This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

REVISOR

23-00794

DTT/HL

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES H. F. No. 121 NINETY-THIRD SESSION

01/09/2023

Authored by Edelson, Moller and Fischer The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Public Safety Finance and Policy

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7	relating to competency attainment; making certain technical changes; appropriating money; amending Minnesota Statutes 2022, sections 611.41, subdivisions 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, by adding a subdivision; 611.42, subdivisions 2, 3, 4; 611.43, subdivisions 1, 2, 3; 611.44, subdivisions 1, 2; 611.45, subdivision 3; 611.46, subdivisions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6; 611.47; 611.48; 611.49; 611.51; 611.55; 611.56; 611.57; 611.58; 611.59; Laws 2022, chapter 99, article 3, section 1.
1.8	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.9	Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
1.10	Subd. 2. Alternative program. "Alternative program" means any mental health or
1.11	substance use disorder treatment or program that is not a certified competency restoration
1.12	attainment program but may assist a defendant in attaining competency.
1.13	Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, is amended by adding a subdivision to
1.14	read:
1.15	Subd. 4a. Competency. "Competency" means the ability to understand criminal
1.16	proceedings, consult with counsel, and participate in the defense.
1.17	Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 5, is amended to read:
1.18	Subd. 5. Competency restoration attainment program. "Competency restoration
1.19	attainment program" means a structured program of clinical and educational services that
1.20	is certified and designed to identify and address barriers to a defendant's ability to understand
1.21	the criminal proceedings, consult with counsel, and participate in the defense.
 1.11 1.12 1.13 1.14 1.15 1.16 1.17 1.18 1.19 1.20 	substance use disorder treatment or program that is not a eertified competency restoration attainment program but may assist a defendant in attaining competency. Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, is amended by adding a subdivision to read: <u>Subd. 4a. Competency. "Competency" means the ability to understand criminal proceedings, consult with counsel, and participate in the defense.</u> Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 5, is amended to read: Subd. 5. Competency restoration attainment program. "Competency restoration attainment program" means a structured program of clinical and educational services that is certified and designed to identify and address barriers to a defendant's ability to understant.

REVISOR

DTT/HL

- Sec. 4. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 6, is amended to read: 2.1 Subd. 6. Competency restoration attainment services. "Competency restoration 2.2 attainment services" means education provided by certified individuals to for defendants 2.3 found incompetent to proceed provided by certified individuals using the approved curriculum 2.4 to address barriers to a defendant's ability to understand the criminal proceedings, consult 2.5 with counsel, and participate in the defense. Educational services must use the curriculum 2.6 certified by the State Competency Restoration Board as the foundation for delivering 2.7 competency restoration education. Competency restoration attainment services does not 2.8 include housing assistance or programs, social services, or treatment that must be provided 2.9 by a licensed professional including mental health treatment, substance use disorder 2.10 treatment, or co-occurring disorders treatment. 2.11 Sec. 5. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 7, is amended to read: 2.12 Subd. 7. Court examiner. "Court examiner" means a person appointed to serve the 2.13 court, by examining a defendant whose competency is at issue and who is a physician or 2.14 licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology. 2.15 Sec. 6. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 8, is amended to read: 2.16 Subd. 8. Forensic navigator. "Forensic navigator" means a person who meets the 2.17 certification and continuing education requirements under section 611.56, subdivision 2, 2.18 paragraph (b), clause (3), hired or contracted to facilitate competency examinations and a 2.19 defendant's participation in competency attainment services, supervise certain defendants 2.20 found to be incompetent, prepare bridge plans, and provides provide the other services under 2.21 section 611.55, subdivision 3. 2.22 Sec. 7. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 9, is amended to read: 2.23 Subd. 9. Head of the program. "Head of the program" means the head of the competency 2.24 restoration attainment program or the head of the facility or program where the defendant 2.25 is being served. 2.26 Sec. 8. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 10, is amended to read: 2.27 Subd. 10. Jail-based program. "Jail-based program" means a competency restoration 2.28 attainment program that operates within a correctional facility licensed by the commissioner 2.29
- 2.30 of corrections under section 241.021 that meets the capacity standards governing jail facilities.
- 2.31 A jail-based program may not be granted a variance to exceed its operational capacity.

- Sec. 9. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 13, is amended to read: 3.1 Subd. 13. State-operated treatment program. "State-operated treatment program" 3.2 means any state-operated program, including community behavioral health hospitals hospital, 3.3 crisis centers center, residential facilities facility, outpatient services service, and or other 3.4 community-based services developed and program operated by the state and under the 3.5 control of the commissioner of human services, for a person who has mental illness, 3.6 developmental disability, or substance use disorder. 3.7 Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 14, is amended to read: 3.8 Subd. 14. Suspend the criminal proceedings. "Suspend the criminal proceedings" 3.9 means nothing can be heard or decided on to cease all hearings and decisions regarding the 3.10 merits of the criminal charges except that but not terminate the jurisdiction of the court 3.11 retains jurisdiction in all or prevent hearings or decisions in any other matters, including 3.12 but not limited to establishing or modifying bail, conditions of release, probation conditions, 3.13 no contact orders, and appointment of counsel. 3.14 Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.41, subdivision 16, is amended to read: 3.15 Subd. 16. Treatment facility. "Treatment facility" means a non-state-operated hospital, 3.16 residential treatment provider, crisis residential withdrawal management center, or corporate 3.17 foster care home that is not operated by the state and is qualified to provide care and treatment 3.18 for persons who have mental illness, developmental disability, or substance use disorder. 3.19 Sec. 12. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.42, subdivision 2, is amended to read: 3.20 Subd. 2. Waiver of counsel in competency proceedings. (a) A defendant must not be 3.21 allowed to waive counsel if the defendant lacks ability to: 3.22 (1) knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waive the right to counsel; 3.23 (2) appreciate the consequences of proceeding without counsel; 3.24 (3) comprehend the nature of the charge; 3.25 (4) comprehend the nature of the proceedings; 3.26 3.27 (5) comprehend the possible punishment; or (6) comprehend any other matters essential to understanding the case. 3.28 (b) The court must not proceed under this law section before a lawyer consults with the 3.29
- 3.30 defendant and has an opportunity to be heard.

4.1

Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.42, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

4.2 Subd. 3. Competency motion. (a) At any time, the prosecutor or defense counsel may
4.3 make a motion challenging the defendant's competency, or the court on its initiative may
4.4 raise the issue. The defendant's consent is not required to bring a competency motion. The
4.5 motion shall be supported by specific facts but shall not include communications between
4.6 the defendant and defense counsel if disclosure would violate attorney-client privilege. By
4.7 bringing the motion, the defendant does not waive attorney-client privilege.

4.8 (b) If competency is at issue, the court shall appoint a forensic navigator to provide the
4.9 forensic navigator services described in section 611.55 for the defendant, including
4.10 development of a specific bridge plan to identify appropriate housing and services if the
4.11 defendant is released from custody or any charges are dismissed.

4.12 (c) In felony, gross misdemeanor, and targeted misdemeanor cases, if the court determines
4.13 there is a reasonable basis to doubt the defendant's <u>competence competency</u> and there is
4.14 probable cause for the charge, the court must suspend the criminal proceedings and order
4.15 an examination of the defendant <u>under section 611.43</u>.

(d) In misdemeanor cases, other than cases involving a targeted misdemeanor, if the 4.16 court determines there is a reasonable basis to doubt the defendant's competence competency 4.17 and there is probable cause for the charge, the court must suspend the criminal proceedings. 4.18 The court may order an examination of the defendant under section 611.43 if the examination 4.19 is in the public interest. For purposes of this paragraph, an examination is in the public 4.20 interest when it is necessary to assess whether the defendant has a cognitive impairment or 4.21 mental illness; determine whether a defendant has the ability to access housing, food, income, 4.22 disability verification, medications, and treatment for medical conditions; or whether a 4.23 defendant has the ability to otherwise address any basic needs. The court shall order the 4.24 forensic navigator to complete a bridge plan as described in section 611.55, subdivision 4, 4.25 4.26 and submit it to the court. The court may dismiss the charge upon receipt of the bridge plan without holding a hearing unless either party objects. 4.27

4.28

Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.42, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

4.29 Subd. 4. Dismissal, referrals for services, and collaboration. (a) Except as provided
4.30 in this subdivision, when the court determines there is a reasonable basis to doubt the <u>a</u>
4.31 defendant's competence competency and orders an examination of the defendant, a forensic
4.32 navigator must complete a bridge plan with the defendant as described in section 611.55,
4.33 subdivision 4, submit the bridge plan to the court, and provide a written copy to the defendant
4.34 before the court or prosecutor dismisses any charges based on a belief or finding that the

5.1 defendant is incompetent. The court may dismiss a case where the most serious charge is

5.2 <u>a misdemeanor, other than a targeted misdemeanor, without holding a hearing unless either</u>
5.3 party objects.

(b) If for any reason a forensic navigator has not been appointed, the court must make
every reasonable effort to coordinate with any resources available to the court and refer the
defendant for possible assessment and social services, including but not limited to services
for engagement under section 253B.041, before dismissing any charges based on a finding
that the defendant is incompetent.

(c) If working with the forensic navigator or coordinating a referral to services would
cause an unreasonable delay in the release of a defendant being held in custody, the court
may release the defendant. If a defendant has not been engaged for assessment and referral
before release, the court may coordinate with the forensic navigator or any resources available
to the court to engage the defendant for up to 90 days after release.

(d) Courts may partner and collaborate with county social services, community-based
programs, jails, and any other <u>available</u> resource available to the court to provide referrals
to services when a defendant's competency is at issue or a defendant has been found
incompetent to proceed.

(e) Counsel for the defendant may bring a motion to dismiss the proceedings in theinterest of justice at any stage of the proceedings.

5.20 Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.43, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

5.21 Subdivision 1. **Competency examination.** (a) If the court orders an examination pursuant 5.22 to section 611.42, subdivision 3, the court shall appoint a court examiner to examine the 5.23 defendant and report to the court on the defendant's competency to proceed. A court examiner 5.24 may obtain from court administration and review the report of any prior or subsequent 5.25 examination under this section or under Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, rule 20.

(b) If the defendant is not entitled to release, the court shall order the defendant to
participate in an examination where the defendant is being held, or the court may order that
the defendant be confined in a treatment facility, locked treatment facility, or a state-operated
treatment facility until the examination is completed.

(c) If the defendant is entitled to release, the court shall order the defendant to appear
for an examination. If the defendant fails to appear at an examination, the court may amend
the conditions of release and bail pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, rule
6.

DTT/HL

- 6.1 (d) A competency examination ordered under Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure,
 6.2 rule 20.04, shall proceed under subdivision 2 this section.
- 6.3 Sec. 16. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.43, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. Report of examination. (a) The court-appointed court examiner's written report 6.4 shall be filed with the court and served on provided to the prosecutor and defense counsel 6.5 by the court. The report shall be filed no more than 30 days after the order for examination 6.6 of a defendant in custody unless extended by the court for good cause. If the defendant is 6.7 out of custody or confined in a noncorrectional state-operated treatment program or treatment 6.8 facility, the report shall be filed no more than 60 days after the order for examination, unless 6.9 extended by the court for good cause. The report shall not include opinions concerning the 6.10 defendant's mental condition at the time of the alleged offense or any statements made by 6.11 the defendant regarding the alleged criminal conduct, unless necessary to support the 6.12 examiner's opinion regarding competence or incompetence. 6.13 (b) The report shall include an evaluation of the defendant's mental health, cognition, 6.14 and the factual basis for opinions about: 6.15

6.16 (1) any diagnoses made, and the results of any testing conducted with the defendant;

6.17 (2) the defendant's competency to stand trial;

6.18 (3) the level of care and education required for the defendant to attain, be restored to,6.19 or maintain competency;

- 6.20 (4) a recommendation of the least restrictive setting appropriate to meet the defendant's
 6.21 needs for restoration attaining competency and immediate safety;
- 6.22 (5) the impact of any substance use disorder on the defendant, including the defendant's6.23 competency, and any recommendations for treatment;
- 6.24 (6) the likelihood the defendant will attain competency in the reasonably foreseeable6.25 future;
- 6.26 (7) whether the defendant poses a substantial likelihood of physical harm to self or6.27 others; and
- (8) if the court examiner's opinion is that the defendant is incompetent to proceed, the
 report must include an opinion as to whether the defendant possesses capacity to make
 decisions regarding neuroleptic medication unless the examiner is unable to render an
 opinion on capacity. If the examiner is unable to render an opinion on capacity, the report
 must document the reasons why the examiner is unable to render that opinion.

REVISOR

DTT/HL

(c) If the court examiner determines that the defendant presents an imminent risk of
serious danger to another, is imminently suicidal, or otherwise needs emergency intervention,
the examiner must promptly notify the court, prosecutor, defense counsel, and those
responsible for the care and custody of the defendant.

(d) If the defendant appears for the examination but does not participate, the court
examiner shall submit a report and, if sufficient information is available, may render an
opinion on competency and an opinion as to whether the unwillingness to participate resulted
from a mental illness, cognitive impairment, or other factors.

(e) If the court examiner determines the defendant would benefit from services for
engagement in mental health treatment under section 253B.041 or any other referral to
social services, the court examiner may recommend referral of the defendant to services
where available.

7.13 Sec. 17. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.43, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. Additional examination. If either the prosecutor or defense counsel intends
to retain an independent examiner, the party shall provide notice to the court and opposing
counsel no later than ten days after the date of receipt of the court-appointed court examiner's
report. If an independent examiner is retained, the independent examiner's report shall be
filed no more than 30 days after the date a party files notice of intent to retain an independent
examiner, unless extended by the court for good cause.

7.20 Sec. 18. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.44, subdivision 1, is amended to read:

7.21 Subdivision 1. Request for hearing. (a) The prosecutor or defense counsel may request
7.22 a hearing on the <u>court-appointed court</u> examiner's competency report by filing a written
7.23 objection no later than ten days after the report is filed.

(b) A hearing shall be held as soon as possible but no longer than 30 days after the
request, unless extended by agreement of the prosecutor and defense counsel, or by the
court for good cause.

(c) If an independent court examiner is retained, the hearing may be continued up to 14
days after the date the independent court examiner's report is filed. The court may continue
the hearing for good cause.

8.1 Sec. 19. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.44, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. Competency hearing. (a) The court may admit all relevant and reliable evidence
at the competency hearing. The court-appointed court examiner is considered the court's
witness and may be called and questioned by the court, prosecutor, or defense counsel. The
report of the court-appointed court examiner shall be admitted into evidence without further
foundation.

(b) Defense counsel may testify, subject to the prosecutor's cross-examination, but shall
not violate attorney-client privilege. Testifying does not automatically disqualify defense
counsel from continuing to represent the defendant. The court may inquire of defense counsel
regarding the attorney-client relationship and the defendant's ability to communicate with
counsel. The court shall not require counsel to divulge communications protected by
attorney-client privilege, and the prosecutor shall not cross-examine defense counsel
concerning responses to the court's inquiry.

8.14 Sec. 20. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.45, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

8.15 Subd. 3. Dismissal of criminal charge. (a) If the court finds the defendant incompetent,
8.16 and the charge is a misdemeanor other than a targeted misdemeanor, the charge must be
8.17 dismissed.

(b) In targeted misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor cases, the charges must be dismissed
30 days after the date of the finding of incompetence, unless the prosecutor, before the
expiration of the 30-day period, files a written notice of intent to prosecute when the
defendant regains attains competency. If a notice has been filed and the charge is a targeted
misdemeanor, charges must be dismissed within one year after the finding of incompetency.
If a notice has been filed and the charge is a gross misdemeanor, charges must be dismissed
within two years after the finding of incompetency.

(c) In felony cases, except as provided in paragraph (d), the charges must be dismissed
three years after the date of the finding of incompetency, unless the prosecutor, before the
expiration of the three-year period, files a written notice of intent to prosecute when the
defendant regains attains competency. If a notice has been filed, charges must be dismissed
within five years after the finding of incompetency or ten years if the maximum sentence
for the crime with which the defendant is charged is ten years or more.

8.31 (d) The requirement that felony charges be dismissed under paragraph (c) does not apply
8.32 if:

9.1	(1) the court orders continuing supervision pursuant to section 611.49, subdivision 3;
9.2	or
9.3	(2) the defendant is charged with a violation of sections 609.185 (murder in the first
9.4	degree); 609.19 (murder in the second degree); 609.195 (murder in the third degree); 609.20
9.5	(manslaughter in the first degree); 609.205 (manslaughter in the second degree); 609.2112
9.6	(criminal vehicular homicide); 609.2114, subdivision 1 (criminal vehicular operation, death
9.7	to an unborn child); 609.2661 (murder of an unborn child in the first degree); 609.2662
9.8	(murder of an unborn child in the second degree); 609.2663 (murder of an unborn child in
9.9	the third degree); 609.2664 (manslaughter of an unborn child in the first degree); or 609.2665
9.10	(manslaughter of an unborn child in the second degree); or a crime of violence as defined
9.11	in section 624.712, subdivision 5, except for a violation of chapter 152.
9.12	(e) Nothing in this subdivision requires dismissal of any charge if the court finds the
9.13	defendant competent and enters an order directing that the criminal proceedings shall resume.
9.14	Sec. 21. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.46, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
9.15	Subdivision 1. Order to competency restoration attainment program. (a) If the court
9.16	finds the defendant incompetent and the charges have not been dismissed, the court shall
9.17	order the defendant to participate in a competency restoration program to restore assist the
9.18	defendant's competence defendant in attaining competency. The court may order participation
9.19	in a competency restoration attainment program provided outside of a jail, a jail-based
9.20	competency restoration attainment program, or an alternative program. The court must
9.21	determine the least-restrictive program appropriate to meet the defendant's needs and public
9.22	safety. In making this determination, the court must consult with the forensic navigator and
9.23	consider any recommendations of the court examiner. The court shall not order a defendant
9.24	to participate in a jail-based program or a state-operated treatment program if the highest
9.25	criminal charge is a misdemeanor or targeted misdemeanor.
9.26	(b) If the court orders the defendant to a locked treatment facility or jail-based program,
9.27	the court must calculate the defendant's custody credit and cannot order the defendant to a
9.28	locked treatment facility or jail-based program for a period that would cause the defendant's
9.29	custody credit to exceed the maximum sentence for the underlying charge.
9.30	(b) (c) The court may only order placement of the defendant to participate in competency

9.30 (b) (c) The court may only order <u>placement of</u> the defendant to participate in competency
9.31 restoration at an inpatient or residential treatment program under this section if the head of
9.32 the treatment program determines that admission to the program is clinically appropriate
9.33 and consents to the defendant's admission. The court may only order the defendant to
9.34 participate placement in competency restoration at a state-operated treatment facility under

Sec. 21.

this section if the commissioner of human services or a designee determines that admission 10.1 of the defendant is clinically appropriate and consents to the defendant's admission. The 10.2 court may require a certified competency program that qualifies as a locked facility or a 10.3 state-operated treatment program to notify the court in writing of the basis for refusing 10.4 consent for admission of the defendant in order to ensure transparency and maintain an 10.5 accurate record. The court may not require personal appearance of any representative of a 10.6 eertified competency program. The court shall send a written request for notification to the 10.7 10.8 locked facility or state-operated treatment program and the locked facility or state-operated treatment program shall provide a written response to the court within ten days of receipt 10.9 of the court's request. 10.10

10.11(c) (d) If the defendant is confined in jail and has not received competency restoration10.12attainment services within 30 days of the finding of incompetency, the court shall review10.13the case with input from the prosecutor and defense counsel and may:

10.14 (1) order the defendant to participate in an appropriate competency restoration attainment
 10.15 program that takes place outside of a jail;

(2) <u>conditionally order a conditional release of the defendant, including with conditions</u>
 that include but are not limited to <u>conditions a requirement</u> that the defendant participate
 in a competency restoration attainment program when one becomes available and accessible;

(3) make a determination as to whether the defendant is likely to attain competency inthe reasonably foreseeable future and proceed under section 611.49; or

10.21 (4) upon a motion, dismiss the charges in the interest of justice.

(d) Upon the order to a competency restoration program or alternative program, (e) The court may order any hospital, treatment facility, or correctional facility that has provided care or supervision to the <u>a</u> defendant in the previous two years to provide copies of the defendant's medical records to the competency restoration attainment program or alternative program in which the defendant was ordered to participate. This information shall be provided in a consistent and timely manner and pursuant to all applicable laws.

10.28(e) (f) If at any time the defendant refuses to participate in a competency restoration10.29attainment program or an alternative program, the head of the program shall notify the court10.30and any entity responsible for supervision of the defendant.

(f) (g) At any time, the head of the program may discharge the defendant from the
 program or facility. The head of the program must notify the court, prosecutor, defense
 counsel, and any entity responsible for the supervision of the defendant prior to any planned

REVISOR

23-00794

discharge. Absent emergency circumstances, this notification shall be made five days prior 11.1 to the discharge if the defendant is not being discharged to jail or a correctional facility. 11.2 Upon the receipt of notification of discharge or upon the request of either party in response 11.3 to notification of discharge, the court may order that a defendant who is subject to bail or 11.4 unmet conditions of release be returned to jail upon being discharged from the program or 11.5 facility. If the court orders a defendant returned to jail, the court shall notify the parties and 11.6 head of the program at least one day before the defendant's planned discharge, except in 11.7 the event of an emergency discharge where one day notice is not possible. The court must 11.8 hold a review hearing within seven days of the defendant's return to jail. The forensic 11.9 navigator must be given notice of the hearing and be allowed to participate. 11.10

(g) (h) If the defendant is discharged from the program or facility under emergency
circumstances, notification of emergency discharge shall include a description of the
emergency circumstances and may include a request for emergency transportation. The
court shall make a determination on a request for emergency transportation within 24 hours.
Nothing in this section prohibits a law enforcement agency from transporting a defendant
pursuant to any other authority.

11.17 Sec. 22. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.46, subdivision 2, is amended to read:

Subd. 2. Supervision. (a) Upon a finding of incompetency, if the defendant is entitled
to release, the court must determine whether the defendant requires pretrial supervision.
The court must weigh public safety risks against the defendant's interests in remaining free
from supervision while presumed innocent in the criminal proceedings. The court may use
a validated and equitable risk assessment tool to determine whether supervision is necessary.

(b) If the court determines that the defendant requires pretrial supervision, the court shall
direct the forensic navigator to conduct pretrial supervision and report violations to the
court. The forensic navigator shall be responsible for the supervision of the defendant until
ordered otherwise by the court.

(c) Upon application by the prosecutor, the <u>forensic navigator</u>, other entity or its designee
assigned to supervise the defendant, or court services alleging that the defendant violated
a condition of release and is a risk to public safety, the court shall follow the procedures
under Rules of Criminal Procedure, rule 6. Any hearing on the alleged violation of release
conditions shall be held no more than 15 days after the date of issuance of a summons or
within 72 hours if the defendant is apprehended on a warrant.

(d) If the court finds a violation, the court may revise the conditions of release and bail
as appropriate pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, including but not limited

Sec. 22.

12.1 to consideration of and must consider the defendant's need for ongoing access to a

12.2 competency restoration attainment program or alternative program under this section.

(e) The court must review conditions of release and bail on request of any party and may
amend the conditions of release or make any other reasonable order upon receipt of
information that the pretrial detention of a defendant has interfered with the defendant

12.6 attaining competency.

12.7 Sec. 23. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.46, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. Certified Competency restoration <u>attainment</u> programs; procedure. (a) If the court orders a defendant to participate in a competency restoration <u>attainment</u> program that takes place outside of a jail, or an alternative program that the court has determined is providing appropriate competency restoration <u>attainment</u> services to the defendant, the court shall specify whether the program is a community-based treatment program or provided in a locked treatment facility.

(b) If the court finds that the defendant continues to be incompetent at a review hearing
held after the initial determination of competency, the court must hold a review hearing
pursuant to section 611.49 and consider any changes to the defendant's conditions of release
or competency restoration attainment programming to restore the defendant's competency
in the least restrictive program appropriate.

(c) If the court orders the defendant to a locked treatment facility or jail-based program,
 the court must calculate the defendant's custody credit and cannot order the defendant to a
 locked treatment facility or jail-based program for a period that would cause the defendant's
 custody credit to exceed the maximum sentence for the underlying charge.

12.23 Sec. 24. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.46, subdivision 4, is amended to read:

Subd. 4. Jail-based competency restoration attainment programs; procedure. (a)
A defendant is eligible to participate in a jail-based competency restoration attainment
program when the underlying charge is a gross misdemeanor or felony and either:

(1) the defendant has been found incompetent, the defendant has not met the conditions
of release ordered pursuant to rule 6.02 of Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, including
posting bail, and either a <u>court-appointed court</u> examiner has recommended jail-based
competency <u>restoration attainment</u> as the least restrictive setting to meet the person's needs,
or the court finds that after a reasonable effort by the forensic navigator, there has not been
consent by another secure setting to the defendant's placement; or

13.1

13.2

13.3

REVISOR

DTT/HL

(2) the defendant is in custody and is ordered to a certified competency restoration <u>attainment</u> program that takes place outside of a jail, a jail-based competency restoration <u>attainment</u> program is available within a reasonable distance to the county where the

defendant is being held, and the court ordered a time-limited placement in a jail-based
program until transfer to a certified competency restoration attainment program that takes
place outside of a jail.

(b) A defendant may not be ordered to participate in a jail-based competency restoration
<u>attainment</u> program for more than 90 days without a review hearing. If after 90 days of the
order to a jail-based program the defendant has not attained competency, the court must
review the case with input from the prosecutor and defense counsel and may:

13.11 (1) order the defendant to participate in an appropriate certified competency restoration
 13.12 attainment program that takes place outside of a locked facility; or

(2) determine whether, after a reasonable effort by the forensic navigator, there is consent
to the defendant's placement by another locked facility. If court determines that a locked
facility is the least restrictive program appropriate and no appropriate locked facility is
available, it may order the defendant to the jail-based program for an additional 90 days.

(c) Nothing in this section prohibits the court from ordering the defendant transferred
to a certified competency restoration attainment program that takes place outside of a jail
if the court determines that transition is appropriate, or the defendant satisfies the conditions
of release or bail. Before the defendant is transitioned transferred to a certified competency
restoration attainment program that takes place outside of a jail or an alternative program,
the court shall notify the prosecutor and the defense counsel, and the provisions of subdivision
2 shall apply.

(d) The court may require a certified competency program that qualifies as a locked
 facility to notify the court in writing of the basis for refusing consent of the defendant in
 order to ensure transparency and maintain an accurate record. The court may not require
 personal appearance of any representative of a certified competency program.

13.28 Sec. 25. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.46, subdivision 5, is amended to read:

Subd. 5. Alternative programs; procedure. (a) A defendant is eligible to participate
in an alternative program if the defendant has been found incompetent, the defendant is
entitled to release, and a certified competency restoration attainment program outside of a
jail is not available.

(b) As soon as the forensic navigator has reason to believe that no certified competency
restoration attainment program outside of a jail will be available within a reasonable time,
the forensic navigator shall determine if there are available alternative programs that are
likely to assist the defendant in attaining competency. Upon notification by the forensic
navigator, The court may order the defendant to participate in an appropriate alternative
program identified by the forensic navigator and must notify the prosecutor and the defense
counsel of the order.

14.8 (c) If at any time while the defendant is participating in an alternative program, an appropriate certified competency restoration attainment program that takes place outside 14.9 of a jail becomes available, the forensic navigator must notify the court. The court must 14.10 notify the prosecutor and the defense counsel and must order the defendant to participate 14.11 in an appropriate eertified competency restoration attainment program, unless the court 14.12 determines that the defendant is receiving appropriate competency restoration attainment 14.13 services in the alternative program. If appropriate and in the public interest, the court may 14.14 order the defendant to participate in the certified competency restoration attainment program 14.15 and an alternative program. 14.16

(d) At any time, the head of the alternative program or the forensic navigator may notify
the court that the defendant is receiving appropriate competency restoration attainment
services in the alternative program, and recommend that remaining in the alternative program
is in the best interest of the defendant and the defendant's progress in attaining competency.
The court may order the defendant to continue programming in the alternative program and
proceed under subdivision 3.

(e) If after 90 days of the order to an alternative program the defendant has not attained
competency and the defendant is not participating in a certified competency restoration
attainment program, the court must hold a review hearing pursuant to section 611.49.

14.26 Sec. 26. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.46, subdivision 6, is amended to read:

Subd. 6. Reporting to the court. (a) The court examiner must provide an updated report
to the court at least once every six months, unless the court and the parties agree to a longer
period that is not more than 12 months, as to the defendant's competency and a description
of the efforts made to restore the defendant to competency.

(b) At any time, the head of the program may notify the court and recommend that acourt examiner provide an updated competency examination and report.

15.1 (c) The court shall furnish provide copies of the report to the prosecutor, defense counsel,
15.2 and the facility or program where the defendant is being served.

(d) The report may make recommendations for continued services to ensure continued
competency. If the defendant is found guilty, these recommendations may be considered
by the court in imposing a sentence, including any conditions of probation.

15.6 Sec. 27. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.47, is amended to read:

15.7 **611.47 ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICATION.**

Subdivision 1. **Motion.** When a court finds that a defendant is incompetent or any time thereafter, upon the motion of the prosecutor or treating medical provider, the court shall hear and determine whether the defendant lacks capacity to make decisions regarding the administration of neuroleptic medication and, if so, whether the conditions and factors weigh in favor of authorizing involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication.

Subd. 2. Certification report <u>Reports.</u> (a) In making a determination under this section,
the court shall consider the report of the court examiner completed pursuant to section
<u>611.43 and may request a certification report from the defendant's treating medical</u>
<u>practitioner.</u>

(a) (b) If the defendant's treating medical practitioner is of the opinion that the defendant 15.17 lacks capacity to make decisions regarding neuroleptic medication, the treating medical 15.18 15.19 practitioner shall may certify in a report that the lack of capacity exists and which conditions under subdivision 3 are applicable. The A certification report shall must contain an 15.20 assessment of the current mental status of the defendant and the opinion of the treating 15.21 medical practitioner that as to whether involuntary neuroleptic medication has become 15.22 medically necessary and appropriate under subdivision 3, paragraph (b), clause (1) or (2), 15.23 or in the patient's defendant's best medical interest under subdivision 3, paragraph (b), clause 15.24 (3). The certification report shall be filed with the court when a motion for a hearing is made 15.25 under this section. 15.26

15.27 (b)(c) A certification report made pursuant to this section shall include a description of 15.28 the neuroleptic medication proposed to be administered to the defendant, if any, and its 15.29 likely effects and side effects, including effects on the defendant's condition or behavior 15.30 that would affect the defendant's ability to understand the nature of the criminal proceedings 15.31 or to assist counsel in the conduct of a defense in a reasonable manner.

15.32 (c) (d) Any defendant subject to an order under subdivision 3 of this section or the state 15.33 may request review of that order.

16.1	(d) (e) In addition to the court examiner appointed to report to the court on the defendant's
16.2	competency to proceed, the court may appoint a court examiner to examine the defendant
16.3	and report to the court and parties as to whether the defendant lacks capacity to make
16.4	decisions regarding the administration of neuroleptic medication. If the patient defendant
16.5	refuses to participate in an examination, the court examiner may rely on the patient's
16.6	defendant's clinically relevant medical records in reaching an opinion.
16.7	(e) (f) The defendant is entitled to a second court examiner under this section, if requested
16.8	by the defendant.
16.9	Subd. 3. Determination. (a) The court shall consider opinions in the reports prepared
16.10	under subdivision 2 as applicable to the issue of first determine whether the defendant lacks
16.11	capacity to make decisions regarding the administration of neuroleptic medication and shall
16.12	proceed under paragraph (b). In making this determination, the court:
16.13	(1) must apply a rebuttable presumption that a defendant has the capacity to make
16.14	decisions regarding administration of neuroleptic medication;
16.15	(2) must find that a defendant has the capacity to make decisions regarding the
16.16	administration of neuroleptic medication if the defendant:
16.17	(i) has an awareness of the nature of the defendant's situation and the possible
16.18	consequences of refusing treatment with neuroleptic medications;
16.19	(ii) has an understanding of treatment with neuroleptic medications and the risks, benefits,
16.20	and alternatives; and
16.21	(iii) communicates verbally or nonverbally a clear choice regarding treatment with
16.22	neuroleptic medications that is a reasoned one not based on a symptom of the defendant's
16.23	mental illness, even though it may not be in the defendant's best interests; and
16.24	(3) must not conclude that a defendant's decision is unreasonable based solely on a
16.25	disagreement with the medical practitioner's recommendation.
16.26	(b) If the court determines that the defendant lacks capacity to make decisions regarding
16.27	the administration of neuroleptic medication, the court shall hear and determine whether
16.28	any of the following is true:
16.29	(1) the defendant lacks capacity to make decisions regarding neuroleptic medication, as
16.30	defined in section 253B.092, subdivision 5, the defendant's mental illness requires medical
16.31	treatment with neuroleptic medication, and, if the defendant's mental illness is not treated
16.32	with neuroleptic medication, it is probable that serious harm to the physical or mental health
16.33	of the patient defendant will result. Probability of serious harm to the physical or mental

REVISOR

23-00794

health of the defendant requires evidence that the defendant is presently suffering adverse
effects to the defendant's physical or mental health, or the defendant has previously suffered
these effects as a result of a mental illness and the defendant's condition is substantially
deteriorating or likely to deteriorate without administration of neuroleptic medication. The
fact that a defendant has a diagnosis of a mental illness does not alone establish probability
of serious harm to the physical or mental health of the defendant;

(2) the defendant lacks capacity to make decisions regarding neuroleptic medication, as 17.7 17.8 defined in section 253B.092, subdivision 5, neuroleptic medication is medically necessary, and the defendant is a danger to others, in that the defendant has inflicted, attempted to 17.9 inflict, or made a serious threat of inflicting substantial bodily harm on another while in 17.10 custody, or the defendant had inflicted, attempted to inflict, or made a serious threat of 17.11 inflicting substantial bodily harm on another that resulted in being taken into custody, and 17.12 the defendant presents, as a result of mental illness or cognitive impairment, a demonstrated 17.13 danger of inflicting substantial bodily harm on others. Demonstrated danger may be based 17.14 on an assessment of the defendant's present mental condition, including a consideration of 17.15 past behavior of the defendant and other relevant information; or 17.16

17.17 (3) the defendant lacks capacity to make decisions regarding neuroleptic medication, as
17.18 defined in section 253B.092, subdivision 5, and the defendant does not meet the criteria
17.19 under clause (1) or (2), but the state has shown by clear and convincing evidence that:

(i) the state has charged the defendant with a serious crime against the person or property;

(ii) involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication is substantially likely to renderthe defendant competent to stand trial;

(iii) the medication is unlikely to have side effects that interfere with the defendant's
ability to understand the nature of the criminal proceedings or to assist counsel in the conduct
of a defense in a reasonable manner;

(iv) less intrusive treatments are unlikely to have substantially the same results and
involuntary medication is necessary; and

(v) neuroleptic medication is in the <u>patient's defendant's</u> best medical interest in light of
the <u>patient's defendant's medical condition</u>.

17.30 (c) In ruling on a petition under this section, the court shall also take into consideration

17.31 any evidence on If the conditions described in paragraph (b), clause (1), (2), or (3), exist,

17.32 the court shall determine whether the following factors weigh in favor of authorizing the

17.33 involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication:

18.1	(1) what the patient defendant would choose to do in the situation if the patient defendant
18.2	had capacity, including evidence such as a durable power of attorney for health care under
18.3	chapter 145C;
18.4	(2) the defendant's family, community, moral, religious, and social values;
18.5	(3) the medical risks, benefits, and alternatives to the proposed treatment;
18.6	(4) past efficacy and any extenuating circumstances of past use of neuroleptic
18.7	medications; and
18.8	(5) any other relevant factors.
18.9	(d) In determining whether the defendant possesses capacity to consent to neuroleptic
18.10	medications, the court:
18.11	(1) must apply a rebuttable presumption that a defendant has the capacity to make
18.12	decisions regarding administration of neuroleptic medication;
10.10	
18.13	(2) must find that a defendant has the capacity to make decisions regarding the
18.14	administration of neuroleptic medication if the defendant:
18.15	(i) has an awareness of the nature of the defendant's situation and the possible
18.16	consequences of refusing treatment with neuroleptic medications;
18.17	(ii) has an understanding of treatment with neuroleptic medications and the risks, benefits,
18.18	and alternatives; and
18.19	(iii) communicates verbally or nonverbally a clear choice regarding treatment with
18.20	neuroleptic medications that is a reasoned one not based on a symptom of the defendant's
18.21	mental illness, even though it may not be in the defendant's best interests; and
18.22	(3) must not conclude that a defendant's decision is unreasonable based solely on a
18.23	disagreement with the medical practitioner's recommendation.
18.24	(e) (d) If consideration of the evidence presented on the factors in paragraph (c) weighs
18.25	weigh in favor of authorizing involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication, and the
18.26	court finds any of the conditions described in paragraph (b) to be true, the court shall issue
18.27	an order authorizing involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication to the defendant
18.28	when and as prescribed by the defendant's medical practitioner, including administration
18.29	by a treatment facility or correctional facility. The court order shall specify which medications
18.30	are authorized and may limit the maximum dosage of neuroleptic medication that may be
18.31	administered. The order shall be valid for no more than one year. An order may be renewed
18.32	by filing another petition under this section and following the process in this section. The

DTT/HL

order shall terminate no later than the closure of the criminal case in which it is issued. The 19.1 court shall not order involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication under paragraph 19.2 (b), clause (3), unless the court has first found that the defendant does not meet the criteria 19.3 for involuntary administration of neuroleptic medication under paragraph (b), clause (1), 19.4 and does not meet the criteria under paragraph (b), clause (2). 19.5

(f) A copy of the order must be given to the defendant, the defendant's attorney, the 19.6 county attorney, and the treatment facility or correctional facility where the defendant is 19.7 19.8 being served. The treatment facility, correctional facility, or treating medical practitioner may not begin administration of the neuroleptic medication until it notifies the patient 19.9 defendant of the court's order authorizing the treatment. 19.10

Subd. 4. Emergency administration. A treating medical practitioner may administer 19.11 neuroleptic medication to a defendant who does not have capacity to make a decision 19.12 regarding administration of the medication if the defendant is in an emergency situation. 19.13 Medication may be administered for so long as the emergency continues to exist, up to 14 19.14 days, if the treating medical practitioner determines that the medication is necessary to 19.15 prevent serious, immediate physical harm to the patient defendant or to others. If a request 19.16 for authorization to administer medication is made to the court within the 14 days, the 19.17 treating medical practitioner may continue the medication through the date of the first court 19.18 hearing, if the emergency continues to exist. The treating medical practitioner shall document 19.19 the emergency in the defendant's medical record in specific behavioral terms. 19.20

Subd. 5. Administration without judicial review. Neuroleptic medications may be 19.21 administered without judicial review under this subdivision if: 19.22

(1) the defendant has been prescribed neuroleptic medication prior to admission to a 19.23 facility or program, but lacks the present capacity to consent to the administration of that 19.24 neuroleptic medication; continued administration of the medication is in the patient's 19.25 19.26 defendant's best interest; and the defendant does not refuse administration of the medication. In this situation, the previously prescribed neuroleptic medication may be continued for up 19.27 to 14 days while the treating medical practitioner is requesting a court order authorizing 19.28 administering neuroleptic medication or an amendment to a current court order authorizing 19.29 administration of neuroleptic medication. If the treating medical practitioner requests a court 19.30 19.31 order under this section within 14 days, the treating medical practitioner may continue administering the medication to the patient defendant through the hearing date or until the 19.32 court otherwise issues an order; or 19.33

(2) the defendant does not have the present capacity to consent to the administration of
neuroleptic medication, but prepared a health care power of attorney or a health care directive
under chapter 145C requesting treatment or authorizing an agent or proxy to request
treatment, and the agent or proxy has requested the treatment.

Subd. 6. **Defendants with capacity to make informed decision.** If the court finds that the defendant has the capacity to decide whether to take neuroleptic medication, a facility or program may not administer medication without the <u>patient's defendant's</u> informed written consent or without the declaration of an emergency, or until further review by the court.

Subd. 7. **Procedure when patient defendant refuses medication.** If physical force is required to administer the neuroleptic medication, the facility or program may only use injectable medications. If physical force is needed to administer the medication, medication may only be administered in a setting where the <u>person's defendant's</u> condition can be reassessed and medical personnel qualified to administer medication are available, including in the community or a correctional facility. The facility or program may not use a nasogastric tube to administer neuroleptic medication involuntarily.

20.16 Sec. 28. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.48, is amended to read:

20.17 **611.48 REVIEW HEARINGS.**

The prosecutor or defense counsel may apply to the court for a hearing to review the defendant's competency <u>restoration attainment</u> programming. All parties are entitled to notice before the hearing. The hearing shall be held no later than 30 days after the date of the request, unless extended upon agreement of the prosecutor and defense counsel or by the court for good cause.

20.23 Sec. 29. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.49, is amended to read:

20.24 611.49 LIKELIHOOD TO ATTAIN COMPETENCY.

20.25 Subdivision 1. Applicability. (a) The court may hold a hearing on its own initiative or 20.26 upon request of either party to determine whether the defendant is likely to attain competency 20.27 in the foreseeable future when the most recent court examiner's report states that the defendant 20.28 is unlikely to attain competency in the foreseeable future, and either:

20.29 (1) <u>the</u> defendant has not <u>been restored to competence attained competency</u> after
20.30 participating and cooperating with court-ordered competency <u>restoration attainment</u>
20.31 programming for at least one year; or

21.1 (2) the defendant has not received timely competency restoration attainment services
21.2 under section 611.46 after one year.

(b) The court cannot find a defendant unlikely to attain competency based upon a
defendant's refusal to cooperate with or remain at a certified competency program or
cooperate with an examination.

(c) The parties are entitled to 30 days of notice prior to the hearing and, unless the parties
agree to a longer time period, the court must determine within 30 days after the hearing
whether there is a substantial probability that the defendant will attain competency within
the foreseeable future.

21.10 (d) A party attempting to demonstrate that there is a substantial probability that the
21.11 defendant will attain competency within the foreseeable future must prove that probability
21.12 by a preponderance of the evidence.

Subd. 2. **Procedure.** (a) If the court finds that there is a substantial probability that the defendant will attain competency within the reasonably foreseeable future, the court shall find the defendant incompetent and proceed under section 611.46.

(b) If the court finds that there is not a substantial probability the defendant will attain competency within the reasonably foreseeable future, the court may not order the defendant to participate in or continue to participate in a competency restoration <u>attainment program</u> in a locked treatment facility. The court must release the defendant from any custody holds pertaining to the underlying criminal case and require the forensic navigator to develop a bridge plan.

(c) If the court finds that there is not a substantial probability the defendant will attain
competency within the foreseeable future, the court may issue an order to the designated
agency in the county of financial responsibility or the county where the defendant is present
to conduct a prepetition screening pursuant to section 253B.07.

21.26 (d) If a hearing is held under this subdivision and the criteria pursuant to subdivision 1,

21.27 paragraphs (a) and (b) are satisfied, a party attempting to demonstrate that there is a

21.28 substantial probability that the defendant will attain competency within the foreseeable

21.29 future must prove by a preponderance of the evidence.

21.30 (e) (d) If the court finds that there is not a substantial probability that the defendant will 21.31 attain competency within the foreseeable future, the court must dismiss the case unless:

21.32 (1) the person is charged with a violation of section 609.185 (murder in the first degree);
21.33 609.19 (murder in the second degree); 609.195 (murder in the third degree); 609.20

(manslaughter in the first degree); 609.205 (manslaughter in the second degree); 609.2112
(criminal vehicular homicide); 609.2114, subdivision 1 (criminal vehicular operation, death
to an unborn child); 609.2661 (murder of an unborn child in the first degree); 609.2662
(murder of an unborn child in the second degree); 609.2663 (murder of an unborn child in
the third degree); 609.2664 (manslaughter of an unborn child in the first degree); or 609.2665
(manslaughter of an unborn child in the second degree); or a crime of violence as defined
in section 624.712, subdivision 5, except for a violation of chapter 152; or

22.8 (2) there is a showing of a danger to public safety if the matter is dismissed.

22.9 (f) (e) If the court does not dismiss the charges, the court must order continued supervision
 22.10 under subdivision 3.

Subd. 3. Continued supervision. (a) If the court orders the continued supervision of a
defendant, any party may request a hearing on the issue of continued supervision by filing
a notice no more than ten days after the order for continued supervision.

(b) When continued supervision is ordered, the court must identify the supervisory
agency responsible for the supervision of the defendant, including but not limited to directing
and may identify a forensic navigator as the responsible entity.

(c) Notwithstanding the reporting requirements of section 611.46, subdivision 6, the
court examiner must provide an updated report to the court one year after the initial order
for continued supervision as to the defendant's competency and a description of the efforts
made to restore assist the defendant to in attaining competency. The court shall hold a review
hearing within 30 days of receipt of the report.

(d) If continued supervision is ordered at the review hearing under paragraph (c), the 22.22 court must set a date for a review hearing no later than two years after the most recent order 22.23 for continuing supervision. The court must order review of the defendant's status, including 22.24 an updated competency examination and report by the court examiner. The court examiner 22.25 must submit the updated report to the court. At the review hearing, the court must determine 22.26 if the defendant has attained competency, whether there is a substantial probability that the 22.27 defendant will attain competency within the foreseeable future, and whether the absence of 22.28 continuing supervision of the defendant is a danger to public safety. Notwithstanding 22.29 subdivision 2, paragraph (e) (d), the court may hear any motions to dismiss pursuant to the 22.30 interest of justice at the review hearing. 22.31

(e) Continued supervision of a defendant in cases where the most serious charge is a
 targeted misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor is subject to the limitations established in
 section 611.45, subdivision 3, paragraph (b).

Sec. 29.

(e) (f) The court may not order continued supervision of a defendant charged with a 23.1 felony for more than ten years unless the defendant is charged with a violation of section 23.2 609.185 (murder in the first degree); 609.19 (murder in the second degree); 609.195 (murder 23.3 in the third degree); 609.20 (manslaughter in the first degree); 609.205 (manslaughter in 23.4 the second degree); 609.2112 (criminal vehicular homicide); 609.2114, subdivision 1 23.5 (criminal vehicular operation, death to an unborn child); 609.2661 (murder of an unborn 23.6 child in the first degree); 609.2662 (murder of an unborn child in the second degree); 23.7 609.2663 (murder of an unborn child in the third degree); 609.2664 (manslaughter of an 23.8 unborn child in the first degree); or 609.2665 (manslaughter of an unborn child in the second 23.9 degree); or a crime of violence as defined in section 624.712, subdivision 5, except for a 23.10 violation of chapter 152. 23.11

(f) (g) At any time, the head of the program may discharge the defendant from the 23.12 program or facility. The head of the program must notify the court, prosecutor, defense 23.13 counsel, forensic navigator, and any entity responsible for the supervision of the defendant 23.14 prior to any planned discharge. Absent emergency circumstances, this notification shall be 23.15 made five days prior to the discharge. If the defendant is discharged from the program or 23.16 facility under emergency circumstances, notification of emergency discharge shall include 23.17 a description of the emergency circumstances and may include a request for emergency 23.18 transportation. The court shall make a determination on a request for emergency 23.19 transportation within 24 hours. Nothing in this section prohibits a law enforcement agency 23.20 from transporting a defendant pursuant to any other authority. 23.21

23.22 (g) (h) The court may provide, partner, or contract for pretrial supervision services or
 23.23 continued supervision if the defendant is found incompetent and unlikely to attain competency
 23.24 in the foreseeable future.

23.25 Sec. 30. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.51, is amended to read:

23.26

611.51 CREDIT FOR CONFINEMENT.

If the defendant is convicted, any time spent confined in a secure setting while
being assessed and restored to or receiving competency attainment services must be credited
as time served.

23.30 Sec. 31. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.55, is amended to read:

23.31 611.55 FORENSIC NAVIGATOR SERVICES.

23.32 Subdivision 1. Definition. As used in this section, "board" means the State Competency
 23.33 Restoration Attainment Board established in section 611.56.

Sec. 31.

REVISOR

Subd. 2. Availability of forensic navigator services. The board must provide or contract

for enough forensic navigator services to meet the needs of adult defendants in each judicial

24.1

24.2

district who are found incompetent to proceed. 24.3 Subd. 3. Duties. (a) Forensic navigators shall assist and supervise defendants when 24.4 appointed to do so by a court. Forensic navigators shall be impartial in all legal matters 24.5 relating to the criminal case. Nothing shall be construed to permit the forensic navigator to 24.6 provide legal counsel as a representative of the court, prosecutor, or defense counsel. Forensie 24.7 24.8 navigators shall be required to report compliance and noncompliance with pretrial supervision and any orders of the court. 24.9 24.10 (b) Forensic navigators shall provide services to assist defendants with mental illnesses and cognitive impairments. Services may include, but are not limited to: 24.11 (1) developing bridge plans; 24.12 (2) assisting defendants in participating in court-ordered examinations and hearings; 24.13 (3) coordinating timely placement in court-ordered competency restoration attainment 24.14 24.15 programs; (4) providing competency restoration attainment education; 24.16 (5) reporting to the court on the progress of defendants found incompetent to stand trial; 24.17 (6) providing coordinating services to help defendants access needed mental health 24.18 services, medical care, stable housing and housing assistance, financial assistance, social 24.19 services, transportation, precharge and pretrial diversion, and other necessary services 24.20 provided by other programs and community service providers; 24.21 (7) communicating with and offering supportive resources to defendants and family 24.22 members of defendants; and 24.23 24.24 (8) providing consultation and education to court officials on emerging issues and innovations in serving defendants with mental illnesses in the court system. 24.25 24.26 (c) When ordered to supervise a defendant, a forensic navigator shall report to the court on a defendant's compliance or noncompliance with conditions of pretrial supervision and 24.27 any order of the court. 24.28 (c) (d) If a defendant's charges are dismissed, the appointed forensic navigator may 24.29 continue assertive outreach with the individual for up to 90 days to assist in attaining stability 24.30 in the community. 24.31 Sec. 31. 24

25.1	Subd. 4. Bridge plans. (a) The Forensic navigator navigators must prepare bridge plans
25.2	to assist with a stable transition back into the community. A forensic navigator must prepare
25.3	a bridge plan with the defendant and submit them the bridge plan to the court. Bridge plans
25.4	must be submitted before the time the court makes a competency finding pursuant to section
25.5	611.45. The A bridge plan must include:
25.6 25.7	(1) a confirmed housing address the defendant will use upon release, including but not limited to emergency shelters;
25.8	(2) if possible, the dates, times, locations, and contact information for any appointments
25.9	made to further coordinate support and assistance for the defendant in the community,
25.10	including but not limited to mental health and substance use disorder treatment, or a list of
25.11	referrals to services; and
25.12	(3) any other referrals, resources, or recommendations the forensic navigator or court
25.13	deems necessary.
25.14	(b) Bridge plans and any supporting records or other data submitted with those plans
25.15	are not accessible to the public.
25.16	Sec. 32. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.56, is amended to read:
25.17	611.56 STATE COMPETENCY RESTORATION ATTAINMENT BOARD.
25.18	Subdivision 1. Establishment; membership. (a) The State Competency Restoration
25.19	Attainment Board is established in the judicial branch. The board is not subject to the
25.20	administrative control of the judiciary. The board shall consist of seven members, including:
25.21	(1) three members appointed by the supreme court, at least one of whom must be a
25.21	defense attorney, one a county attorney, and one public member; and
23.22	defense automey, one a county automey, and one public member, and
25.23	(2) four members appointed by the governor, at least one of whom must be a mental
25.24	health professional with experience in competency restoration attainment.
25.25	(b) The appointing authorities may not appoint an active judge to be a member of the
25.26	board, but may appoint a retired judge.
25.27	(c) All members must demonstrate an interest in maintaining a high quality, independent
25.28	forensic navigator program and a thorough process for certification of competency restoration
25.29	attainment programs. Members shall be familiar with the Minnesota Rules of Criminal
25.30	Procedure, particularly rule 20; chapter 253B; and sections 611.40 to 611.59. Following
25.31	the initial terms of appointment, at least one member appointed by the supreme court must
25.32	have previous experience working as a forensic navigator. At least three members of the

board shall live outside the First, Second, Fourth, and Tenth Judicial Districts. The terms,
compensation, and removal of members shall be as provided in section 15.0575. The members
shall elect the chair from among the membership for a term of two years.

Subd. 2. Duties and responsibilities. (a) The board shall create and administer a statewide, independent competency restoration attainment system that certifies competency restoration attainment programs and uses forensic navigators to promote prevention and diversion of people with mental illnesses and cognitive impairments from entering the legal system, support defendants with mental illness and cognitive impairments, support defendants in the competency process, and assist courts and partners in coordinating competency restoration attainment services.

26.11 (b) The board shall:

26.12 (1) approve and recommend to the legislature a budget for the board and the forensic26.13 navigator program;

26.14 (2) establish procedures for distribution of funding under this section to the forensic26.15 navigator program;

(3) establish forensic navigator standards, administrative policies, procedures, and rules
 consistent with statute, rules of court, and laws that affect a forensic navigator's work;

26.18 (4) establish certification requirements for competency restoration attainment programs;
 26.19 and

26.20 (5) carry out the programs under sections 611.57, 611.58, and 611.59.

26.21 (c) The board may:

(1) adopt standards, policies, or procedures necessary to ensure quality assistance for
defendants found incompetent to stand trial and charged with a felony, gross misdemeanor,
or targeted misdemeanor, or for defendants found incompetent to stand trial who have
recurring incidents;

26.26 (2) establish district forensic navigator offices as provided in subdivision 4; and

26.27 (3) propose statutory changes to the legislature and rule changes to the supreme court26.28 that would facilitate the effective operation of the forensic navigator program.

Subd. 3. Administrator. The board shall appoint a program administrator who serves at the pleasure of the board. The program administrator shall attend all meetings of the board and the Certification Advisory Committee, but may not vote, and shall:

(1) carry out all administrative functions necessary for the efficient and effective operation
of the board and the program, including but not limited to hiring, supervising, and disciplining
program staff and forensic navigators;

(2) implement, as necessary, resolutions, standards, rules, regulations, and policies ofthe board;

(3) keep the board fully advised as to its financial condition, and prepare and submit to
the board the annual program and budget and other financial information as requested by
the board;

(4) recommend to the board the adoption of rules and regulations necessary for theefficient operation of the board and the program; and

27.11 (5) perform other duties prescribed by the board.

Subd. 4. District offices. The board may establish district forensic navigator offices in
counties, judicial districts, or other areas where the number of defendants receiving
competency restoration attainment services requires more than one full-time forensic
navigator and establishment of an office is fiscally responsible and in the best interest of
defendants found to be incompetent.

Subd. 5. Administration. The board may contract with the Office of State Court
Administrator for administrative support services for the fiscal years following fiscal year
27.19 2022.

Subd. 6. Fees and costs; civil actions on contested case. Sections 15.039 and 15.471
to 15.474 apply to the State Competency Restoration Attainment Board.

Subd. 7. Access to records. Access to records of the board is subject to the Rules of
Public Access for Records of the Judicial Branch. The board may propose amendments for
supreme court consideration.

27.25 Sec. 33. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.57, is amended to read:

27.26 611.57 CERTIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Subdivision 1. Establishment. The Certification Advisory Committee is established to
provide the State Competency Restoration <u>Attainment</u> Board with advice and expertise
related to the certification of competency restoration <u>attainment</u> programs, including
jail-based programs.

27.31 Subd. 2. Membership. (a) The Certification Advisory Committee consists of the27.32 following members:

Sec. 33.

	12/16/22	REVISOR	DTT/HL	23-00794
28.1 28.2	(1) a mental health professional, community behavioral health exper			n 27, with
28.3	(2) a board-certified forensic psy	-		
28.4	providing competency restoration a	ttainment services, or	both, appointed by	the governor;
28.5	(3) a board-certified forensic psy	ychologist with exper	ience in competency	v evaluations,
28.6	providing competency restoration a	ttainment services, or	both, appointed by	the governor;
28.7	(4) the president of the Minneso	ta Corrections Associ	ation or a designee;	
28.8	(5) the direct care and treatment	deputy commissioner	r or a designee;	
28.9	(6) the president of the Minnesot	a Association of Cou	nty Social Service A	dministrators
28.10	or a designee;			
28.11	(7) the president of the Minneso	ta Association of Con	nmunity Mental Hea	alth Providers
28.12	or a designee;			
28.13	(8) the president of the Minneso	ta Sheriffs' Associatio	on or a designee; and	d
28.14	(9) the executive director of the	National Alliance on	Mental Illness Mini	nesota or a
28.15	designee.			
28.16	(b) Members of the advisory con	nmittee serve without	compensation and a	t the pleasure
28.17	of the appointing authority. Vacanci	es shall be filled by th	e appointing author	rity consistent
28.18	with the qualifications of the vacation	ng member required b	by this subdivision.	
28.19	Subd. 3. Meetings. At its first m	neeting, the advisory of	committee shall elec	t a chair and
28.20	may elect a vice-chair. The advisory	committee shall mee	t at least monthly or	upon the call
28.21	the chair. The advisory committee s	hall meet sufficiently	enough to accompl	ish the tasks
28.22	identified in this section.			
28.23	Subd. 4. Duties. The Certification	n Advisory Committee	e shall consult with th	e Department
28.24	of Human Services, the Department	of Health, and the D	epartment of Correc	tions; make
28.25	recommendations to the State Comp	petency Restoration A	<u>attainment</u> Board reg	garding
28.26	competency restoration attainment	curriculum, certificati	on requirements for	competency
28.27	restoration attainment programs inclu	iding jail-based progra	ms, and certification	ofindividuals
28.28	to provide competency restoration a	<u>ittainment</u> services; an	nd provide informat	ion and
	1		, , . ,, .	1

recommendations on other issues relevant to competency restoration attainment as requestedby the board.

REVISOR

DTT/HL

Sec. 34. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.58, is amended to read: 29.1 611.58 COMPETENCY RESTORATION ATTAINMENT CURRICULUM AND 29.2

CERTIFICATION. 29.3

Subdivision 1. Curriculum. (a) By January 1, 2023, the board must recommend a 29.4 competency restoration attainment curriculum to educate and assist defendants found 29.5 incompetent in attaining the ability to: 29.6

- (1) rationally consult with counsel; 29.7
- (2) understand the proceedings; and 29.8

(3) participate in the defense. 29.9

(b) The curriculum must be flexible enough to be delivered in community and correctional 29.10 settings by individuals with various levels of education and qualifications, including but 29.11 not limited to professionals in criminal justice, health care, mental health care, and social 29.12 services. The board must review and update the curriculum as needed. 29.13

29.14 Subd. 2. Certification and distribution. By January 1, 2023, the board must develop a process for certifying individuals to deliver the competency restoration attainment 29.15 curriculum and make the curriculum available to every certified competency restoration 29.16 attainment program and forensic navigator in the state. Each competency restoration 29.17 attainment program in the state must use the competency restoration attainment curriculum 29.18 under this section as the foundation for delivering competency restoration attainment 29.19 education and must not substantially alter the content. 29.20

Sec. 35. Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 611.59, is amended to read: 29.21

29.22

611.59 COMPETENCY RESTORATION ATTAINMENT PROGRAMS.

Subdivision 1. Availability and certification. The board must provide or contract for 29.23 enough competency restoration attainment services to meet the needs of adult defendants 29.24 in each judicial district who are found incompetent to proceed and do not have access to 29.25 29.26 competency restoration attainment services as a part of any other programming in which they are ordered to participate. The board, in consultation with the Certification Advisory 29.27 Committee, shall develop procedures to certify that the standards in this section are met, 29.28 including procedures for regular recertification of competency restoration attainment 29.29 programs. The board shall maintain a list of programs it has certified competency restoration 29.30 programs on the board's website to be updated and shall update the list of competency 29.31 attainment programs at least once every year. 29.32

30.1 Subd. 2. Competency restoration <u>attainment</u> provider standards. Except for jail-based
 30.2 programs, a competency restoration attainment provider must:

30.3 (1) be able to provide the appropriate mental health or substance use disorder treatment
30.4 ordered by the court, including but not limited to treatment in inpatient, residential, and
30.5 home-based settings;

30.6 (2) ensure that competency <u>restoration attainment</u> education certified by the board is
 30.7 provided to defendants and that regular assessments of defendants' progress in attaining
 30.8 competency are documented;

30.9 (3) designate a head of the program knowledgeable in the processes and requirements
 30.10 of the competency to stand trial procedures; and

30.11 (4) develop staff procedures or designate a person responsible to ensure timely
 30.12 communication with the court system.

30.13 Subd. 3. Jail-based competency restoration attainment standards. Jail-based
30.14 competency restoration attainment programs must be housed in correctional facilities licensed
30.15 by the Department of Corrections under section 241.021 and must:

30.16 (1) have a designated program director who meets minimum qualification standards set
30.17 by the board, including understanding the requirements of competency to stand trial
30.18 procedures;

30.19 (2) provide minimum mental health services including:

30.20 (i) <u>having multidisciplinary staff sufficient to monitor defendants and provide timely</u>
 30.21 assessments, treatment, and referrals as needed, including at least one medical professional
 30.22 licensed to prescribe psychiatric medication;

30.23 (ii) prescribing, dispensing, and administering any medication deemed clinically
 30.24 appropriate by qualified medical professionals; and

30.25 (iii) <u>having policies and procedures for the administration of involuntary medication;</u>

30.26 (3) ensure that competency restoration attainment education certified by the board is
30.27 provided to defendants and regular assessments of defendants' progress in attaining
30.28 competency to stand trial are documented;

30.29 (4) develop staff procedures or designate a person responsible to ensure timely
 30.30 communication with the court system; and

30.31 (5) designate a space in the correctional facility for the program.

- 31.1 Subd. 4. **Program evaluations.** (a) The board shall collect the following data:
- 31.2 (1) the total number of competency examinations ordered in each judicial district
 31.3 separated by county;
- 31.4 (2) the age, race, and number of unique defendants and for whom at least one competency
 31.5 examination was ordered in each judicial district separated by county;
- (3) the age, race, and number of unique defendants found incompetent at least once in
 each judicial district separated by county; and
- 31.8 (4) all available data on the level of charge and adjudication of cases with a defendant
 31.9 found incompetent and whether a forensic navigator was assigned to the case.
- (b) By February 15 of each year, the board must report to the legislative committees and
 divisions with jurisdiction over human services, public safety, and the judiciary on the data
 collected under this subdivision and may include recommendations for statutory or funding
 changes related to competency restoration <u>attainment</u>.
- 31.14 Sec. 36. Laws 2022, chapter 99, article 3, section 1, is amended to read:

31.15 Section 1. APPROPRIATION BASE ESTABLISHED; COMPETENCY 31.16 RESTORATION ATTAINMENT.

31.17 Subdivision 1. Department of Corrections. The general fund appropriation base for
31.18 the commissioner of corrections is \$202,000 in fiscal year 2024 and \$202,000 in fiscal year
31.19 2025 for correctional facilities inspectors.

- Subd. 2. District courts. The general fund appropriation base for the district courts is
 \$5,042,000 in fiscal year 2024 and \$5,042,000 in fiscal year 2025 for costs associated with
 additional competency examination costs.
- 31.23 Subd. 3. State Competency Restoration <u>Attainment</u> Board. The general fund 31.24 appropriation base for the State Competency <u>Restoration Attainment</u> Board is \$11,350,000 31.25 in fiscal year 2024 and \$10,900,000 in fiscal year 2025 for staffing and other costs needed 31.26 to establish and perform the duties of the State Competency <u>Restoration Attainment</u> Board, 31.27 including providing educational services necessary to <u>restore defendants to assist defendants</u> 31.28 <u>in attaining</u> competency, or contracting or partnering with other organizations to provide 31.29 those services.

32.1	Sec. 37. COMPETENCY ATTAINMENT BOARD; ESTABLISHMENT;
32.2	APPROPRIATION.
32.3	\$ in fiscal year 2023 is appropriated from the general fund to the State Competency
32.4	Attainment Board to establish the board, hire an administrator and any other necessary staff,
32.5	establish appropriate standards and requirements for a forensic navigator program, and
32.6	establish certifications requirements and procedures for competency attainment curriculum
32.7	and programs.
32.8	Sec. 38. EFFECTIVE DATE.

32.9 Sections 1 to 37 are effective the day following final enactment.