest, and annuities do not exceed 20 percent of its gross receipts;
- (5) its general partners hold at least 51 percent of the interest in the land assets of the partnership and reside on the farm or are actively engaging in farming not more than 1,500 acres as a general partner in an authorized limited partnership;
- (6) its limited partners do not participate in the business of the limited partnership including operating, managing, or directing management of farming operations;
- (7) it does not, directly or indirectly, own or otherwise have an interest in any title to more than 1,500 acres of agricultural land; and
- (8) none of its limited partners are limited partners in other authorized farm partnerships that directly or indirectly in combination with the partnership own more than 1,500 acres of agricultural land.
- (k) "Farmer" means a natural person who regularly participates in physical labor or operations management in the person's farming operation and files "Schedule F" as part of the person's annual Form 1040 filing with the United States Internal Revenue Service.

- (l) "Actively engaged in livestock production" means performing day-to-day physical labor or day-to-day operations management that significantly contributes to livestock production and the functioning of a livestock operation.
- (m) "Research or experimental farm" means a corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund that owns or operates agricultural land for research or experimental purposes, provided that any commercial sales from the operation are incidental to the research or experimental objectives of the corporation. A corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund seeking initial approval by the commissioner to operate agricultural land for research or experimental purposes must first submit to the commissioner a prospectus or proposal of the intended method of operation containing information required by the commissioner including a copy of any operational contract with individual participants.
- (n) "Breeding stock farm" means a corporation or limited partnership that owns land for the purpose of raising breeding stock, including embryos, for resale to farmers or for the purpose of growing seed, wild rice, nursery plants, or sod. An entity that is organized to raise livestock other than dairy cattle under this paragraph that does not qualify as an authorized farm corporation must:
- (1) sell all castrated animals to be fed out or finished to farming operations that are neither directly nor indirectly owned by the business entity operating the breeding stock operation; and
 - (2) report its total production and sales annually to the commissioner.
- (o) "Aquatic farm" means a corporation or limited partnership that owns or leases agricultural land as a necessary part of an aquatic farm as defined in section 17.47, subdivision 3.
- (p) "Religious farm" means a corporation formed primarily for religious purposes whose sole income is derived from agriculture.
- (q) "Utility corporation" means a corporation regulated under Minnesota Statutes 1974, chapter 216B, that owns agricultural land for purposes described in that chapter, or an electric generation or transmission cooperative that owns agricultural land for use in its business if the land is not used for farming except under lease to a family farm unit, a family farm corporation, or a family farm partnership.
- (r) "Benevolent trust" means a pension fund or family trust established by the owners of a family farm, authorized farm corporation, authorized livestock farm corporation, or family farm corporation that holds an interest in title to agricultural land on which one or more of those owners or shareholders have resided or have been actively engaged in farming as required by paragraph (b), (c), (d), or (e).
- (s) "Development organization" means a corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund that owns agricultural land for which the corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund has documented plans to use and subsequently uses the land within six years from the date of purchase for a specific nonfarming purpose, or if the land is zoned nonagricultural, or if the land is located within an incorporated area. A corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund may hold agricultural land in the amount necessary for its nonfarm business operation; provided, however, that pending the development of agricultural land for nonfarm purposes, the land may not be used for farming except under lease to a family farm unit, a family farm corporation, an authorized farm corporation, an authorized livestock farm corporation, a family farm partnership, or an authorized farm partnership, or except when controlled through ownership, options, leaseholds, or other agreements by a corporation that has entered into an agreement with the United States under the New Community Act of 1968 (Title IV of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, United States Code, title 42, sections 3901 to 3914) as amended, or a subsidiary or assign of such a corporation.
- (t) "Exempt land" means agricultural land owned or leased by a corporation as of May 20, 1973, agricultural land owned or leased by a pension or investment fund as of May 12, 1981, or agricultural land owned or leased by a limited partnership as of May 1, 1988, including the normal expansion of that ownership at a rate not to exceed 20

percent of the amount of land owned as of May 20, 1973, for a corporation; May 12, 1981, for a pension or investment fund; or May 1, 1988, for a limited partnership, measured in acres, in any five-year period, and including additional ownership reasonably necessary to meet the requirements of pollution control rules. A corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund that is eligible to own or lease agricultural land under this section prior to May 1997 may continue to own or lease agricultural land subject to the same conditions and limitations as previously allowed.

- (u) "Gifted land" means agricultural land acquired as a gift, either by grant or devise, by an educational, religious, or charitable nonprofit corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund if all land so acquired is disposed of within ten years after acquiring the title.
- (v) "Repossessed land" means agricultural land acquired by a corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund by process of law in the collection of debts, or by any procedure for the enforcement of a lien or claim on the land, whether created by mortgage or otherwise if all land so acquired is disposed of within five years after acquiring the title. The five-year limitation is a covenant running with the title to the land against any grantee, assignee, or successor of the pension or investment fund, corporation, or limited partnership. The land so acquired must not be used for farming during the five-year period, except under a lease to a family farm unit, a family farm corporation, an authorized farm corporation, an authorized livestock farm corporation, a family farm partnership, or an authorized farm partnership. Notwithstanding the five-year divestiture requirement under this paragraph, a financial institution may continue to own the agricultural land if the agricultural land is leased to the immediately preceding former owner, but must dispose of the agricultural land within ten years of acquiring the title. Livestock acquired by a pension or investment fund, corporation, or limited partnership in the collection of debts, or by a procedure for the enforcement of lien or claim on the livestock whether created by security agreement or otherwise after August 1, 1994, must be sold or disposed of within one full production cycle for the type of livestock acquired or 18 months after the livestock is acquired, whichever is later.
 - (w) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of agriculture.
- (x) "Demonstration corporation" means a nonprofit corporation organized under state nonprofit corporation law and formed primarily for the purpose of demonstrating historical farming practices.
 - Sec. 57. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 500.24, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [FARMING AND OWNERSHIP OF AGRICULTURAL LAND BY CORPORATIONS RESTRICTED.] No corporation, limited liability company, pension or investment fund, or limited partnership shall engage in farming; nor shall any corporation, limited liability company, pension or investment fund, or limited partnership, directly or indirectly, own, acquire, or otherwise obtain any interest, in agricultural land other than a bona fide encumbrance taken for purposes of security. This subdivision does not apply to general partnerships. This subdivision does not apply to any agricultural land, corporation, limited partnership, or pension or investment fund that meet any of the definitions in subdivision 2, paragraphs (b) to (e), (i), (j), and (m) to (v), and (x), has a conservation plan prepared for the agricultural land, and reports as required under subdivision 4.

Sec. 58. [AGRICULTURAL PRODUCER CONTRACTS; ROUNDTABLE ON CONTRACT FARMING.]

<u>Subdivision 1.</u> [PURPOSE; LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS.] <u>The legislature finds that continuing changes in the agricultural livestock, poultry, commodity crop, and specialty crop industries have led to an ever larger portion of Minnesota farmers who produce under contract for processors. To the extent that production under contract lessens competition and dulls important market signals, independent producers are left at a critical economic disadvantage. The legislature finds further that the study and recommendations authorized by this section will identify ways to assure that competitive markets remain for producers who choose not to produce under contract.</u>

- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [CREATION; MEMBERSHIP.] (a) <u>There is hereby created a roundtable on contract farming with 22 members appointed as follows:</u>
- (1) the chair of the agriculture and rural development committee of the senate shall appoint one citizen member with education and experience in the area of agricultural economics, one citizen member who is the operator of a production agriculture farm in the state, one processor of agricultural livestock, one poultry processor, and three members of the senate, at least one of whom must be a member of the minority caucus;
- (2) the chair of the agriculture and rural development finance committee of the house of representatives shall appoint one citizen member with education and experience in the area of agricultural economics, one citizen member who is the operator of a production agriculture farm in the state, one poultry producer, one processor of agricultural commodities, and three members of the house of representatives, at least one of whom must be a member of the minority caucus;
- (3) the governor shall appoint three members, one each representing processors of agricultural livestock, poultry, commodity crops, or specialty crops;
- (4) the governor shall appoint two members representing different types of financial institutions or organizations of financial institutions;
 - (5) the Minnesota Farm Bureau Federation shall appoint one member;
 - (6) the Minnesota Farmers Union shall appoint one member;
 - (7) the Minnesota Cattlemen's Association shall appoint one member; and
 - (8) the Minnesota Pork Producers Association shall appoint one member.
 - (b) All appointments must be made June 15, 1999.
- (c) <u>Citizen members of the roundtable serve without compensation but may be reimbursed for expenses as provided in Minnesota Statutes, section 15.059, subdivision 6.</u>
- (d) The first meeting of the roundtable must be called and convened by the chairs of the agriculture policy committees of the senate and the house of representatives. Roundtable members must then elect a permanent chair from among the roundtable members.
- (e) The roundtable may organize itself into two or more committees each concentrating on the issues most relevant to particular types of producer contracts, such as agricultural livestock or poultry contracts, commodity crop contracts, or specialty crop contracts. If committees of the roundtable are formed, they must report their findings to the full roundtable.
- <u>Subd. 3.</u> [CHARGE.] <u>The roundtable shall examine current and projected impacts of agricultural livestock, poultry, commodity crops, and specialty crops produced under contract with processors and the effect of contract production on the availability or distortion of valid market price information and access to competitive markets for other producers. In fulfilling its charge, the roundtable may consult with persons involved with or affected by activities and recommendations of the agricultural marketing and bargaining task force created under Laws 1997, chapter 142.</u>
- <u>Subd. 4.</u> [RESOURCES; STAFF SUPPORT; CONTRACT SERVICES.] <u>The commissioner of agriculture shall provide necessary resources and staff support for the meetings, hearings, activities, and report of the roundtable. <u>To the extent the roundtable determines it appropriate to contract with nonstate providers for research or analytical services, the commissioner shall serve as the fiscal agent for the roundtable.</u></u>

- <u>Subd. 5.</u> [PUBLIC HEARINGS.] <u>The roundtable shall hold at least four public hearings on the issue of agricultural production under contract, at least three of which must be held in greater Minnesota.</u>
- <u>Subd. 6.</u> [REPORT.] The roundtable shall report its findings to the legislature by January 15, 2000. The report must include recommendations for law or rule changes that would ensure competition and valid market price signals to both contract producers and those who choose not to produce under contract.
- <u>Subd.</u> 7. [EXPIRATION.] <u>The roundtable on contract farming expires 45 days after its report and recommendations are delivered to the legislature or on June 1, 2000, whichever date is earlier.</u>

Sec. 59. [BUSINESS CLIMATE FOR DAIRY FARMERS.]

- <u>Subdivision</u> <u>1.</u> [COMMISSIONER TO STUDY, HOLD HEARINGS, AND REPORT WITH RECOMMENDATIONS.] (a) <u>The commissioner of agriculture shall study, in consultation with the chairs of the agriculture policy and finance committees of the senate and the house of representatives, the Minnesota Farmers <u>Union, the Farm Bureau Federation, the National Farmers Organization, and other Minnesota farm organizations, the impact of current and projected trends in dairy farming on Minnesota's dairy farmers and processors and propose a strategic plan to reinvigorate Minnesota's dairy industry.</u></u>
- (b) The commissioner shall hold at least five public hearings in the agricultural regions of Minnesota on the challenges and opportunities for Minnesota's dairy farmers.
- (c) Not later than February 15, 2000, the commissioner shall report to the legislature on the findings of the study. The report must include recommendations on improvements in state laws and rules that are in the best interest of Minnesota's dairy industry, environment, social climate, and family farming operations. The report must include:
 - (1) the impact of current trends on the economic, social, and environmental conditions in rural Minnesota;
 - (2) the impact of the current laws on dairy farming in Minnesota;
- (3) the impact of current dairy farming trends on the long-term viability of the dairy processing industry in Minnesota;
- (4) a strategic plan to provide for the financial success and long-term sustainability of dairy farming in Minnesota; and
- (5) recommendations on how state government can better assist Minnesota's dairy farmers develop and use appropriate technologies, including the upgrade of milking facilities, rotational grazing, and other sustainable methods.
 - Subd. 2. [EFFECTIVE DATE.] This section is effective the day following final enactment.
 - Sec. 60. [URBAN AGRICULTURAL HIGH SCHOOL.]
- <u>Subdivision 1.</u> [WORKING GROUP ESTABLISHED.] <u>The commissioner of agriculture, in collaboration with the Minnesota agriculture education leadership council, must establish a working group to develop a proposal for an urban agricultural high school and development of agribusiness partnerships.</u>
- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [GRANT PURPOSES.] <u>The planning grant may be used for curriculum design, demographic research, development of partnerships, site acquisition, market assessment of student interest, and facility predesign purposes.</u>
- <u>Subd.</u> 3. [REPORT.] <u>The Minnesota agriculture education leadership council must present a report to the legislature by January 15, 2000.</u>

Sec. 61. [FEEDLOT RULE REVIEW.]

To reduce the need for future farm-related state spending, and to ensure legislative intent and oversight, after the effective date of this section, the Minnesota pollution control agency shall not implement any new or increased fees related to livestock or poultry production or implement any new or amended rules or new or increased fees related to the operation of livestock or poultry feedlots until at least 60 days after the proposed rules have been reviewed and approved by majority vote of the standing committees of the senate and the house of representatives having jurisdiction over agricultural policy issues.

Sec. 62. [REVISOR INSTRUCTION.]

The revisor of statutes shall renumber Minnesota Statutes, section 156.072, subdivision 4, as section 156.073.

Sec. 63. [REPEALER.]

<u>Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 35.245; and 35.96, subdivision 4, are repealed on the day following final enactment.</u> <u>Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 17.76; 42.01; 42.02; 42.03; 42.04; 42.05; 42.06; 42.07; 42.08; 42.09; 42.10; 42.11; 42.12; 42.13; and 42.14, are repealed.</u>

Sec. 64. [EFFECTIVE DATE.]

Sections 8, 22, 23, 25 to 35, 52 to 55, and 58 are effective on the day following final enactment."

Delete the title and insert:

"A bill for an act relating to state government; appropriating money for environmental, natural resources, and agricultural purposes; establishing and modifying certain programs; providing for regulation of certain activities and practices; providing for accounts, assessments, and fees; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 14.386; 17.115, subdivision 3; 17.116, subdivision 3; 17.136; 17.451, subdivision 2; 17.452, subdivisions 5 and 8; 18B.26, subdivision 5; 18E.02, subdivision 5; 28A.08, subdivision 3; 31.94; 31.95, subdivision 3a; 32.21, subdivision 4; 35.02, subdivision 1; 35.04; 35.05; 35.08; 35.09, subdivisions 2 and 2a; 35.67; 35.68; 35.82, subdivisions 1b, 2, and 3; 35.92, subdivision 5; 35.93, subdivision 1; 41A.09, subdivision 3a; 41D.02, subdivision 2; 84.027, subdivision 15; 84.0855, subdivision 2, and by adding a subdivision; 84.83, subdivisions 3 and 4; 84.86, subdivision 1; 84.862, subdivisions 1 and 2; 84.872, subdivision 1; 84.91, subdivision 1; 84.98, subdivision 6; 85.015, by adding a subdivision; 85.019, subdivision 2, and by adding subdivisions; 86B.415, subdivision 1; 88.067; 92.46, subdivision 1; 97A.075, subdivision 1; 97A.475, subdivisions 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, and 20; 97A.485, subdivision 12; 97B.020; 103B.227, subdivision 2; 103C.401, by adding a subdivision; 103F.515, subdivision 2; 115.55, subdivision 5a; 115A.02; 115A.554; 115A.918, subdivision 1; 115B.42; 116G.151; 156.001, subdivisions 2, 3, and by adding a subdivision; 156.01, subdivision 3; 156.02, subdivisions 1 and 2; 156.03; 156.072; 156.10; 156.11; 156.12, subdivisions 2 and 4; 169.121, subdivision 3; 169.1217, subdivisions 7a and 9; 169.123, subdivision 1; 171.07, subdivisions 12 and 13; 216B.2424, by adding a subdivision; 239.791, subdivisions 1, 12, and by adding subdivisions; 290.431; 290.432; 297H.13, subdivision 5; 325E.11; 325E.112, subdivisions 1, 2, 3, and 4; 325E.113; 500.24, subdivisions 2 and 3; 574.263; and 574.264, subdivision 1; Laws 1995, chapter 220, section 142, as amended; Laws 1996, chapter 351, section 2, as amended; and Laws 1998, chapter 404, section 7, subdivisions 23 and 26; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 17; 18E; 28A; 31B; 103F; 115B; 116; and 156; repealing Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 1.31; 17.76; 35.245; 35.96, subdivision 4; 42.01; 42.02; 42.03; 42.04; 42.05; 42.06; 42.07; 42.08; 42.09; 42.10; 42.11; 42.12; 42.13; 42.14; 84B.11; 86B.415, subdivision 7a; 115A.929; 115A.9651; 115A.981; 297H.13, subdivision 6; 325E.112, subdivision 5; and 473.845, subdivision 2."

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Holsten moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 2, line 18, after the period, insert "The term "the first year" means the year ending June 30, 2000, and the term "the second year" means the year ending June 30, 2001."

Page 2, line 26, delete "6,953,000" and insert "12,053,000" and delete "13,985,000" and insert "19,085,000"

Page 7, line 23, delete "2" and insert "2a"

Page 12, line 35, after the period, insert "This is a one-time appropriation."

Page 14, line 49, after the period, insert "\$30,000 each year is a one-time appropriation."

Page 16, line 3, after the period, insert "This is a one-time appropriation."

Page 16, after line 30, insert:

"\$344,000 the first year and \$348,000 the second year are for technical assistance and grants to assist local government units and organizations in the metropolitan area to acquire and develop natural areas and greenways.

\$429,000 the first year and \$432,000 the second year are for the community assistance program to provide for technical assistance and regional resource enhancement grants."

Page 17, delete lines 1 to 7 and insert:

"\$3,620,000 the first year and \$3,620,000 the second year are for grants to soil and water conservation districts for cost-sharing under Minnesota Statutes, section 103C.501. Of this amount, \$500,000 each year is a one-time appropriation. This appropriation is available until expended."

Page 17, line 29, after "purposes" insert ", nonpoint engineering,"

Page 17, line 57, after the period, insert "If the appropriation in either year is insufficient, the appropriation for the other year is available for it."

Page 23, line 61, delete "trust" and insert "future resources"

Page 24, line 2, delete "trust" and insert "future resources"

Page 89, line 22, delete "every five square miles" and insert "within a five-mile radius of any resident"

Page 104, line 38, delete "predesign" and insert "feasibility"

Page 105, line 58, after the period, insert "This is a one-time appropriation."

Page 106, lines 6, 14, and 23, after the period, insert "This is a one-time appropriation."

Page 108, line 59, delete "\$50,000" and insert "\$75,000" in both places

Page 110, line 14, delete everything after the period

Page 110, delete lines 15 to 16

Page 110, line 17, delete everything before "This"

Page 110, line 22, after the period, insert "Of the first year appropriation, \$50,000 is to complete a study of the business climate for dairy farmers."

Page 110, delete lines 23 to 26

Adjust amounts accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Dorman, Stanek, Davids, Finseth, Kuisle, Howes and Tuma moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 60, after line 36, insert:

"Sec. 37. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.445, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

- Subd. 2. [ANGLING; INSTITUTIONAL RESIDENTS.] A license is not required to take fish by angling with the written consent of the superintendent or chief executive of the institution for the following persons:
 - (1) a resident of a state hospital;
 - (2) a patient of a United States Veterans Administration hospital;
 - (3) an inmate of a state correctional facility;
- (4) a resident of a licensed nursing or boarding care home, a person who is enrolled in and regularly participates in an adult day care program or other similar organized activity sponsored by a licensed nursing or boarding care home, or a resident of a licensed board and lodging facility; and
 - (5) (4) a resident of a drug or alcohol residential treatment program under the age of 20."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Dorman et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 118 yeas and 4 nays as follows:

Abeler	Bakk	Bradley	Cassell	Davids	Dorn
Abrams	Biernat	Broecker	Chaudhary	Dehler	Entenza
Anderson, B.	Bishop	Buesgens	Clark, J.	Dempsey	Erhardt
Anderson, I.	Boudreau	Carlson	Daggett	Dorman	Erickson

Finseth Howes Leighton Ness Rifenberg Tuma Rostberg Folliard Huntley Lenczewski Nornes Tunheim Fuller Jaros Leppik Olson Rukavina Van Dellen Vandeveer Gerlach Jennings Opatz Schumacher Lieder Gleason Johnson Lindner Orfield Seagren Wagenius Goodno Juhnke Luther Osskopp Seifert, J. Wenzel Greenfield Mahoney Osthoff Seifert, M. Westerberg Kalis Greiling Kelliher Mares Ozment Skoe Westfall Gunther Kielkucki Mariani Paulsen Skoglund Westrom Knoblach Wilkin Haake McCollum Pawlenty Smith Koskinen Solberg Winter Haas McElroy Pelowski Hackbarth Krinkie McGuire Stang Wolf Peterson Harder Kubly Milbert Pugh Storm Workman Rest Hasskamp Kuisle Molnau Swenson Spk. Sviggum Holberg Larsen, P. Mulder Reuter Sykora Holsten Rhodes Tomassoni Larson, D. Mullery

Those who voted in the negative were:

Dawkins Hilty Kahn Murphy

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

The Speaker called Boudreau to the Chair.

Kelliher moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Pages 86 to 91, delete sections 74 to 79

Page 94, line 11, strike "1999" and insert "2000"

Page 94, line 14, reinstate the stricken language

Page 94, after line 31, insert:

"Motor oil and motor oil filter manufacturers and retailers shall seek to provide:

(1) access to at least one nongovernment site for collection of used motor oil and used motor oil filters from the public within a five-mile radius of any resident in the seven-county metropolitan area; and

(2) access to a nongovernment collection site for used motor oil and used motor oil filters generated by the public within a city or town of greater than 1,500 outside the seven-county metropolitan area."

Page 94, line 32, before "Motor" insert "(b)"

Page 95, line 4, delete "(b)" and insert "(c)" and reinstate the stricken language

Page 95, lines 5 and 6, delete the new language and reinstate the stricken language

Page 95, lines 26 and 27, delete the new language and reinstate the stricken language

Page 95, line 30, delete the new language and reinstate the stricken language

Page 95, lines 31 to 36, delete the new language

Page 96, delete lines 1 to 3

Page 96, line 4, delete "(c)" and insert "(d)"

Page 96, lines 14 to 19, reinstate the stricken language

Pages 98 and 99, delete section 86

Page 101, line 35, delete "sections" and insert "section" and delete "; and"

Page 101, line 36, delete "325E.112, subdivision 5, are" and insert "is"

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Kelliher amendment and the roll was called. There were 56 yeas and 71 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I. Bakk	Folliard Gleason	Juhnke Kahn	Luther Mahoney	Otremba Paymar	Solberg Tomassoni
Biernat	Greenfield	Kalis	Mariani	Pelowski	Trimble
Carlson	Greiling	Kelliher	McCollum	Peterson	Tunheim
Carruthers	Hasskamp	Koskinen	McGuire	Pugh	Wagenius
Chaudhary	Hausman	Kubly	Milbert	Rest	Winter
Clark, K.	Hilty	Larson, D.	Mullery	Rukavina	
Dawkins	Huntley	Leighton	Opatz	Schumacher	
Dorn	Jaros	Lenczewski	Orfield	Skoe	
Entenza	Johnson	Lieder	Osthoff	Skoglund	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dehler	Harder	Mares	Rhodes	Tuma
Abrams	Dorman	Holberg	McElroy	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Anderson, B.	Erhardt	Holsten	Molnau	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Bishop	Erickson	Howes	Mulder	Seagren	Wenzel
Boudreau	Finseth	Jennings	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westerberg
Bradley	Fuller	Kielkucki	Nornes	Seifert, M.	Westfall
Broecker	Gerlach	Knoblach	Olson	Smith	Westrom
Buesgens	Goodno	Krinkie	Osskopp	Stanek	Wilkin
Cassell	Gunther	Kuisle	Ozment	Stang	Wolf
Clark, J.	Haake	Larsen, P.	Paulsen	Storm	Workman
Daggett	Haas	Leppik	Pawlenty	Swenson	Spk. Sviggum
Davids	Hackbarth	Lindner	Reuter	Sykora	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

The Speaker resumed the Chair.

Haas moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Pages 57 and 58, delete section 33

Page 102, line 6, delete "Section 33 is effective January 1, 2000."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Haas amendment and the roll was called. There were 55 yeas and 74 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dorman	Krinkie	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Abrams	Finseth	Kuisle	Nornes	Smith	Westrom
Anderson, B.	Fuller	Larsen, P.	Olson	Stanek	Wilkin
Boudreau	Gerlach	Larson, D.	Osskopp	Stang	Workman
Bradley	Gunther	Lindner	Paulsen	Tomassoni	Spk. Sviggum
Broecker	Haas	Luther	Pawlenty	Tunheim	
Buesgens	Hackbarth	Mares	Reuter	Van Dellen	
Carlson	Holberg	McElroy	Rifenberg	Vandeveer	
Carruthers	Jennings	Milbert	Rostberg	Wenzel	
Cassell	Kielkucki	Mulder	Schumacher	Westerberg	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson, I. Bakk Biernat Chaudhary Clark, J. Clark, K. Daggett Davids Dawkins Dehler	Erhardt Erickson Folliard Gleason Goodno Gray Greenfield Greiling Haake Harder	Holsten Howes Huntley Jaros Johnson Juhnke Kahn Kalis Kelliher Knoblach	Lenczewski Leppik Lieder Mahoney Mariani McCollum McGuire Molnau Mullery Murphy	Otremba Ozment Paymar Pelowski Peterson Pugh Rest Rhodes Rukavina Seagren	Solberg Storm Swenson Sykora Trimble Tuma Wagenius Winter Wolf
			,		Woll

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

The Speaker called Abrams to the Chair.

Osskopp offered an amendment to S. F. No. 2226, as amended.

POINT OF ORDER

Skoglund raised a point of order pursuant to rule 4.03 relating to Ways and Means Committee; Budget Resolution; Effect on Expenditure and Revenue bills that the Osskopp amendment was not in order. Speaker pro tempore Abrams ruled the point of order well taken and the Osskopp amendment out of order.

Munger and McCollum moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 5, after line 23, insert:

"\$400,000 the first year and \$400,000 the second year for continuing research on malformed frogs. This is a one time appropriation."

Page 17, line 26, delete "\$3,867,000" and insert "\$3,467,000"

Page 17, line 27, delete "\$3,867,000" and insert "\$3,467,000"

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Munger and McCollum amendment and the roll was called. There were 63 year and 70 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Gleason	Juhnke	Mahoney	Otremba	Storm
Bakk	Gray	Kahn	Mariani	Paymar	Tomassoni
Biernat	Greenfield	Kalis	Marko	Pelowski	Trimble
Carlson	Greiling	Kelliher	McCollum	Peterson	Tuma
Carruthers	Hasskamp	Koskinen	McGuire	Pugh	Van Dellen
Chaudhary	Hausman	Kubly	Milbert	Rest	Wagenius
Clark, K.	Hilty	Larson, D.	Mullery	Rukavina	Wenzel
Dawkins	Huntley	Leighton	Munger	Schumacher	Winter
Dorn	Jaros	Lenczewski	Murphy	Skoe	
Entenza	Jennings	Lieder	Opatz	Skoglund	
Folliard	Johnson	Luther	Orfield	Solberg	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Clark, J.	Fuller	Holsten	Mares	Ozment
Abrams	Daggett	Gerlach	Howes	McElroy	Paulsen
Anderson, B.	Davids	Goodno	Kielkucki	Molnau	Pawlenty
Bishop	Dehler	Gunther	Knoblach	Mulder	Reuter
Boudreau	Dempsey	Haake	Krinkie	Ness	Rhodes
Bradley	Dorman	Haas	Kuisle	Nornes	Rifenberg
Broecker	Erhardt	Hackbarth	Larsen, P.	Olson	Rostberg
Buesgens	Erickson	Harder	Leppik	Osskopp	Seagren
Cassell	Finseth	Holberg	Lindner	Osthoff	Seifert, J.

Seifert, M. Stang Tingelstad Westerberg Wilkin Spk. Sviggum Tunheim Westfall Wolf Smith Swenson Stanek Sykora Vandeveer Westrom Workman

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

The Speaker resumed the Chair.

Holberg; Buesgens; Smith; Wilkin; Paulsen; Gerlach; Lindner; Larsen, P.; Van Dellen; Osskopp; Rostberg; McElroy; Haake; Rhodes; Abrams; Westrom; Westerberg; Seifert, J.; Reuter; Vandeveer; Nornes and Cassell moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Pages 61 to 63, delete sections 37 to 46

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

POINT OF ORDER

Pugh raised a point of order pursuant to rule 4.03 relating to Ways and Means Committee; Budget Resolution; Effect on Expenditure and Revenue Bills that the Holberg et al amendment was not in order. The Speaker ruled the point of order not well taken and the Holberg et al amendment in order.

The question recurred on the Holberg et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 99 yeas and 33 nays as follows:

Abeler	Daggett	Johnson	Mares	Peterson	Storm
Abrams	Dempsey	Juhnke	Marko	Pugh	Swenson
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Kielkucki	McCollum	Rest	Tingelstad
Anderson, I.	Dorn	Knoblach	McElroy	Reuter	Tomassoni
Bakk	Erhardt	Koskinen	Milbert	Rhodes	Trimble
Biernat	Erickson	Krinkie	Molnau	Rifenberg	Tunheim
Bishop	Finseth	Kubly	Mulder	Rostberg	Van Dellen
Boudreau	Folliard	Kuisle	Mullery	Rukavina	Vandeveer
Bradley	Fuller	Larsen, P.	Murphy	Seagren	Wenzel
Broecker	Gerlach	Larson, D.	Nornes	Seifert, J.	Westerberg
Buesgens	Gleason	Leighton	Olson	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Carlson	Goodno	Lenczewski	Opatz	Skoe	Wilkin
Carruthers	Haake	Leppik	Osskopp	Skoglund	Winter
Cassell	Harder	Lieder	Otremba	Smith	Spk. Sviggum
Chaudhary	Hasskamp	Lindner	Paulsen	Solberg	
Clark, J.	Holberg	Luther	Pawlenty	Stanek	
Clark, K.	Jaros	Mahoney	Pelowski	Stang	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Davids	Greiling	Holsten	Kelliher	Osthoff	Westfall
Dawkins	Gunther	Howes	Mariani	Ozment	Wolf
Dehler	Haas	Huntley	McGuire	Paymar	Workman
Entenza	Hackbarth	Jennings	Munger	Sykora	
Gray	Hausman	Kahn	Ness	Tuma	
Greenfield	Hilty	Kalis	Orfield	Wagenius	

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Wagenius moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 6, after line 39, insert:

"\$75,000 the first year and \$75,000 the second year is transferred from the solid waste fund to the listed metals account in the environmental fund and is for administration of the listed metals program."

Page 69, after line 22, insert:

- "Sec. 61. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 115A.9651, subdivision 6, is amended to read:
- Subd. 6. [PRODUCT REVIEW REPORTS.] (a) Except as provided under subdivision 7, the manufacturer, or an association of manufacturers, of any specified product distributed for sale or use in this state that is not listed pursuant to subdivision 4 shall submit a product review report and fee as provided in paragraph (c) to the commissioner for each product by July 1, 1998. Each product review report shall contain at least the following:
- (1) a policy statement articulating upper management support for eliminating or reducing intentional introduction of listed metals into its products;
 - (2) a description of the product and the amount of each listed metal distributed for use in this state;
 - (3) a description of past and ongoing efforts to eliminate or reduce the listed metal in the product;
- (4) an assessment of options available to reduce or eliminate the intentional introduction of the listed metal including any alternatives to the specified product that do not contain the listed metal, perform the same technical function, are commercially available, and are economically practicable;
- (5) a statement of objectives in numerical terms and a schedule for achieving the elimination of the listed metals and an environmental assessment of alternative products;
 - (6) a listing of options considered not to be technically or economically practicable; and
- (7) certification attesting to the accuracy of the information in the report signed and dated by an official of the manufacturer or user.

If the manufacturer fails to submit a product review report, a user of a specified product may submit a report and fee which comply with this subdivision by August 15, 1998.

- (b) By July 1, 1999, and annually thereafter until the commissioner takes action under subdivision 9, the manufacturer or user must submit a progress report and fee as provided in paragraph (c) updating the information presented under paragraph (a).
- (c) The fee shall be \$295 for each report. The fee shall be deposited in the state treasury and credited to the environmental fund.
- (d) Where it cannot be determined from a progress report submitted by a person pursuant to Laws 1994, chapter 585, section 30, subdivision 2, paragraph (e), the number of products for which product review reports are due under this subdivision, the commissioner shall have the authority to determine, after consultation with that person, the number of products for which product review reports are required.
- (e) The commissioner shall summarize, aggregate, and publish data reported under paragraphs (a) and (b) annually.
 - (f) A product that is the subject of a decision under section 115A.965 is exempt from this section."

Page 101, line 33, delete "115A.9651;"

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Wagenius amendment and the roll was called. There were 57 yeas and 74 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bakk	Gleason	Johnson	Mahoney	Osthoff	Skoglund
Biernat	Gray	Juhnke	Mariani	Otremba	Solberg
Carlson	Greenfield	Kahn	Marko	Paymar	Tingelstad
Carruthers	Greiling	Kelliher	McCollum	Pelowski	Tomassoni
Chaudhary	Hasskamp	Koskinen	McGuire	Peterson	Trimble
Clark, K.	Hausman	Kubly	Milbert	Pugh	Wagenius
Dawkins	Hilty	Larson, D.	Mullery	Rest	Winter
Dorn	Huntley	Leighton	Munger	Rukavina	
Entenza	Jaros	Lieder	Opatz	Schumacher	
Folliard	Jennings	Luther	Orfield	Skoe	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Buesgens	Erhardt	Haas	Knoblach	McElroy
Abrams	Cassell	Erickson	Hackbarth	Krinkie	Molnau
Anderson, B.	Clark, J.	Finseth	Harder	Kuisle	Mulder
Anderson, I.	Daggett	Fuller	Holberg	Larsen, P.	Murphy
Bishop	Davids	Gerlach	Holsten	Lenczewski	Ness
Boudreau	Dehler	Goodno	Howes	Leppik	Nornes
Bradley	Dempsey	Gunther	Kalis	Lindner	Olson
Broecker	Dorman	Haake	Kielkucki	Mares	Osskopp

Spk. Sviggum

Paulsen	Rostberg	Stanek	Tunheim	Westfall
Pawlenty	Seagren	Stang	Van Dellen	Westrom
Reuter	Seifert, J.	Storm	Vandeveer	Wilkin
Rhodes	Seifert, M.	Swenson	Wenzel	Wolf
Rifenberg	Smith	Sykora	Westerberg	Workman

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Gunther moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 119, after line 2, insert:

"Sec. 17. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 28A.075, is amended to read:

28A.075 [DELEGATION TO LOCAL BOARD OF HEALTH.]

The commissioner may must enter into an agreement agreements before June 1, 2000, with a local board boards of health that were still licensing and inspecting grocery and convenience stores on January 1, 1999, to delegate all or part of to the appropriate local board of health the licensing and inspection duties of the commissioner pertaining to retail food handlers that are grocery or convenience stores."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Westfall moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 111, after line 21, insert:

"Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 17.101, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

Subd. 5. [VALUE-ADDED AGRICULTURAL PRODUCT PROCESSING AND MARKETING GRANT PROGRAM.] (a) For purposes of this section:

- (1) "agricultural commodity" means a material produced for use in or as food, feed, seed, or fiber and includes crops for fiber, food, oilseeds, seeds, livestock, livestock products, dairy, dairy products, poultry, poultry products, and other products or by-products of the farm produced for the same or similar use, except ethanol; and
- (2) "agricultural product processing facility" means land, buildings, structures, fixtures, and improvements located or to be located in Minnesota and used or operated primarily for the processing or production of marketable products from agricultural commodities produced in Minnesota.
- (b) The commissioner shall establish and implement a value-added agricultural product processing and marketing grant program to help farmers finance new cooperatives that organize for the purposes of operating agricultural product processing facilities and for marketing activities related to the sale and distribution of processed agricultural products.

- (c) To be eligible for this program a grantee must:
- (1) be a cooperative organized under chapter 308A;
- (2) certify that all of the control and equity in the cooperative is from farmers as defined in section 500.24, subdivision 2, who are actively engaged in agricultural commodity production;
 - (3) be operated primarily for the processing of agricultural commodities produced in Minnesota;
 - (4) receive agricultural commodities produced primarily by shareholders or members of the cooperative; and
 - (5) have no direct or indirect involvement in the production of agricultural commodities.
- (d) The commissioner may receive applications from and make grants up to \$50,000 for feasibility, marketing analysis, assistance with organizational development, financing and managing new cooperatives, product development, development of business and marketing plans, and predesign of facilities, including site analysis, development of bid specifications, preliminary blueprints and schematics, and completion of purchase agreements and other necessary legal documents, to eligible cooperatives. The commissioner shall give priority to applicants who use the grants for planning costs related to an application for financial assistance from the United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Business Cooperative Service."

Page 123, after line 36, insert:

"Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31.101, subdivision 10, is amended to read:

Subd. 10. [MEAT AND POULTRY RULES.] Federal regulations in effect on April 1, 1997 January 1, 1999, as provided by Code of Federal Regulations, title 9, part 301 to 362 and 381 to 391, with the exception of Subpart C-Exemptions, sections 381.10 to 381.15 et seq., are incorporated as part of the meat and poultry rules in this state. The rules may be amended by the commissioner under chapter 14."

Page 126, after line 11, insert:

Sec. 19. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.01, is amended to read:

31A.01 [POLICY.]

Meat, <u>poultry</u>, and meat food products are an important source of the nation's total supply of food. It is essential in the public interest that the health and welfare of consumers be protected by assuring that meat, <u>poultry</u>, and meat food products distributed to them are wholesome, unadulterated, and properly marked, labeled, and packaged. Unwholesome, adulterated, or misbranded meat, <u>poultry</u>, or meat food products injure the public welfare, destroy markets for wholesome, unadulterated, and properly labeled and packaged meat, <u>poultry</u>, and meat food products, and result in losses to livestock producers and processors of meat, <u>poultry</u>, and meat food products and injury to consumers. Unwholesome, adulterated, mislabeled, or deceptively packaged articles can be sold at lower prices and compete unfairly with wholesome, unadulterated, and properly labeled and packaged articles, to the detriment of consumers and the general public.

Regulation by the commissioner and cooperation between this state and the United States under this chapter are appropriate to protect the health and welfare of consumers and accomplish the purposes of this chapter.

- Sec. 20. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.02, subdivision 4, is amended to read:
- Subd. 4. [ANIMALS.] "Animals" means cattle, swine, sheep, goats, <u>poultry</u>, farmed cervidae, as defined in section 17.451, subdivision 2, Ilamas, as defined in section 17.455, subdivision 2, ratitae, as defined in section 17.453, subdivision 3, horses, equines, and other large domesticated animals, not including poultry.

- - Sec. 21. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- Subd. 17a. [FEDERAL POULTRY INSPECTION ACT.] "Federal Poultry Inspection Act" means the Federal Poultry Products Inspection Act, as amended.
 - Sec. 22. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.02, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:
- Subd. 24. [POULTRY.] "Poultry" means any domesticated bird, including, but not limited to, chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese, or guineas.
 - Sec. 23. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.15, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [INSPECTION.] The provisions of sections 31A.01 to 31A.16 requiring inspection of the slaughter of animals and the preparation of the carcasses, parts of carcasses, meat, poultry and meat food products at establishments conducting slaughter and preparation do not apply:
- (1) to the processing by a person of the person's own animals and the owner's preparation and transportation in intrastate commerce of the carcasses, parts of carcasses, meat, poultry and meat food products of those animals exclusively for use by the owner and members of the owner's household, nonpaying guests, and employees; or
- (2) to the custom processing by a person of cattle, sheep, swine, poultry, or goats delivered by the owner for processing, and the preparation or transportation in intrastate commerce of the carcasses, parts of carcasses, meat, poultry and meat food products of animals, exclusively for use in the household of the owner by the owner and members of the owner's household, nonpaying guests, and employees. Meat from custom processing of cattle, sheep, swine, poultry or goats must be identified and handled as required by the commissioner, during all phases of processing, chilling, cooling, freezing, preparation, storage, and transportation. The custom processor may not engage in the business of buying or selling carcasses, parts of carcasses, meat, poultry or meat food products of animals usable as human food unless the carcasses, parts of carcasses, meat, poultry or meat food products have been inspected and passed and are identified as inspected and passed by the Minnesota department of agriculture or the United States Department of Agriculture.
 - Sec. 24. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.21, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
- Subdivision 1. [DESIGNATION.] The Minnesota department of agriculture is the state agency responsible for cooperating with the United States Secretary of Agriculture under section 301 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act and of the Poultry Products Inspection Act to develop and administer the state meat inspection program under this chapter so that its requirements at least equal those imposed under titles I and IV of the Federal Meat Inspection Act and of the Poultry Products Inspection Act to develop and administer the state program under sections 31A.17 to 31A.20 to carry out the purposes of this chapter and the federal act.
 - Sec. 25. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.21, subdivision 3, is amended to read:
- Subd. 3. [ADVICE; CONSULTATION.] The Minnesota department of agriculture may recommend to the United States Secretary of Agriculture officials or employees of this state for appointment to the advisory committees provided for in section 301 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act and of the Poultry Products Inspection Act. The Minnesota department of agriculture shall serve as the representative of the governor for consultation with the secretary under paragraph (c) of section 301 of the Federal Meat Inspection Act and of the Poultry Products Inspection Act unless the governor selects another representative.
 - Sec. 26. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 31A.31, is amended to read:

31A.31 [CITATION.]

This chapter may be cited as the Minnesota Meat and Poultry Inspection Act."

Page 165, line 33, after "17.76;" insert "31A.28;"

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Bakk moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 23, delete lines 58 to 63

Page 24, delete lines 1 to 5

Page 40, line 49, delete "\$440,000" and insert "\$2,100,000"

Page 86, after line 3, insert:

"Sec. 72. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 296A.18, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. [SNOWMOBILE.] Approximately one percent in fiscal years 1998 and 1999, and three-fourths of one percent thereafter, of all gasoline received in and produced or brought into this state, except gasoline used for aviation purposes, is being used as fuel for the operation of snowmobiles in this state, and of the total revenue derived from the imposition of the gasoline fuel tax for uses other than for aviation purposes, one percent in fiscal years 1998 and 1999, and three-fourths of one percent thereafter, of such revenues is the amount of tax on fuel used in snowmobiles operated in this state."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Bakk amendment and the roll was called. There were 78 yeas and 51 nays as follows:

Abeler	Dehler	Howes	Leighton	Osthoff	Smith
Anderson, I.	Dorman	Huntley	Lenczewski	Otremba	Solberg
Bakk	Dorn	Jaros	Luther	Ozment	Stanek
Biernat	Entenza	Jennings	Mahoney	Pelowski	Tingelstad
Broecker	Erickson	Johnson	Mares	Peterson	Tomassoni
Carlson	Finseth	Juhnke	Mariani	Pugh	Trimble
Carruthers	Fuller	Kahn	Marko	Rest	Tuma
Cassell	Gray	Kalis	McCollum	Rostberg	Tunheim
Chaudhary	Hackbarth	Kelliher	Milbert	Rukavina	Vandeveer
Clark, K.	Harder	Koskinen	Murphy	Schumacher	Wenzel
Daggett	Hasskamp	Kubly	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westerberg
Davids	Hilty	Larsen, P.	Nornes	Seifert, M.	Westfall
Dawkins	Holsten	Larson, D.	Osskopp	Skoe	Winter

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abrams	Folliard	Hausman	McGuire	Reuter	Wagenius
Anderson, B.	Gerlach	Holberg	Molnau	Rhodes	Westrom
Bishop	Gleason	Kielkucki	Mulder	Rifenberg	Wilkin
Boudreau	Goodno	Knoblach	Olson	Seagren	Wolf
Bradley	Greenfield	Krinkie	Opatz	Stang	Workman
Buesgens	Greiling	Kuisle	Orfield	Storm	Spk. Sviggum
Clark, J.	Gunther	Leppik	Paulsen	Swenson	
Dempsey	Haake	Lieder	Pawlenty	Sykora	
Erhardt	Haas	Lindner	Paymar	Van Dellen	

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Dawkins moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 34, delete lines 23 to 38 and insert:

"(e) Adult Mentorship for Urban Environmental Awareness

\$350,000 is from the future resources fund to the commissioner of natural resources for a grant to the Stairstep Foundation for an agreement to provide adult mentors to work with children residing in Minneapolis and St. Paul on environmental awareness and education, recycling, indoor pollution from toxics and specialized cultural awareness for minorities. The work may include interacting with K-12 teachers for learning opportunities, environmental literacy for environmental and economic sustainability of urban ecosystems, surveying to understand indoor pollution, recycling and refurbishing bicycles and computers and gardening of vegetables."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Dawkins amendment and the roll was called. There were 31 yeas and 94 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Bakk	Gleason	Mahoney	Orfield	Rukavina	Winter
Biernat	Gray	Mariani	Osthoff	Skoglund	
Chaudhary	Greenfield	Marko	Otremba	Tomassoni	
Clark, K.	Hausman	Milbert	Paymar	Trimble	
Dawkins	Jaros	Mullery	Peterson	Wagenius	
Folliard	Kelliher	Munger	Pugh	Wenzel	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Anderson, I.	Bradley	Carlson	Clark, J.	Dehler
Abrams	Bishop	Broecker	Carruthers	Daggett	Dempsey
Anderson, B.	Boudreau	Buesgens	Cassell	Davids	Dorman

Dorn	Hilty	Kubly	Murphy	Schumacher	Tuma
Erhardt	Holberg	Kuisle	Ness	Seagren	Tunheim
Erickson	Holsten	Larsen, P.	Nornes	Seifert, J.	Van Dellen
Finseth	Howes	Larson, D.	Olson	Seifert, M.	Vandeveer
Fuller	Huntley	Leighton	Osskopp	Skoe	Westerberg
Gerlach	Jennings	Leppik	Ozment	Smith	Westfall
Goodno	Johnson	Lieder	Paulsen	Solberg	Westrom
Gunther	Juhnke	Lindner	Pawlenty	Stanek	Wilkin
Haake	Kahn	Luther	Pelowski	Stang	Wolf
Haas	Kalis	Mares	Reuter	Storm	Workman
Hackbarth	Kielkucki	McElroy	Rhodes	Swenson	Spk. Sviggum
Harder	Knoblach	Molnau	Rifenberg	Sykora	^
Hasskamp	Krinkie	Mulder	Rostberg	Tingelstad	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Huntley moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 104, delete lines 46 to 50

Pages 114 to 117, delete sections 9 to 12

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Huntley amendment and the roll was called. There were 51 yeas and 81 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abrams	Erhardt	Huntley	Leppik	Orfield	Seifert, J.
Biernat	Folliard	Jaros	Mariani	Osthoff	Skoglund
Carlson	Gleason	Jennings	Marko	Pawlenty	Sykora
Carruthers	Goodno	Kahn	McCollum	Paymar	Wagenius
Chaudhary	Gray	Kelliher	McGuire	Pelowski	Westerberg
Clark, K.	Greenfield	Koskinen	Mullery	Rest	Winter
Dawkins	Greiling	Larsen, P.	Munger	Rhodes	
Dorn	Hausman	Larson, D.	Murphy	Rostberg	
Entenza	Hilty	Lenczewski	Opatz	Seagren	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Bradley	Davids	Fuller	Harder	Juhnke
Anderson, B.	Broecker	Dehler	Gerlach	Hasskamp	Kalis
Anderson, I.	Buesgens	Dempsey	Gunther	Holberg	Kielkucki
Bakk	Cassell	Dorman	Haake	Holsten	Knoblach
Bishop	Clark, J.	Erickson	Haas	Howes	Krinkie
Boudreau	Daggett	Finseth	Hackbarth	Johnson	Kubly

Kuisle	Milbert	Ozment	Seifert, M.	Tingelstad	Westrom
Leighton	Molnau	Paulsen	Skoe	Tomassoni	Wilkin
Lieder	Mulder	Peterson	Smith	Tuma	Wolf
Lindner	Ness	Pugh	Solberg	Tunheim	Workman
Luther	Nornes	Reuter	Stanek	Van Dellen	Spk. Sviggum
Mahoney	Olson	Rifenberg	Stang	Vandeveer	
Mares	Osskopp	Rukavina	Storm	Wenzel	
McElroy	Otremba	Schumacher	Swenson	Westfall	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Finseth, Milbert, Howes, Cassell, Westfall, Rifenberg, Hackbarth, Jennings, Bakk, Daggett, Rukavina and Westrom moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 101, after line 30, insert:

"Sec. 95. [FISH AND WILDLIFE STUDY.]

The director of the office of strategic and long-range planning shall study and report to the legislature by February 1, 2000, on removing the division of fish and wildlife from the department of natural resources and establishing it as a freestanding state agency. The study must include at least the following topics:

- (1) <u>a plan for transferring and allocating employees between the department of natural resources and the new agency;</u>
 - (2) a plan for transferring and allocating rulemaking authority;
- (3) a plan for transferring and allocating authority to administer and enforce various laws and contracts, including laws establishing fees and providing revenue;
 - (4) a plan for allocating office space, records, and other property;
- (5) interaction between the new agency and divisions of the department of natural resources, or inclusion of department of natural resources divisions within the new agency, including the division of enforcement, the bureau of land management, and parts of the department of natural resources that provide administrative services;
- (6) analysis of the relationship between natural resources under the jurisdiction of the fish and wildlife division and natural resources under jurisdiction of other divisions of the department of natural resources, and how establishment of a separate department might affect administration of these resources; and
- (7) analysis of how establishment of a separate department might affect the ability of citizens to receive services provided by the fish and wildlife division."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

The Speaker called Larsen, P., to the Chair.

Trimble and Dawkins moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 100, after line 15, insert:

"Sec. 88. [LOWER PHALEN CREEK PROJECTS; DEVELOPMENT OF ATHLETIC FIELDS PROHIBITED.]

A person may not construct or develop athletic fields in the city of St. Paul on land within the Lower Phalen watershed area that has been approved by the commissioner of natural resources for inclusion within the Metro greenways program, as funded by Laws 1998, chapter 404, section 7, subdivision 19."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Hasskamp, Johnson, Gleason, Otremba, Tomassoni, Tunheim and Jennings moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 63, after line 35, insert:

"Sec. 47. [97A.480] [SENIOR FISHING REFUND.]

A person 65 years of age or over that offers proof of his or her age may request a refund of the license amount under section 97A.475, subdivision 6, clause (2). The commissioner must devise a system to grant the refund."

Renumber subsequent sections in sequence

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Hasskamp et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 48 yeas and 80 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Biernat	Folliard	Jaros	Leighton	Murphy	Seifert, J.
Carlson	Fuller	Jennings	Lenczewski	Otremba	Solberg
Carruthers	Gleason	Johnson	Luther	Pelowski	Storm
Chaudhary	Gray	Juhnke	Mahoney	Peterson	Tomassoni
Clark, K.	Greenfield	Kalis	Marko	Pugh	Trimble
Dawkins	Hasskamp	Koskinen	McCollum	Rest	Tunheim
Dorn	Howes	Kubly	Milbert	Rukavina	Westerberg
Entenza	Huntley	Larson, D.	Mullery	Schumacher	Winter

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Bishop	Broecker	Clark, J.	Dehler	Erhardt
Abrams	Boudreau	Buesgens	Daggett	Dempsey	Erickson
Anderson, B.	Bradley	Cassell	Davids	Dorman	Finseth

Gerlach	Holsten	Mares	Osskopp	Seifert, M.	Wagenius
Goodno	Kahn	Mariani	Osthoff	Skoglund	Westfall
Greiling	Kelliher	McElroy	Ozment	Smith	Westrom
Gunther	Kielkucki	McGuire	Paulsen	Stanek	Wilkin
Haake	Knoblach	Molnau	Pawlenty	Stang	Wolf
Haas	Krinkie	Mulder	Paymar	Swenson	Workman
Hackbarth	Kuisle	Ness	Reuter	Sykora	Spk. Sviggum
Harder	Larsen, P.	Nornes	Rhodes	Tingelstad	
Hausman	Leppik	Olson	Rifenberg	Tuma	
Hilty	Lieder	Opatz	Rostberg	Van Dellen	
Holberg	Lindner	Orfield	Seagren	Vandeveer	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Anderson, I., moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 8, line 53, delete "12,481,000" and insert "12,415,000"

Page 17, line 59, in the second column insert "66,000"

Page 17, delete lines 60 and 61

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

The Speaker resumed the Chair.

Munger was excused for the remainder of today's session.

Gray, Kahn, Biernat, Mullery, Skoglund, Greenfield, Kelliher, Gleason, Orfield and Wagenius moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Pages 71 to 75, delete section 64

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

POINT OF ORDER

Pawlenty raised a point of order pursuant to section 124 of "Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure," relating to Personalities Not Permitted in Debate. The Speaker ruled the point of order well taken.

The question recurred on the Gray et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 54 yeas and 76 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Entenza	Johnson	Lenczewski	Mullery	Skoe
Bakk	Folliard	Juhnke	Lieder	Murphy	Skoglund
Biernat	Gleason	Kahn	Luther	Orfield	Solberg
Carlson	Gray	Kalis	Mahoney	Otremba	Tomassoni
Carruthers	Greenfield	Kelliher	Mariani	Paymar	Trimble
Chaudhary	Greiling	Koskinen	Marko	Pugh	Tunheim
Clark, K.	Hasskamp	Kubly	McCollum	Rest	Wagenius
Dawkins	Hausman	Larson, D.	McGuire	Rhodes	Wenzel
Dorn	Hilty	Leighton	Milbert	Rukavina	Winter

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dorman	Holsten	Molnau	Reuter	Tingelstad
Anderson, B.	Erhardt	Howes	Mulder	Rifenberg	Tuma
Bishop	Erickson	Huntley	Ness	Rostberg	Van Dellen
Boudreau	Finseth	Jennings	Nornes	Schumacher	Vandeveer
Bradley	Fuller	Kielkucki	Olson	Seagren	Westerberg
Broecker	Gerlach	Knoblach	Opatz	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Buesgens	Goodno	Krinkie	Osskopp	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Cassell	Gunther	Kuisle	Osthoff	Smith	Wilkin
Clark, J.	Haake	Larsen, P.	Ozment	Stanek	Wolf
Daggett	Haas	Leppik	Paulsen	Stang	Workman
Davids	Hackbarth	Lindner	Pawlenty	Storm	Spk. Sviggum
Dehler	Harder	Mares	Pelowski	Swenson	
Dempsey	Holberg	McElroy	Peterson	Sykora	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Biernat was excused between the hours of 4:20 p.m. and 5:40 p.m.

Kahn, Mullery, Biernat, Greenfield, Skoglund, Orfield, Kelliher, Gleason, Gray and Wagenius moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 71, after line 35, insert:

"Sec. 64. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 116D.04, is amended by adding a subdivision to read:

Subd. 3b. Notwithstanding any other subdivision, statute, rule, or local ordinance, resolution, or moratorium, upon completion of an environmental assessment worksheet and issuance of a negative declaration, whether the completion and issuance occurs prior to the effective date of this section or thereafter, all state and local authorities, agencies, and jurisdictions must issue all permits, licenses, and variances that are necessary or convenient for the completion of a project or development for which a negative declaration has been issued within 60 days after issuance of the negative declaration or 30 days from the effective date of this section, whichever is later.

Sec. 65. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 116D.04, subdivision 10, is amended to read:

Subd. 10. A person aggrieved by a decision Decisions on the need for an environmental assessment worksheet, the need for an environmental impact statement and or the adequacy of an environmental impact statement may be reviewed by a declaratory judgment action in the district court of the county wherein the proposed action, or any part thereof, would be undertaken. Judicial review under this section shall be initiated within 30 days after the governmental unit makes the decision, and a bond may be required under section 562.02 unless at the time of hearing on the application for the bond the plaintiff has shown that the claim has sufficient possibility of success on the merits to sustain the burden required for the issuance of a temporary restraining order. Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter the requirements for a temporary restraining order or a preliminary injunction pursuant to the Minnesota rules of civil procedure for district courts may obtain judicial review with the court of appeals pursuant to sections 14.63 to 14.69. For purposes of such an appeal under sections 14.63 to 14.69, the responsible unit of government that prepared the environmental assessment worksheet or environmental impact statement shall be considered an agency. Notwithstanding the time requirements of section 14.63, an aggrieved person may file an appeal with the court of appeals of a decision on the need for an environmental assessment worksheet, of the need for an environmental impact statement or on the adequacy of an environmental impact statement which is the subject of a pending district court action as of the effective date of this section within 30 days after the effective date of this section. The board may initiate judicial review of decisions referred to herein and may intervene as of right in any proceeding brought under this subdivision."

Page 72, lines 13 to 23, delete the new language

Page 72, line 26, reinstate the stricken language and delete the new language

Page 73, line 19, reinstate the stricken language and delete the new language

Page 73, line 25, reinstate the stricken language and delete the new language

Page 73, line 30, reinstate the stricken language and delete the new language

Page 73, line 35, reinstate the stricken language and delete the new language

Page 74, line 16, reinstate the stricken language and delete "(i)"

Page 74, line 27, delete "(j)" and insert "(i)"

Page 74, delete lines 32 to 36

Page 75, delete lines 1 to 32

Page 101, after line 30, insert:

"Sec. 95. [APPLICABILITY.]

Section 65 applies to any actions subject to section 116D.04, subdivision 10, pending in state district court as of the effective date of section 65 and any appeal of a decision subject to section 116D.04, subdivision 10, after the effective date of section 65."

Page 102, line 6, after the period, insert "Sections 64 and 65 are effective the day following final enactment."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Kahn et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 23 yeas and 101 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Entenza	Hausman	Milbert	Rukavina	Tunheim
Bakk	Gleason	Kahn	Mullery	Skoe	Wagenius
Chaudhary	Gray	Kalis	Orfield	Skoglund	Wenzel
Clark, K.	Greenfield	Leighton	Pugh	Tomassoni	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dorn	Howes	Mahoney	Paulsen	Storm
Abrams	Erhardt	Huntley	Mares	Pawlenty	Swenson
Anderson, B.	Erickson	Jennings	Mariani	Pelowski	Sykora
Bishop	Finseth	Johnson	Marko	Peterson	Tingelstad
Boudreau	Folliard	Juhnke	McCollum	Rest	Trimble
Bradley	Fuller	Kielkucki	McElroy	Reuter	Tuma
Broecker	Gerlach	Knoblach	McGuire	Rhodes	Van Dellen
Buesgens	Goodno	Koskinen	Molnau	Rifenberg	Vandeveer
Carlson	Greiling	Krinkie	Mulder	Rostberg	Westerberg
Cassell	Gunther	Kubly	Ness	Schumacher	Westfall
Clark, J.	Haake	Kuisle	Nornes	Seagren	Westrom
Daggett	Haas	Larsen, P.	Olson	Seifert, J.	Wilkin
Davids	Hackbarth	Larson, D.	Opatz	Seifert, M.	Winter
Dawkins	Harder	Lenczewski	Osskopp	Smith	Wolf
Dehler	Hilty	Leppik	Osthoff	Solberg	Workman
Dempsey	Holberg	Lieder	Otremba	Stanek	Spk. Sviggum
Dorman	Holsten	Lindner	Ozment	Stang	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Wagenius moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 5, after line 32, insert:

"\$200,000 the first year to study and recommend strategies for reducing emissions from mobile sources of cancer-causing air toxics and smog-forming pollutants, as determined by health-risk levels for cancer set by the department of health. The pollution control agency shall report to the house and senate committees with jurisdiction over environmental policy issues on the results of its study and any recommendations by February 1, 2000."

Page 18, delete lines 7 to 15

Correct the subdivision and section totals and the summaries by fund accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Wagenius amendment and the roll was called. There were 59 yeas and 70 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Gleason	Johnson	Luther	Orfield	Skoe
Bakk	Gray	Juhnke	Mahoney	Osthoff	Skoglund
Carlson	Greenfield	Kalis	Mariani	Otremba	Solberg
Carruthers	Greiling	Kelliher	Marko	Paymar	Tomassoni
Chaudhary	Hasskamp	Koskinen	McCollum	Pelowski	Trimble
Clark, K.	Hausman	Kubly	McGuire	Peterson	Tunheim
Dawkins	Hilty	Larson, D.	Milbert	Pugh	Wagenius
Dorn	Huntley	Leighton	Mullery	Rest	Wenzel
Entenza	Jaros	Lenczewski	Murphy	Rukavina	Winter
Folliard	Jennings	Lieder	Opatz	Schumacher	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dehler	Hackbarth	Mares	Rhodes	Tingelstad
Abrams	Dempsey	Harder	McElroy	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Holberg	Molnau	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Bishop	Erhardt	Holsten	Mulder	Seagren	Westerberg
Boudreau	Erickson	Howes	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Bradley	Finseth	Kielkucki	Nornes	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Broecker	Fuller	Knoblach	Olson	Smith	Wilkin
Buesgens	Gerlach	Krinkie	Osskopp	Stanek	Wolf
Cassell	Goodno	Kuisle	Ozment	Stang	Workman
Clark, J.	Gunther	Larsen, P.	Paulsen	Storm	Spk. Sviggum
Daggett	Haake	Leppik	Pawlenty	Swenson	
Davids	Haas	Lindner	Reuter	Sykora	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Kubly moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 71, after line 6, insert:

"Sec. 63. [116.07145] [FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FOR FEEDLOTS.]

- (a) All owners of existing and new animal feedlots having a capacity of 750 animal units or more shall furnish the agency with evidence of financial ability to pay for any environmental damages that may result from the operation of the facility and to comply with the requirements of closure upon abandonment of manure storage structures as established pursuant to rules adopted by the agency.
- (b) To establish evidence of financial ability, the agency shall require surety, including an irrevocable commercial letter of credit, cash, a cashier's check, a certificate of deposit, commercial or private insurance, designated savings account, or other negotiable instrument of a blanket surety bond. The instrument shall constitute an unconditional promise to pay and be in a form negotiable by the agency. The amount of such letter of credit, cash, certificate, bond, receipt, or other negotiable instrument shall be:
 - (1) \$25,000 for an animal feedlot having a capacity of 750 animal units or more, but less than 1,000 animal units;

- (2) \$50,000 for an animal feedlot having a capacity of 1,000 animal units or more, but less than 2,000 animal units; and
 - (3) \$100,000 for an animal feedlot having a capacity of 2,000 animal units or more.
- (c) No permit for the construction or operation of an animal feedlot may be issued in the state unless the owner of the feedlot posts adequate surety as determined by this section to ensure the resources necessary to close, clean up, and restore the environment upon cessation of the operation or to pay for environmental damage arising from the operation of the facility.
- (d) Upon change in the ownership of an animal feedlot, the new owner shall establish and maintain evidence of financial responsibility at the same level of surety as the previous owner."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Kubly amendment and the roll was called. There were 59 yeas and 72 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Gleason	Kahn	Mahoney	Osthoff	Skoglund
Bakk	Gray	Kalis	Mariani	Otremba	Solberg
Carlson	Greenfield	Kelliher	Marko	Paymar	Tomassoni
Carruthers	Greiling	Koskinen	McCollum	Pelowski	Trimble
Chaudhary	Hasskamp	Kubly	McGuire	Peterson	Tuma
Clark, K.	Hausman	Larson, D.	Milbert	Pugh	Tunheim
Dawkins	Hilty	Leighton	Mullery	Rest	Wagenius
Dorn	Huntley	Lenczewski	Murphy	Rukavina	Wenzel
Entenza	Jaros	Lieder	Opatz	Schumacher	Winter
Folliard	Johnson	Luther	Orfield	Skoe	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dehler	Hackbarth	Leppik	Pawlenty	Swenson
Abrams	Dempsey	Harder	Lindner	Reuter	Sykora
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Holberg	Mares	Rhodes	Tingelstad
Bishop	Erhardt	Holsten	McElroy	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Boudreau	Erickson	Howes	Molnau	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Bradley	Finseth	Jennings	Mulder	Seagren	Westerberg
Broecker	Fuller	Juhnke	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Buesgens	Gerlach	Kielkucki	Nornes	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Cassell	Goodno	Knoblach	Olson	Smith	Wilkin
Clark, J.	Gunther	Krinkie	Osskopp	Stanek	Wolf
Daggett	Haake	Kuisle	Ozment	Stang	Workman
Davids	Haas	Larsen, P.	Paulsen	Storm	Spk. Sviggum

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Lenczewski; Larson, D., and Gleason moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 98, after line 50, insert:

"Sec. 86. [PCA, MAC; MITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT OF AIRPORT NOISE.]

The Minnesota pollution control agency, in consultation with the metropolitan airports commission, and the cities of Bloomington, Richfield, Minneapolis, Mendota Heights, Inver Grove Heights, St. Paul, Burnsville, and Eagan, shall determine the areas within each of the cities that are adversely impacted by airport noise to the 60 LDN. The metropolitan airports commission must pay from whatever sources of funds available to the commission for mitigation of all adverse environmental effects of airport noise to the 60 LDN levels, as recommended in the report required in Minnesota Statutes, section 473.661, subdivision 4, paragraph (f), and for mitigation of low-frequency noise impacts. The metropolitan airports commission must not levy property taxes to fund noise mitigation measures. This section applies in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

POINT OF ORDER

Tuma raised a point of order pursuant to rule 3.21 that the Lenczewski et al amendment was not in order. The Speaker ruled the point of order not well taken and the Lenczewski et al amendment in order.

McElroy requested a division of the Lenczewski et al amendment to S. F. No. 2226, as amended.

The first portion of the Lenczewski et al amendment to S. F. No. 2226, as amended, reads as follows:

Page 98, after line 50, insert:

"Sec. 86. [PCA, MAC; MITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT OF AIRPORT NOISE.]

The Minnesota pollution control agency, in consultation with the metropolitan airports commission, and the cities of Bloomington, Richfield, Minneapolis, Mendota Heights, Inver Grove Heights, St. Paul, Burnsville, and Eagan, shall determine the areas within each of the cities that are adversely impacted by airport noise to the 60 LDN."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the first portion of the Lenczewski et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 128 yeas and 3 nays as follows:

Abeler	Bakk	Bradley	Carruthers	Clark, J.	Davids
Abrams	Bishop	Broecker	Cassell	Clark, K.	Dawkins
Anderson, I.	Boudreau	Carlson	Chaudhary	Daggett	Dehler

Harder Kuisle Mullery Rhodes Trimble Dempsey Dorman Hasskamp Larsen, P. Murphy Rifenberg Tuma Dorn Hausman Larson, D. Ness Rostberg Tunheim Van Dellen Rukavina Entenza Hilty Leighton Nornes Holberg Erhardt Lenczewski Olson Schumacher Vandeveer Erickson Holsten Leppik Opatz Seagren Wagenius Wenzel Orfield Seifert, J. Finseth Howes Lieder Folliard Huntley Lindner Osskopp Seifert, M. Westerberg Fuller Luther Osthoff Skoe Westfall Jaros Skoglund Westrom Gerlach Jennings Mahoney Otremba Smith Wilkin Gleason Johnson Mares Ozment Juhnke Mariani Winter Goodno Paulsen Solberg Gray Kahn Marko Pawlenty Stanek Wolf Greenfield McCollum Workman Kalis Paymar Stang Kelliher Greiling McElroy Pelowski Storm Spk. Sviggum Kielkucki Gunther McGuire Peterson Swenson Knoblach Haake Milbert Pugh Sykora Haas Koskinen Molnau Rest Tingelstad Hackbarth Kubly Mulder Reuter Tomassoni

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson, B. Buesgens Krinkie

The motion prevailed and the first portion of the Lenczewski et al amendment was adopted.

Stanek was excused for the remainder of today's session.

The Speaker called Abrams to the Chair.

The second portion of the Lenczewski et al amendment to S. F. No. 2226, as amended, reads as follows:

Page 98, after line 50, insert:

"Sec. 86. [PCA, MAC; MITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT OF AIRPORT NOISE.]

The metropolitan airports commission must pay from whatever sources of funds available to the commission for mitigation of all adverse environmental effects of airport noise to the 60 LDN levels, as recommended in the report required in Minnesota Statutes, section 473.661, subdivision 4, paragraph (f), and for mitigation of low-frequency noise impacts. The metropolitan airports commission must not levy property taxes to fund noise mitigation measures. This section applies in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the second portion of the Lenczewski et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 64 yeas and 67 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Gleason	Johnson	Lieder	Orfield	Skoe
Bakk	Gray	Juhnke	Luther	Osthoff	Skoglund
Biernat	Greenfield	Kahn	Mahoney	Otremba	Solberg
Carlson	Greiling	Kalis	Mariani	Pawlenty	Tomassoni
Carruthers	Haake	Kelliher	Marko	Paymar	Trimble
Chaudhary	Hasskamp	Koskinen	McCollum	Pelowski	Tunheim
Clark, K.	Hausman	Kubly	McGuire	Peterson	Wagenius
Dawkins	Hilty	Larsen, P.	Milbert	Pugh	Wilkin
Dorn	Huntley	Larson, D.	Mullery	Rest	Winter
Entenza	Jaros	Leighton	Murphy	Rukavina	
Folliard	Jennings	Lenczewski	Opatz	Schumacher	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dehler	Harder	Molnau	Seagren	Wenzel
Abrams	Dempsey	Holberg	Mulder	Seifert, J.	Westerberg
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Holsten	Ness	Seifert, M.	Westfall
Bishop	Erhardt	Howes	Nornes	Smith	Westrom
Boudreau	Erickson	Kielkucki	Olson	Stang	Wolf
Bradley	Finseth	Knoblach	Osskopp	Storm	Workman
Broecker	Fuller	Krinkie	Ozment	Swenson	Spk. Sviggum
Buesgens	Gerlach	Kuisle	Paulsen	Sykora	
Cassell	Goodno	Leppik	Reuter	Tingelstad	
Clark, J.	Gunther	Lindner	Rhodes	Tuma	
Daggett	Haas	Mares	Rifenberg	Van Dellen	
Davids	Hackbarth	McElroy	Rostberg	Vandeveer	

The motion did not prevail and the second portion of the Lenczewski et al amendment was not adopted.

Holsten moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 13, delete lines 24 to 33

Adjust amounts accordingly

Renumber or reletter in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Peterson moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 165, after line 26, insert:

"Sec. 62. [INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.]

- (a) The attorney general, in consultation with the commissioners of agriculture and commerce, shall design and implement a thorough investigation of the impacts of the pending merger of Cargill, Inc. and the grain unit of Continental Grain Company to determine the potential for market domination, price fixing, and market distortions.
- (b) The attorney general shall report the findings of the investigation to the agricultural policy committees of the senate and the house of representatives not later than February 15, 2000.
 - (c) This section is effective the day following final enactment."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

POINT OF ORDER

Krinkie raised a point of order pursuant to rule 3.21 that the Peterson amendment was not in order. Speaker pro tempore Abrams ruled the point of order not well taken and the Peterson amendment in order.

Ness moved to amend the Peterson amendment to S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 1, line 7, delete "the pending"

Page 1, delete line 8

Page 1, line 9, delete "Company" and insert "mergers"

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the amendment to the amendment and the roll was called. There were 74 yeas and 57 nays as follows:

Abeler	Dehler	Harder	Lindner	Reuter	Tuma
Abrams	Dempsey	Holberg	Luther	Rhodes	Van Dellen
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Holsten	Mares	Rifenberg	Westerberg
Bishop	Erhardt	Howes	McElroy	Rostberg	Westfall
Boudreau	Erickson	Jaros	Molnau	Seagren	Westrom
Bradley	Finseth	Jennings	Mulder	Seifert, J.	Wilkin
Broecker	Fuller	Kielkucki	Ness	Seifert, M.	Wolf
Buesgens	Gerlach	Knoblach	Nornes	Smith	Workman
Cassell	Goodno	Krinkie	Olson	Stang	Spk. Sviggum
Clark, J.	Gunther	Kuisle	Osskopp	Storm	-
Daggett	Haake	Larsen, P.	Ozment	Swenson	
Davids	Haas	Larson, D.	Paulsen	Sykora	
Dawkins	Hackbarth	Leppik	Pawlenty	Tingelstad	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson, I.	Gleason	Kahn	Marko	Paymar	Tomassoni
Bakk	Gray	Kalis	McCollum	Pelowski	Trimble
Biernat	Greenfield	Kelliher	McGuire	Peterson	Tunheim
Carlson	Greiling	Koskinen	Milbert	Pugh	Vandeveer
Carruthers	Hasskamp	Kubly	Mullery	Rest	Wagenius
Chaudhary	Hausman	Leighton	Murphy	Rukavina	Wenzel
Clark, K.	Hilty	Lenczewski	Opatz	Schumacher	Winter
Dorn	Huntley	Lieder	Orfield	Skoe	
Entenza	Johnson	Mahoney	Osthoff	Skoglund	
Folliard	Juhnke	Mariani	Otremba	Solberg	

The motion prevailed and the amendment to the amendment was adopted.

The question recurred on the Peterson amendment, as amended, and the roll was called. There were 114 yeas and 17 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dorn	Huntley	Lindner	Osthoff	Solberg
Anderson, I.	Entenza	Jaros	Mahoney	Otremba	Stang
Bakk	Erhardt	Jennings	Mares	Ozment	Storm
Biernat	Erickson	Johnson	Mariani	Pawlenty	Swenson
Bishop	Finseth	Juhnke	Marko	Paymar	Tingelstad
Boudreau	Folliard	Kahn	McCollum	Pelowski	Tomassoni
Bradley	Fuller	Kalis	McElroy	Peterson	Trimble
Broecker	Gleason	Kelliher	McGuire	Pugh	Tuma
Carlson	Gray	Kielkucki	Milbert	Rest	Tunheim
Carruthers	Greenfield	Knoblach	Molnau	Reuter	Van Dellen
Cassell	Greiling	Koskinen	Mulder	Rhodes	Vandeveer
Chaudhary	Gunther	Kubly	Mullery	Rifenberg	Wagenius
Clark, J.	Haas	Kuisle	Murphy	Rostberg	Wenzel
Clark, K.	Hackbarth	Larsen, P.	Ness	Rukavina	Westerberg
Daggett	Harder	Larson, D.	Nornes	Schumacher	Westfall
Davids	Hasskamp	Leighton	Olson	Seifert, J.	Westrom
Dawkins	Hausman	Lenczewski	Opatz	Seifert, M.	Winter
Dempsey	Hilty	Leppik	Orfield	Skoe	Wolf
Dorman	Howes	Lieder	Osskopp	Skoglund	Spk. Sviggum

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abrams	Dehler	Haake	Krinkie	Seagren	Wilkin
Anderson, B.	Gerlach	Holberg	Luther	Smith	Workman
Buesgens	Goodno	Holsten	Paulsen	Sykora	

The motion prevailed and the amendment, as amended, was adopted.

McCollum was excused for the remainder of today's session.

Erickson and Wenzel moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 5, line 18, before "Garrison" insert "city of Garrison for the"

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Wenzel moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 165, after line 1, insert:

"Sec. 60. [PRICE FIXING, ANTITRUST, AND ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITIES HURT FARMERS AND CONSUMERS.]

The office of the attorney general, in close cooperation with the commissioner of agriculture, must conduct a thorough and vigorous investigation of antitrust and anticompetitive activities by purchasers and processors of agricultural crops and livestock. The attorney general shall initiate and pursue appropriate action against any parties found to be engaged in price fixing, unlawful anticompetitive activities, and other activities that increase costs for consumers and/or decrease prices paid to farmers."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Wenzel amendment and the roll was called. There were 98 yeas and 30 nays as follows:

Anderson, I.	Finseth	Juhnke	Molnau	Pugh	Tomassoni
Bakk	Folliard	Kahn	Mulder	Rest	Trimble
Biernat	Fuller	Kalis	Mullery	Reuter	Tuma
Boudreau	Gleason	Kelliher	Murphy	Rifenberg	Tunheim
Carlson	Gray	Kielkucki	Ness	Rostberg	Van Dellen
Carruthers	Greenfield	Knoblach	Nornes	Rukavina	Vandeveer
Cassell	Greiling	Kubly	Olson	Schumacher	Wagenius
Chaudhary	Harder	Larson, D.	Opatz	Seifert, J.	Wenzel
Clark, J.	Hasskamp	Lenczewski	Orfield	Seifert, M.	Westerberg
Clark, K.	Hausman	Lieder	Osskopp	Skoe	Westfall
Daggett	Hilty	Luther	Osthoff	Skoglund	Westrom
Davids	Holsten	Mahoney	Otremba	Smith	Winter
Dawkins	Howes	Mares	Ozment	Solberg	Wolf
Dempsey	Huntley	Mariani	Pawlenty	Stang	
Dorman	Jaros	Marko	Paymar	Storm	
Dorn	Jennings	McGuire	Pelowski	Swenson	
Entenza	Johnson	Milbert	Peterson	Tingelstad	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Broecker	Gerlach	Hackbarth	Leppik	Seagren
Abrams	Buesgens	Goodno	Holberg	Lindner	Sykora
Anderson, B.	Dehler	Gunther	Krinkie	McElroy	Wilkin
Bishop	Erhardt	Haake	Kuisle	Paulsen	Workman
Bradley	Erickson	Haas	Larsen, P.	Rhodes	Spk. Sviggum

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Skoglund; Johnson; Munger; Tunheim; Folliard; Lenczewski; Gray; Skoe; Koskinen; Tomassoni; Luther; Mahoney; McCollum; Entenza; Carruthers; Hasskamp; Kalis; Paymar; Rukavina; Carlson; Lieder; Bakk; Chaudhary; Mariani; Hilty; Gleason; Anderson, I.; Greenfield; Opatz; Pelowski; Mullery; Schumacher; Peterson; Rest; Orfield; Kelliher; Pugh; Marko; Solberg; Milbert; Huntley; Jaros; Otremba; Greiling; Kubly; Trimble; Wenzel; Larson, D.; Hausman; Dawkins; Biernat; McGuire; Juhnke; Wagenius; Jennings; Murphy and Winter moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 60, after line 36, insert:

"Sec. 37. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 97A.451, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. [RESIDENTS UNDER AGE 16 18; FISHING.] A resident under the age of 16 18 years may take fish without a license."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Skoglund et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 112 yeas and 17 nays as follows:

Abeler	Dorn	Hilty	Mahoney	Paulsen	Storm
Abrams	Entenza	Holberg	Mares	Pawlenty	Swenson
Anderson, I.	Erhardt	Holsten	Mariani	Paymar	Sykora
Bakk	Erickson	Howes	Marko	Pelowski	Tingelstad
Biernat	Finseth	Jaros	McElroy	Peterson	Tomassoni
Bishop	Folliard	Jennings	McGuire	Pugh	Trimble
Bradley	Fuller	Johnson	Milbert	Rest	Tuma
Broecker	Gerlach	Juhnke	Molnau	Rhodes	Tunheim
Buesgens	Gleason	Kalis	Mulder	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Carlson	Gray	Kelliher	Mullery	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Carruthers	Greenfield	Knoblach	Murphy	Rukavina	Wagenius
Cassell	Greiling	Koskinen	Ness	Schumacher	Wenzel
Chaudhary	Gunther	Krinkie	Nornes	Seagren	Westerberg
Clark, J.	Haake	Kubly	Olson	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Clark, K.	Haas	Larson, D.	Opatz	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Daggett	Hackbarth	Leighton	Orfield	Skoe	Wilkin
Dawkins	Harder	Lenczewski	Osskopp	Skoglund	Winter
Dempsey	Hasskamp	Lieder	Otremba	Smith	
Dorman	Hausman	Luther	Ozment	Solberg	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson, B. Dehler Kielkucki Leppik Reuter Workman Boudreau Goodno Kuisle Lindner Stang Spk. Sviggum Wolf Davids Huntley Larsen, P. Osthoff

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

The Speaker resumed the Chair.

Winter moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 126, after line 11, insert:

"Sec. 20. [31B.31] [PRICE DISCRIMINATION PROHIBITED.]

A packer purchasing or soliciting livestock for slaughter in this state may not discriminate in prices paid or offered to be paid to sellers of that livestock. This section does not apply to the sale and purchase of livestock if the following requirements are met:

- (1) the price differential is based on (a) the quality of the livestock or on carcass merit, or (b) actual and quantifiable costs related to transporting and acquiring the livestock by the packer, or an agreement for the delivery of livestock at a specified date or time; and
- (2) after making a differential payment to a seller, the packer reports information as required under section 31B.32 relating to the differential pricing, including the portion of the differential attributable to premiums or deductions for (a) quality or carcass merit, or (b) transportation and acquisition costs."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Winter amendment and the roll was called. There were 58 yeas and 72 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I. Folliard Johnson Luther Osthoff Skoglund Bakk Gleason Juhnke Mahoney Otremba Solberg **Biernat** Kahn Mariani Paymar Tomassoni Gray Carlson Greenfield Pelowski Trimble Kalis Marko Carruthers Greiling Kelliher McGuire Peterson Tunheim Chaudhary Hasskamp Koskinen Milbert Pugh Wagenius Clark, K. Hausman Kubly Mullery Rest Wenzel **Dawkins** Rukavina Winter Hiltv Larson, D. Murphy Dorn Huntley Lenczewski Opatz Schumacher Lieder Entenza Jaros Orfield Skoe

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dehler	Hackbarth	Leppik	Pawlenty	Sykora
Abrams	Dempsey	Harder	Lindner	Reuter	Tingelstad
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Holberg	Mares	Rhodes	Tuma
Bishop	Erhardt	Holsten	McElroy	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Boudreau	Erickson	Howes	Molnau	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Bradley	Finseth	Jennings	Mulder	Seagren	Westerberg
Broecker	Fuller	Kielkucki	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Buesgens	Gerlach	Knoblach	Nornes	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Cassell	Goodno	Krinkie	Olson	Smith	Wilkin
Clark, J.	Gunther	Kuisle	Osskopp	Stang	Wolf
Daggett	Haake	Larsen, P.	Ozment	Storm	Workman
Davids	Haas	Leighton	Paulsen	Swenson	Spk. Sviggum

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Winter, Gleason, Chaudhary, Peterson, Juhnke, Tunheim, Kubly, Otremba, Kalis, Schumacher, Skoe and Wenzel moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 165, after line 26, insert:

"Sec. 62. [17.704] [LEGISLATIVE INTENT.]

<u>Sections 17.705 to 17.710 are enacted in the exercise of the power of the state to protect and further the public</u> health and welfare. It is declared that Minnesota agriculture is affected with a public interest in that:

- (a) The production, processing, and distribution of agricultural products constitute a paramount industry of this state that provides substantial required revenues for the state and its political subdivisions, provides employment and a means of livelihood for a substantial part of the population of Minnesota, and furnishes essential foods that are vital to the public health and welfare.
- (b) <u>During times when the state's producers have received parity prices for their commodities, the economy of the entire state has prospered.</u> Parity prices promote balanced economic growth because the income earned from agricultural production has a multiplier effect in the state economy by creating more jobs and tax revenue as raw commodities are marketed, processed, and distributed.
- (c) The stabilization, maintenance, and expansion of Minnesota agriculture and domestic and foreign markets for its products are necessary to assure the consuming public of an adequate supply of food that is indispensable for a proper human diet. A need exists to protect the revenue source for the state and its political subdivisions, to provide and maintain an adequate standard of living for a large segment of Minnesota's population, to maintain proper income levels for those engaged in agriculture, and to maintain existing employment.
- (d) The inability of individual producers to secure a reasonable return for Minnesota grown agricultural products prevents producers from maintaining a reasonable standard of living. That inability also increases economic insecurity due to unemployment, and is a matter of general interest and concern requiring appropriate action by the state to reduce unemployment, financial depression, and economic instability. The depressed income of agricultural producers has resulted in a marked decrease in the number of producers and is a deterrent to young persons engaging in agriculture.

An emergency now exists resulting from the depressed condition of agriculture in this state and particularly the loss of income to those engaged in the production of agricultural products. As a result, the program established in sections 17.705 to 17.710 should be diligently pursued to provide producers the chance to earn 100 percent of parity in the marketplace.

- Sec. 63. [17.705] [DEFINITIONS.]
- <u>Subdivision 1.</u> [SCOPE.] <u>For the purposes of sections 17.704 to 17.710, the terms defined in this section have the meanings given them.</u>
- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [AGRICULTURAL COMMODITY.] "<u>Agricultural commodity</u>" means milk, corn, soybeans, wheat, oats, rye, barley, flaxseed, sunflowers, sorghum, hogs, cattle, and any other commodity crop or livestock determined by the commissioner.
 - <u>Subd. 3.</u> [COMMISSIONER.] "Commissioner" means the commissioner of agriculture.
- <u>Subd. 4.</u> [COUNTY LOAN RATE.] "<u>County loan rate</u>" means the amount of money the <u>United States Department</u> of <u>Agriculture will loan per bushel or other standard production unit on an agricultural commodity in each Minnesota county.</u>
- <u>Subd. 5.</u> [PERSON.] "Person" means an individual, corporation, partnership, trust, association, cooperative association, or other business unit or organization.
- <u>Subd. 6.</u> [PROCESSOR.] "<u>Processor</u>" means a person who buys or takes title to or possession of an agricultural commodity for the purpose of processing or manufacturing it, or selling, reselling, or redelivering it in its original or processed form, including a person or exchange that conducts such a business and a person or exchange that buys the commodity from the producer for the purpose of reselling it to a person or exchange that conducts such a business.
- <u>Subd. 7.</u> [PRODUCER.] "<u>Producer</u>" <u>means any person who owns or operates an agricultural producing or growing facility for an agricultural commodity and shares in the profits and risk of loss from the operation, and who grows, raises, or produces the agricultural commodity in Minnesota during the current or preceding market year.</u>
- <u>Subd. 8.</u> [STATEWIDE AVERAGE COUNTY LOAN RATE.] "Statewide average county loan rate" means the average of all county loan rates.
- <u>Subd. 9.</u> [WORLD CARRYOVER STOCKS.] "World carryover stocks" means the annual total quantity of world stocks of an agricultural commodity in excess of consumption or use.
- <u>Subd. 10.</u> [WORLD USE.] <u>"World use" means annual total world consumption or use of an agricultural commodity.</u>
 - Sec. 64. [17.706] [MINIMUM PRICE.]
- Subdivision 1. [AUTHORITY OF COMMISSIONER.] The commissioner shall establish the minimum price of any agricultural commodity according to the provisions of this section. The minimum price established by the commissioner shall apply to all grades and types of the commodity produced, bought, or sold in the state subject to normal price differentials reflecting grades and quality.
- Subd. 2. [MINIMUM PRICE TRIGGER.] The minimum price of an agricultural commodity, except sunflowers, shall be effective when a minimum price equal to the minimum price established under this section has been established for at least 60 percent of the previous year's United States production of that commodity. The minimum price for sunflowers shall be effective when a minimum price equal to the minimum price established under this

section has been established for at least 60 percent of the previous year's United States production of sunflowers and when the minimum price of soybeans is effective. Notice that a minimum price established by the commissioner has become effective shall be published in the State Register.

- Subd. 3. [MINIMUM PRICE LIMITS.] The minimum price established by the commissioner for an agricultural commodity, other than sunflowers, shall not be less than 60 percent of parity nor greater than 100 percent of parity as defined by U.S.C., Title 7, section 1301, as amended through January 1, 1999. The minimum price established for sunflowers shall not be less than 80 percent of parity nor greater than 100 percent of parity, as determined by the commissioner.
- <u>Subd. 4.</u> [COUNTY MINIMUM PRICES.] <u>The minimum price established by the commissioner for an agricultural commodity for a county shall not be less than the state minimum price for that commodity, multiplied by the ratio of the county loan rate of the county in question to the statewide average county loan rate. For counties in which no county loan rates are available, the commissioner shall determine transportation adjustments based on normal price differentials.</u>
- Subd. 5. [PROCEDURE FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF MINIMUM PRICE.] As soon as practicable after each February 1, the commissioner shall establish the minimum price for any commodity based on the parity price in effect on February 1 of that year. The commissioner shall adopt rules establishing the minimum price. The rule shall be effective for one year from the time of its adoption unless a shorter time is provided by the rule. The commissioner shall keep data used in establishing a minimum price. Any person aggrieved by a minimum price established under this subdivision may petition for judicial review within 30 days of the effective date of the rule. The data kept by the commissioner constitutes the record for review by the court.

Sec. 65. [17.707] [SUPPLY MANAGEMENT AND ORDERLY MARKETING.]

Subdivision 1. [TRIGGER.] If world carryover stocks of an agricultural commodity as a percent of total world use for that commodity exceed by 25 percent the previous 20-year average of world carryover stocks as a percentage of total world use for the commodity, the commissioner shall implement supply management or orderly marketing procedures, as provided in subdivision 3, within 24 months.

- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [ALTERNATE TRIGGER.] <u>Notwithstanding subdivision 1, the commissioner shall implement supply management or orderly marketing procedures, as provided in subdivision 3, if the commissioner determines that the volume of production of an agricultural commodity threatens, or is likely to threaten, the productivity of the state's agricultural land and is disrupting, or is likely to disrupt, normal marketing patterns.</u>
- Subd. 3. [PROCEDURES.] If the situation in subdivision 1 or 2 has occurred, the commissioner, after consultation with the state's agricultural producers and their representatives, shall adopt supply management or orderly marketing procedures that include establishment of the production history of each farm producing an agricultural commodity. Any adjustment of production or market shares shall be on a pro rata basis among producers of the commodity involved. The magnitude of the pro rata adjustment shall be sufficient to protect the productivity of the state's agricultural land and prevent the disruption of normal marketing patterns. The commissioner shall consider the impact that procedures adopted under this section may have on producers of other crops or livestock.

The production subject to adjustment under this subdivision is the total production of the commodity for all purposes, including amounts of the commodity used by the producer in the producer's operations. In adopting supply management or orderly marketing procedures for a commodity, the commissioner shall consider the impact of federal programs and other factors affecting the production and supply of the commodity. In determining the magnitude of any pro rata production adjustment or market share, the commissioner shall take into account any adjustment in production made by producers under any federal program.

<u>Subd. 4.</u> [ADJUSTMENT.] <u>The commissioner may adjust any pro rata adjustment of production under subdivision 3 to assure equitable allocation of the production adjustment in any case in which the production history of a producer does not fairly represent its production capacity.</u>

- Subd. 5. [VETO BY LEGISLATURE OR PRODUCERS.] (a) The supply management or orderly marketing procedures authorized in subdivision 3 shall become effective 30 days after being adopted by the commissioner unless, within the 30-day period (1) the procedures are disapproved or different procedures are adopted by an act of the legislature; or (2) ten percent of the state's producers of the commodity involved petition the commissioner for a referendum on the procedures.
- (b) Not later than 30 days after receipt and validation of a petition under clause (2), the commissioner shall authorize a referendum to be conducted by secret ballot. Any state producer of the commodity involved is eligible to vote in the referendum. If a majority of the producers voting in the referendum vote against the procedures, they shall be withdrawn by the commissioner and the minimum price for the agricultural commodity involved shall not be applicable for the year during which the procedures would have been in force.
- Subd. 6. [RELATION TO PLANTING PERIODS.] The supply management or orderly marketing procedures authorized in subdivision 3 become effective at least 180 days before the beginning of the planting period for the commodity involved, or at least 180 days before the beginning of the calendar year, whichever is appropriate, if the commissioner determines that the implementation of the procedures is likely to have no comparative disadvantage for state producers of the commodity involved.
 - Sec. 66. [17.708] [FEES TO DEFRAY ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.]
- Subdivision 1. [CHECK-OFF FEES.] To provide funds to defray the expenses incurred by the commissioner in administering the minimum price and supply management program, the commissioner may establish a check-off fee payable by a person who purchases each agricultural commodity for which a minimum price is in effect for export or for any form of further processing.
- <u>Subd. 2.</u> [MINIMUM PRICE FUND; APPROPRIATION.] <u>A minimum price fund is established in the state treasury. The commissioner shall pay fees collected under the check-off fee into the minimum price fund. Interest earned by the fund and any money appropriated to the commissioner for administration of the minimum price and supply management program shall be credited to the fund. Money in the fund is annually appropriated to the commissioner to administer the program.</u>
 - Sec. 67. [17.709] [EXEMPT TRANSACTIONS.]

Sections 17.705 to 17.710 do not apply to a producer who sells a commodity directly to a consumer or processor outside of the state, or to a person who sells a commodity for use as seeds.

Sec. 68. [17.710] [PENALTIES; ENFORCEMENT.]

- Subdivision 1. [PENALTIES.] A person may not buy from another an agricultural commodity for less than the minimum price which is effective at the time of purchase. The penalty for a violation is: (1) a civil penalty equal to twice the difference between the lower price paid and the minimum price for the quantity of the commodity involved; and (2) revocation for five years of any grain buyer's license issued under section 223.17 held by the offender. The attorney general may bring an action in district court to impose a penalty provided under this section.
- Subd. 2. [INVESTIGATION; SETTLEMENT.] The commissioner shall investigate any reported violation of this section. If the commissioner determines that a violation has occurred, the commissioner may negotiate a settlement with the offending party, including payment of a penalty in an amount at least the difference between the lower price and the established minimum price for the commodity involved. If a settlement cannot be reached within 60 days, the commissioner shall request the attorney general to take other appropriate legal action.
- <u>Subd. 3.</u> [RESTRAINING ORDER.] <u>The commissioner may seek a temporary restraining order to restrain a violation of subdivision 1 in the district court of Ramsey county. The court shall grant a temporary restraining order for ten working days upon a showing by the commissioner that there is reason to believe, based on specific evidence, that an ongoing violation of subdivision 1 is occurring or that a violation may occur."</u>

Page 166, line 2, after the period, insert "The provisions of sections 62 to 68 relating to the minimum price and supply management program are effective for each agricultural commodity during periods when states representing 60 percent or more of the domestic production of that agricultural commodity have similar laws in effect."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Winter et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 50 yeas and 75 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Gleason	Kelliher	Mariani	Pelowski	Tomassoni
Bakk	Gray	Koskinen	Marko	Peterson	Trimble
Biernat	Greenfield	Kubly	McGuire	Pugh	Tunheim
Carlson	Hasskamp	Larson, D.	Milbert	Rest	Wenzel
Carruthers	Hilty	Leighton	Mullery	Rukavina	Winter
Chaudhary	Johnson	Lenczewski	Murphy	Schumacher	
Clark, K.	Juhnke	Lieder	Orfield	Skoe	
Dawkins	Kahn	Luther	Otremba	Skoglund	
Folliard	Kalis	Mahoney	Paymar	Solberg	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dempsey	Harder	Lindner	Rhodes	Van Dellen
Abrams	Dorman	Holberg	Mares	Rifenberg	Vandeveer
Anderson, B.	Dorn	Holsten	McElroy	Rostberg	Wagenius
Bishop	Erhardt	Howes	Molnau	Seagren	Westerberg
Boudreau	Erickson	Huntley	Mulder	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Bradley	Finseth	Jaros	Ness	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Broecker	Fuller	Jennings	Nornes	Smith	Wilkin
Buesgens	Gerlach	Kielkucki	Olson	Stang	Wolf
Cassell	Goodno	Knoblach	Osskopp	Storm	Workman
Clark, J.	Gunther	Krinkie	Ozment	Swenson	Spk. Sviggum
Daggett	Haake	Kuisle	Paulsen	Sykora	
Davids	Haas	Larsen, P.	Pawlenty	Tingelstad	
Dehler	Hackbarth	Leppik	Reuter	Tuma	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Ozment; Lenczewski; Larson, D.; McElroy; Wilkin; Gleason and Seagren moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 98, after line 50, insert:

"Sec. 86. [PCA, MAC; MITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT OF AIRPORT NOISE.]

The metropolitan airports commission must determine what the costs are and what sources of funds are available to the commission to provide mitigation of all adverse environmental effects of airport noise to the 60 LDN levels, as recommended in the report required in Minnesota Statutes, section 473.661, subdivision 4, paragraph (f), and to

provide mitigation of low-frequency noise impacts. The metropolitan airports commission cannot rely on property taxes to fund noise mitigation measures and must report to the chairs of the house and senate environment and natural resources committees by February 1, 2000."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

Amend the title accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Jennings was excused for the remainder of today's session.

Winter moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 107, line 41, delete "\$900,000" and insert "\$500,000" and delete "\$462,000" and insert "\$62,000"

Page 108, after line 19, insert:

"\$400,000 the first year and \$400,000 the second year are to the commissioner for a grant to the Minnesota State Colleges and Universities System to fund the farm management program for development and implementation of a uniform, statewide curriculum of education and training to assist individual farmers improve their knowledge of agricultural commodity marketing strategies and tools. The curriculum must provide information on currently available marketing and farm financial planning techniques and tools. This is a one-time appropriation."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Winter amendment and the roll was called. There were 55 yeas and 73 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I. Bakk Biernat	Folliard Gleason Gray	Kahn Kalis Kelliher	Mahoney Mariani Marko	Paymar Pelowski Peterson	Trimble Tunheim Wagenius
Carlson	Greenfield	Koskinen	McGuire	Pugh	Wenzel
Carruthers	Greiling	Kubly	Milbert	Rest	Winter
Chaudhary	Hasskamp	Larson, D.	Mullery	Rukavina	
Clark, K.	Hausman	Leighton	Murphy	Schumacher	
Dawkins	Hilty	Lenczewski	Orfield	Skoglund	
Dorn	Johnson	Lieder	Osthoff	Solberg	
Entenza	Juhnke	Luther	Otremba	Tomassoni	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Bishop	Broecker	Clark, J.	Dehler	Erhardt
Abrams	Boudreau	Buesgens	Daggett	Dempsey	Erickson
Anderson, B.	Bradley	Cassell	Davids	Dorman	Finseth

Westrom Wilkin Wolf Workman Spk. Sviggum

Fuller	Howes	Mares	Pawlenty	Stang	
Gerlach	Huntley	McElroy	Reuter	Storm	
Goodno	Jaros	Molnau	Rhodes	Swenson	
Gunther	Kielkucki	Mulder	Rifenberg	Sykora	
Haake	Knoblach	Ness	Rostberg	Tingelstad	
Haas	Krinkie	Nornes	Seagren	Tuma	
Hackbarth	Kuisle	Olson	Seifert, J.	Van Dellen	
Harder	Larsen, P.	Osskopp	Seifert, M.	Vandeveer	
Holberg	Leppik	Ozment	Skoe	Westerberg	
Holsten	Lindner	Paulsen	Smith	Westfall	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

Otremba, Winter, Trimble, Kahn, Kubly, Kalis and Skoe moved to amend S. F. No. 2226, as amended, as follows:

Page 165, line 16, before "To" insert "(a)"

Page 165, after line 26, insert:

"(b) Before the Minnesota pollution control agency adopts new or amended rules related to animal feedlots, the agency must consider provisions that impose less stringent controls on feedlot operations during such times as the owner of the feedlot maintains his or her principal residence at the site of the feedlot."

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Otremba et al amendment and the roll was called. There were 64 yeas and 65 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Entenza	Johnson	Mahoney	Osthoff	Solberg
Anderson, I.	Folliard	Juhnke	Mariani	Otremba	Tomassoni
Bakk	Gleason	Kahn	Marko	Pelowski	Trimble
Biernat	Gray	Kalis	McGuire	Peterson	Tunheim
Carlson	Greenfield	Kelliher	Milbert	Pugh	Vandeveer
Carruthers	Greiling	Koskinen	Mullery	Rest	Wagenius
Cassell	Hasskamp	Kubly	Murphy	Rostberg	Wenzel
Chaudhary	Hausman	Larson, D.	Nornes	Rukavina	Westrom
Clark, K.	Hilty	Leighton	Opatz	Schumacher	Winter
Dawkins	Huntley	Lenczewski	Orfield	Skoe	
Dorn	Jaros	Luther	Osskopp	Skoglund	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abrams	Clark, J.	Erickson	Haas	Knoblach	Mares
Anderson, B.	Daggett	Finseth	Hackbarth	Krinkie	McElroy
Bishop	Davids	Fuller	Harder	Kuisle	Molnau
Boudreau	Dehler	Gerlach	Holberg	Larsen, P.	Mulder
Bradley	Dempsey	Goodno	Holsten	Leppik	Ness
Broecker	Dorman	Gunther	Howes	Lieder	Olson
Buesgens	Erhardt	Haake	Kielkucki	Lindner	Ozment

Paulsen	Rhodes	Seifert, M.	Swenson	Van Dellen	Wolf
Pawlenty	Rifenberg	Smith	Sykora	Westerberg	Workman
Paymar	Seagren	Stang	Tingelstad	Westfall	Spk. Sviggum
Reuter	Seifert, J.	Storm	Tuma	Wilkin	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

S. F. No. 2226, A bill for an act relating to state government; appropriating money for environmental, natural resource, and agricultural purposes; establishing and modifying certain programs; providing for regulation of certain activities and practices; providing for accounts, assessments, and fees; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 14.386; 16A.531, by adding a subdivision; 16B.171, as amended; 17.038; 17.102, subdivision 4; 17.109, subdivisions 1 and 3; 17.115, subdivision 3; 17.116, subdivision 3; 17.117, subdivision 3; 17.457, subdivision 10; 17.59, subdivision 5; 17.85; 17.982, subdivision 1; 17.983, subdivision 1; 17A.11; 17B.15, subdivision 1; 18B.05, subdivision 1; 18B.26, subdivision 5; 18C.131; 18E.02, subdivision 5; 18E.03, subdivision 1; 21.115; 21.116; 21.90, subdivision 3; 21.92; 25.39, subdivision 4; 27.07, subdivision 6; 28A.08, subdivision 3; 29.22, subdivision 5; 31.94; 31.95, subdivision 3a; 31B.06; 32.21, subdivision 4; 32.394, subdivision 9; 41B.044, subdivision 2; 84.027, subdivision 15; 84.0855, subdivision 2, and by adding a subdivision; 84.81, by adding a subdivision; 84.8205, by adding a subdivision; 84.83, subdivisions 3 and 4; 84.86, subdivision 1; 84.862, subdivisions 1 and 2; 84.872, subdivision 1; 84.91, subdivision 1; 84.98, subdivision 6; 84A.55, subdivision 5; 85.015, subdivision 4, and by adding a subdivision; 85.019, subdivision 2, and by adding subdivisions; 85.40, subdivision 5; 85.41, subdivisions 1, 4, and 5; 85.42; 85.44; 85.45, subdivision 1; 88.067; 89A.01, by adding a subdivision; 89A.02; 89A.03; 89A.04; 89A.05; 89A.06; 89A.07, subdivisions 3 and 5; 89A.10; 92.45; 92.46, subdivision 1; 97A.075, subdivision 1; 97B.020; 103G.271, subdivision 6; 115.55, subdivision 5a; 115A.908, subdivision 2; 115A.9651, subdivision 6; 115B.175, subdivision 2; 115B.39, subdivision 2; 115B.40, subdivisions 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8; 115B.405, subdivision 1; 115B.412, subdivision 3; 115B.42; 115B.43, subdivision 1; 115B.442, by adding a subdivision; 115B.445; 115B.48, subdivision 8; 116.072, subdivision 1; 116.073, subdivisions 1 and 2; 116O.09, subdivision 5; 169.121, subdivision 3; 169.1217, subdivisions 7a and 9; 169.123, subdivision 1; 171.07, subdivisions 12 and 13; 216C.41, subdivision 2; 223.17, subdivision 3; 231.16; 232.22, subdivision 3; 233.08; 236.02, subdivision 4; 290.431; 290.432; 446A.072, subdivision 4; 574.263; and 574.264, subdivision 1; Laws 1994, chapter 643, section 27, subdivision 2, as amended; Laws 1995, chapter 220, section 142, as amended; and Laws 1998, chapter 401, section 53; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapters 18; 28A; 31B; 41B; 84; 85; 97C; 103G; 115B; and 116; repealing Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 115A.981; 297H.13, subdivisions 3 and 6; and 473.845, subdivision 2.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 86 yeas and 43 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Daggett	Fuller	Howes	Leppik	Olson
Abrams	Davids	Goodno	Juhnke	Lieder	Osskopp
Bakk	Dawkins	Gunther	Kalis	Mares	Osthoff
Bishop	Dehler	Haake	Kelliher	Mariani	Otremba
Boudreau	Dempsey	Haas	Kielkucki	McElroy	Ozment
Bradley	Dorman	Hackbarth	Knoblach	Molnau	Pawlenty
Broecker	Dorn	Harder	Kubly	Mulder	Pelowski
Cassell	Erhardt	Hasskamp	Kuisle	Murphy	Peterson
Chaudhary	Erickson	Hilty	Larsen, P.	Ness	Rhodes
Clark, J.	Finseth	Holsten	Leighton	Nornes	Rifenberg

Spk. Sviggum

Rostberg	Seifert, M.	Storm	Tuma	Westfall
Rukavina	Skoe	Swenson	Tunheim	Westrom
Schumacher	Smith	Sykora	Vandeveer	Winter
Seagren	Solberg	Tingelstad	Wenzel	Wolf
Seifert, J.	Stang	Tomassoni	Westerberg	Workman

Those who voted in the negative were:

Anderson, B.	Folliard	Huntley	Lindner	Orfield	Van Dellen
Anderson, I.	Gerlach	Jaros	Luther	Paulsen	Wagenius
Biernat	Gleason	Johnson	Mahoney	Paymar	Wilkin
Buesgens	Gray	Kahn	Marko	Pugh	
Carlson	Greenfield	Koskinen	McGuire	Rest	
Carruthers	Greiling	Krinkie	Milbert	Reuter	
Clark, K.	Hausman	Larson, D.	Mullery	Skoglund	
Entenza	Holberg	Lenczewski	Opatz	Trimble	

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

Marko was excused for the remainder of today's session.

FIRST READING OF SENATE BILLS, Continued

S. F. No. 2234, A bill for an act relating to public administration; making deficiency appropriations for state government operations; transferring money; appropriating money.

The bill was read for the first time.

SUSPENSION OF RULES

Pursuant to Article IV, Section 19, of the Constitution of the state of Minnesota, Bishop moved that the rule therein be suspended and an urgency be declared so that S. F. No. 2234 be given its second and third readings and be placed upon its final passage. The motion prevailed.

Bishop moved that the rules of the House be so far suspended that S. F. No. 2234 be given its second and third readings and be placed upon its final passage. The motion prevailed.

S. F. No. 2234 was read for the second time.

EXCUSED FROM VOTING PURSUANT TO RULE 2.05

Pursuant to rule 2.05, the Speaker excused Pawlenty from voting on final passage of S. F. No. 2234 and any amendments offered to S. F. No. 2234.

Bishop and Osthoff moved to amend S. F. No. 2234 as follows:

Page 1, line 15, delete "1,000,000" and insert "600,000"

Page 2, line 24, delete "1,000,000" and insert "600,000"

Page 2, line 25, delete "\$1,000,000" and insert "(a) \$600,000"

Page 2, line 28, after the period, insert:

"Notwithstanding Laws 1994, chapter 643, section 27, subdivision 2, as amended by Laws 1996, chapter 463, section 54, the zoological board may institute an admission fee increase before April 1, 2000.

(b) The zoological board must submit a report to the governor and legislature by February 1, 2000, analyzing alternative governing structures, including but not limited to, conversion to a private nonprofit or local governmental entity. The report must include analysis of the impact on ownership of the facility, impacts on employees, and ongoing costs to the state related to any changes in governance structure. Release of the 2001 appropriation is contingent upon making significant progress toward financial self-sufficiency."

Adjust totals and numbers accordingly

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted.

Trimble moved to amend S. F. No. 2234, as amended, as follows:

Page 3, after line 6, insert:

"(c) The department shall not by rule raise any fees related to passenger vehicle licenses during fiscal years 1999, 2000, and 2001."

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

The question was taken on the Trimble amendment and the roll was called. There were 56 yeas and 68 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Anderson, I.	Folliard	Johnson	Luther	Pelowski	Trimble
Bakk	Gleason	Juhnke	Mahoney	Peterson	Tunheim
Biernat	Gray	Kahn	Mariani	Pugh	Wagenius
Carlson	Greenfield	Kalis	McGuire	Rest	Wenzel
Carruthers	Greiling	Kelliher	Milbert	Rukavina	Westerberg
Chaudhary	Gunther	Koskinen	Mullery	Schumacher	Winter
Clark, K.	Hasskamp	Kubly	Murphy	Skoe	
Dawkins	Hausman	Larson, D.	Orfield	Skoglund	
Dorn	Huntley	Leighton	Osthoff	Solberg	
Entenza	Jaros	Lenczewski	Otremba	Tomassoni	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Abeler	Dehler	Harder	Mares	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Abrams	Dempsey	Holberg	McElroy	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Anderson, B.	Dorman	Holsten	Molnau	Seagren	Westfall
Bishop	Erhardt	Howes	Mulder	Seifert, J.	Westrom
Boudreau	Erickson	Kielkucki	Ness	Seifert, M.	Wilkin
Bradley	Finseth	Knoblach	Nornes	Smith	Wolf
Broecker	Fuller	Krinkie	Olson	Stang	Workman
Buesgens	Gerlach	Kuisle	Osskopp	Storm	Spk. Sviggum
Cassell	Goodno	Larsen, P.	Ozment	Swenson	
Clark, J.	Haake	Leppik	Paulsen	Sykora	
Daggett	Haas	Lieder	Reuter	Tingelstad	
Davids	Hackbarth	Lindner	Rhodes	Tuma	

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted.

S. F. No. 2234, A bill for an act relating to public administration; making deficiency appropriations for state government operations; transferring money; appropriating money.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended, and placed upon its final passage.

The question was taken on the passage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 91 yeas and 34 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dempsey	Holberg	Luther	Reuter	Van Dellen
Abrams	Dorman	Holsten	Mares	Rhodes	Vandeveer
Anderson, B.	Erickson	Howes	McElroy	Rifenberg	Wagenius
Anderson, I.	Finseth	Jaros	Molnau	Rostberg	Wenzel
Bishop	Folliard	Kahn	Mulder	Seagren	Westerberg
Boudreau	Fuller	Kalis	Mullery	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Bradley	Gerlach	Kelliher	Murphy	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Broecker	Goodno	Kielkucki	Ness	Skoe	Wilkin
Buesgens	Greiling	Knoblach	Nornes	Skoglund	Wolf
Carlson	Gunther	Krinkie	Orfield	Smith	Workman
Cassell	Haake	Kubly	Osthoff	Stang	Spk. Sviggum
Clark, J.	Haas	Kuisle	Ozment	Storm	
Daggett	Hackbarth	Larsen, P.	Paulsen	Swenson	
Davids	Harder	Leighton	Paymar	Sykora	
Dawkins	Hausman	Leppik	Pelowski	Tingelstad	
Dehler	Hilty	Lieder	Peterson	Tuma	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Bakk	Erhardt	Johnson	Mahoney	Otremba	Tomassoni
Biernat	Gleason	Juhnke	McGuire	Pugh	Trimble
Carruthers	Gray	Koskinen	Milbert	Rest	Tunheim
Chaudhary	Greenfield	Larson, D.	Olson	Rukavina	Winter
Dorn	Hasskamp	Lenczewski	Opatz	Schumacher	
Entenza	Huntley	Lindner	Osskopp	Solberg	

The bill was passed, as amended, and its title agreed to.

The following Conference Committee Report was received:

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT ON H. F. NO. 1568

A bill for an act relating to the state building code; transferring authority to adopt energy portions of the building code from the commissioner of public service to the commissioner of administration; providing for conformity with a code for building conservation; requiring implementation of locally adopted optional code provisions; requiring a report; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 16B.61, subdivisions 1 and 1a; 16B.62, subdivision 2; 16B.64, subdivision 4; 216C.19, subdivision 8; and 216C.195, subdivision 1; repealing Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.165.

April 22, 1999

The Honorable Steve Sviggum Speaker of the House of Representatives

The Honorable Allan H. Spear President of the Senate

We, the undersigned conferees for H. F. No. 1568, report that we have agreed upon the items in dispute and recommend as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments and that H. F. No. 1568 be further amended as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

"Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.61, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [ADOPTION OF CODE.] Subject to sections 16B.59 to 16B.75, the commissioner shall by rule establish a code of standards for the construction, reconstruction, alteration, and repair of buildings, governing matters of structural materials, design and construction, fire protection, health, sanitation, and safety, including design and construction standards regarding heat loss control, illumination, and climate control. The code must conform insofar as practicable to model building codes generally accepted and in use throughout the United States, including a code for building conservation. In the preparation of the code, consideration must be given to the existing statewide specialty codes presently in use in the state. Model codes with necessary modifications and statewide specialty codes may be adopted by reference. The code must be based on the application of scientific principles, approved tests, and professional judgment. To the extent possible, the code must be adopted in terms of desired results instead of the means of achieving those results, avoiding wherever possible the incorporation of specifications of particular methods or materials. To that end the code must encourage the use of new methods and new materials. Except as otherwise provided in sections 16B.59 to 16B.75, the commissioner shall administer and enforce the provisions of those sections.

Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.61, subdivision 1a, is amended to read:

Subd. 1a. [ADMINISTRATION BY COMMISSIONER.] The commissioner shall administer and enforce the state building code as a municipality with respect to public buildings and state licensed facilities in the state. The commissioner shall establish appropriate permit, plan review, and inspection fees for public buildings and state licensed facilities. Fees and surcharges for public buildings and state licensed facilities must be remitted to the commissioner, who shall deposit them in the state treasury for credit to the special revenue fund.

Municipalities other than the state having a contractual agreement with the commissioner for code administration and enforcement service for public buildings and state licensed facilities shall charge their customary fees, including surcharge, to be paid directly to the contractual jurisdiction by the applicant seeking authorization to construct a

public building or a state licensed facility. The commissioner shall contract with a municipality other than the state for plan review, code administration, and code enforcement service for public buildings and state licensed facilities in the contractual jurisdiction if the building officials of the municipality meet the requirements of section 16B.65 and wish to provide those services and if the commissioner determines that the municipality has enough adequately trained and qualified building inspectors to provide those services for the construction project.

Administration and enforcement in a municipality under this section must apply any optional provisions of the state building code adopted by the municipality. A municipality adopting any optional code provision shall notify the state building official within 30 days of its adoption.

The commissioner shall administer and enforce the provisions of the code relating to elevators statewide, except as provided for under section 16B.747, subdivision 3.

- Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.62, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
- Subd. 2. [ENFORCEMENT BY STATE BUILDING OFFICIAL.] If the commissioner determines that a municipality is not properly administering and enforcing the State Building Code as provided in section 16B.71, the commissioner may have the administration and enforcement in the involved municipality undertaken by the state building official. The commissioner shall notify the affected municipality in writing immediately upon making the determination, and the municipality may challenge the determination as a contested case before the commissioner pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act. In municipalities not properly administering and enforcing the State Building Code, and in municipalities who determine not to administer and enforce the State Building Code, the commissioner shall have administration and enforcement undertaken by the state building official or by another inspector certified by the state. In carrying out administration and enforcement under this subdivision, the commissioner shall apply any optional provision of the state building code adopted by the municipality. A municipality adopting any optional code provision shall notify the state building official within 30 days of its adoption. The commissioner shall determine appropriate fees to be charged for the administration and enforcement service rendered. Any cost to the state arising from the state administration and enforcement of the State Building Code shall be borne by the subject municipality.
 - Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.64, subdivision 4, is amended to read:
- Subd. 4. [HEARINGS.] The commissioner, except in the case of energy conservation standards promulgated or amended pursuant to section 216C.19, subdivision 8, shall hold all state hearings and make all determinations regarding any subject matter dealt with in the code including those in which another state agency proposes to adopt or amend rules which are incorporated by reference into the code or whenever the commissioner proposes to incorporate those rules into the State Building Code. In no event shall may a state agency subsequently authorized to adopt rules involving State Building Code subject matter proceed to adopt the rules without prior consultation with the commissioner.
 - Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 216C.19, subdivision 8, is amended to read:
- Subd. 8. [APPLICABILITY TO BUILDING CODE; RULES.] In recognition of the compelling need for energy conservation in order to safeguard the public health, safety and welfare, it is necessary to provide building design and construction standards consistent with the most efficient use of energy. Therefore, the commissioner of administration, in consultation with the commissioner of public service, shall, pursuant to chapter 14, adopt rules governing building design and construction standards regarding heat loss control, illumination and climate control. To the maximum extent practicable, the rules providing for the energy portions of the building code shall be based on and conform to model codes generally accepted throughout the United States. The rules shall apply to all new buildings and remodeling affecting heat loss control, illumination and climate control. The rules shall be economically feasible in that the resultant savings in energy procurement shall exceed the cost of the energy conserving requirements amortized over the life of the building. The rules adopted pursuant to this subdivision, shall be part of the State Building Code. Notwithstanding the provisions of this subdivision, all applications for approval of building specifications and plans may be submitted to the state building inspector as provided in section 16B.66.

Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 216C.195, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

Subdivision 1. [COMMISSIONER TO ADOPT.] Not later than September 1, 1992, The commissioner of administration, in consultation with the commissioner of public service, shall adopt amendments to the Energy Code portion of the Minnesota Building Code to implement energy-efficient standards for new commercial buildings.

Sec. 7. [TRANSFER.]

While the energy portion of the state building code is developed by the commissioner of public service, adoption of the energy portion of the building code is transferred from the commissioner of public service to the commissioner of administration in consultation with the commissioner of public service in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 15.039, excluding subdivisions 6 and 7.

Sec. 8. [REVIEW.]

The construction codes advisory council established by Minnesota Statutes, section 16B.76, shall review the program evaluation report on the state building code issued by the office of the legislative auditor in January 1999 and shall report to the legislature by January 15, 2000, with recommendations on which proposals in the report, if any, should be implemented.

Sec. 9. [ENERGY CODE.]

The effective date of the residential building energy code set out in Minnesota Rules, chapters 7672 and 7674, is April 15, 2000. Until that date, the energy code set out in Minnesota Rules, chapter 7670, remains in effect for residential buildings.

Sec. 10. [REPEALER.]

Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.165, is repealed.

Sec. 11. [EFFECTIVE DATE.]

Sections 1 to 10 are effective July 1, 1999."

Delete the title and insert:

"A bill for an act relating to the state building code; transferring authority to adopt energy portions of the building code from the commissioner of public service to the commissioner of administration; setting an effective date for the energy code; requiring implementation of locally adopted optional code provisions; requiring a report; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 16B.61, subdivisions 1 and 1a; 16B.62, subdivision 2; 16B.64, subdivision 4; 216C.19, subdivision 8; and 216C.195, subdivision 1; repealing Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.165."

We request adoption of this report and repassage of the bill.

House Conferees: Lynda Boudreau, Jim Tunheim and Mark Olson.

Senate Conferees: DEANNA L. WIENER, JANET B. JOHNSON AND MARTHA R. ROBERTSON.

Boudreau moved that the report of the Conference Committee on H. F. No. 1568 be adopted and that the bill be repassed as amended by the Conference Committee. The motion prevailed.

H. F. No. 1568, A bill for an act relating to the state building code; transferring authority to adopt energy portions of the building code from the commissioner of public service to the commissioner of administration; providing for conformity with a code for building conservation; requiring implementation of locally adopted optional code provisions; requiring a report; amending Minnesota Statutes 1998, sections 16B.61, subdivisions 1 and 1a; 16B.62, subdivision 2; 16B.64, subdivision 4; 216C.19, subdivision 8; and 216C.195, subdivision 1; repealing Minnesota Statutes 1998, section 16B.165.

The bill was read for the third time, as amended by Conference, and placed upon its repassage.

The question was taken on the repassage of the bill and the roll was called. There were 112 yeas and 15 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were:

Abeler	Dempsey	Holberg	Lieder	Pelowski	Sykora
Abrams	Dorman	Holsten	Lindner	Peterson	Tingelstad
Anderson, B.	Dorn	Howes	Luther	Rest	Tomassoni
Anderson, I.	Erhardt	Huntley	Mares	Reuter	Tuma
Bakk	Erickson	Jaros	Mariani	Rhodes	Tunheim
Biernat	Finseth	Johnson	McElroy	Rifenberg	Van Dellen
Bishop	Fuller	Juhnke	Molnau	Rostberg	Vandeveer
Boudreau	Gerlach	Kahn	Mulder	Rukavina	Wagenius
Bradley	Gleason	Kalis	Mullery	Schumacher	Wenzel
Broecker	Goodno	Kelliher	Murphy	Seagren	Westerberg
Buesgens	Gray	Kielkucki	Ness	Seifert, J.	Westfall
Carlson	Greenfield	Knoblach	Nornes	Seifert, M.	Westrom
Cassell	Gunther	Krinkie	Olson	Skoe	Wilkin
Chaudhary	Haake	Kubly	Orfield	Skoglund	Winter
Clark, J.	Haas	Kuisle	Osskopp	Smith	Wolf
Clark, K.	Hackbarth	Larsen, P.	Otremba	Solberg	Workman
Daggett	Harder	Leighton	Ozment	Stang	Spk. Sviggum
Davids	Hasskamp	Lenczewski	Paulsen	Storm	
Dehler	Hilty	Leppik	Pawlenty	Swenson	

Those who voted in the negative were:

Carruthers	Folliard	Koskinen	McGuire	Paymar
Dawkins	Greiling	Larson, D.	Milbert	Pugh
Entenza	Hausman	Mahonev	Osthoff	Trimble

The bill was repassed, as amended by Conference, and its title agreed to.

CALENDAR FOR THE DAY

Pawlenty moved that the Calendar for the Day be continued. The motion prevailed.

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Rhodes moved that S. F. No. 145 be recalled from the Committee on Governmental Operations and Veterans Affairs Policy and together with H. F. No. 861, now on the Calendar for the Day, be referred to the Chief Clerk for comparison. The motion prevailed.

Stanek introduced:

House Resolution No. 11, A house resolution in memory of elementary school teacher John Mallander.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Rules and Legislative Administration.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE SPEAKER

The Speaker announced the appointment of the following members of the House to a Conference Committee on H. F. No. 15:

Mares; Pelowski; Olson; Seifert, M., and Erickson.

The Speaker announced the appointment of the following members of the House to a Conference Committee on H. F. No. 2380:

Leppik; Tuma; Dehler; Seifert, M., and Carlson.

ADJOURNMENT

Pawlenty moved that when the House adjourns today it adjourn until 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, April 28, 1999. The motion prevailed.

Pawlenty moved that the House adjourn. The motion prevailed, and the Speaker declared the House stands adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, April 28, 1999.

EDWARD A. BURDICK, Chief Clerk, House of Representatives