Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert: 1.2 "Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section 114D.30, subdivision 4, 1.3 is amended to read: 1.4 Subd. 4. Terms; compensation; removal. The terms of members representing the 1.5 state agencies and the Metropolitan Council are four years and are coterminous with the 1.6 governor. The terms of other nonlegislative members of the council shall be as provided 1.7 in section 15.059, subdivision 2. Members may serve until their successors are appointed 1.8 and qualify. Compensation and removal of nonlegislative council members is as provided 1.9 in section 15.059, subdivisions 3 and 4. Compensation of legislative members is as 1.10 determined by the appointing authority. The Pollution Control Agency may reimburse 1.11 legislative members for expenses. A vacancy on the council may be filled by the 1.12 appointing authority provided in subdivision 1 for the remainder of the unexpired term. 1.13 Sec. 2. Laws 2009, chapter 172, article 2, section 4, as amended by Laws 2010, chapter 1.14 361, article 2, section 2, and Laws 2011, First Special Session chapter 6, article 2, section 1.15 23, is amended to read: 1.16 Sec. 4. POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY 24,076,000 \$ \$ 27,630,000 1.17 (a) \$9,000,000 the first year and \$9,000,000 1.18 the second year are to develop total 1.19 maximum daily load (TMDL) studies and 1.20 1.21 TMDL implementation plans for waters listed on the United States Environmental 1.22 Protection Agency approved impaired 1 23 waters list in accordance with Minnesota 1.24 Statutes, chapter 114D. The agency shall 1.25

..... moves to amend H.F. No. 2806 as follows:

1.1

2.1	complete an average of ten percent of the
2.2	TMDLs each year over the biennium. Of
2.3	this amount, \$348,000 the first year is to
2.4	retest the comprehensive assessment of the
2.5	biological conditions of the lower Minnesota
2.6	River and its tributaries within the Lower
2.7	Minnesota River Major Watershed, as
2.8	previously assessed from 1976 to 1992 under
2.9	the Minnesota River Assessment Project
2.10	(MRAP). The assessment must include the
2.11	same fish species sampling at the same 116
2.12	locations and the same macroinvertebrate
2.13	sampling at the same 41 locations as the
2.14	MRAP assessment. The assessment must:
2.15	(1) include an analysis of the findings; and
2.16	(2) identify factors that limit aquatic life in
2.17	the Minnesota River.
2.18	Of this amount, \$250,000 the first year is
<ul><li>2.18</li><li>2.19</li></ul>	Of this amount, \$250,000 the first year is for a pilot project for the development of
	•
2.19	for a pilot project for the development of
2.19 2.20	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies
<ul><li>2.19</li><li>2.20</li><li>2.21</li></ul>	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within
<ul><li>2.19</li><li>2.20</li><li>2.21</li><li>2.22</li></ul>	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to
<ul><li>2.19</li><li>2.20</li><li>2.21</li><li>2.22</li><li>2.23</li></ul>	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality
<ul><li>2.19</li><li>2.20</li><li>2.21</li><li>2.22</li><li>2.23</li><li>2.24</li></ul>	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot
<ul><li>2.19</li><li>2.20</li><li>2.21</li><li>2.22</li><li>2.23</li><li>2.24</li><li>2.25</li></ul>	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26 2.27	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all impaired subwatersheds within the Buffalo
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26 2.27 2.28	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all impaired subwatersheds within the Buffalo River watershed and provide information
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26 2.27 2.28 2.29	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all impaired subwatersheds within the Buffalo River watershed and provide information necessary to complete reports for most of the
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26 2.27 2.28 2.29 2.30	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all impaired subwatersheds within the Buffalo River watershed and provide information necessary to complete reports for most of the remaining watersheds, including analysis of
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26 2.27 2.28 2.29 2.30 2.31	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all impaired subwatersheds within the Buffalo River watershed and provide information necessary to complete reports for most of the remaining watersheds, including analysis of water quality data, identification of sources
2.19 2.20 2.21 2.22 2.23 2.24 2.25 2.26 2.27 2.28 2.29 2.30 2.31 2.32	for a pilot project for the development of total maximum daily load (TMDL) studies conducted on a watershed basis within the Buffalo River watershed in order to protect, enhance, and restore water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams. The pilot project shall include all necessary field work to develop TMDL studies for all impaired subwatersheds within the Buffalo River watershed and provide information necessary to complete reports for most of the remaining watersheds, including analysis of water quality data, identification of sources of water quality degradation and stressors,

3.1	standards, and development of reports that
3.2	provide information necessary to complete
3.3	TMDL studies for subwatersheds that do not
3.4	meet water quality standards, but are not
3.5	listed as impaired.
3.6	(b) \$500,000 the first year is for development
3.7	of an enhanced TMDL database to manage
3.8	and track progress. Of this amount, \$63,000
3.9	the first year is to promulgate rules. By
3.10	November 1, 2010, the commissioner shall
3.11	submit a report to the chairs of the house of
3.12	representatives and senate committees with
3.13	jurisdiction over environment and natural
3.14	resources finance on the outcomes achieved
3.15	with this appropriation.
3.16	(c) \$1,500,000 the first year and \$3,169,000
3.17	the second year are for grants under
3.18	Minnesota Statutes, section 116.195, to
3.19	political subdivisions for up to 50 percent of
3.20	the costs to predesign, design, and implement
3.21	capital projects that use storm water or
3.22	treated municipal wastewater instead of
3.23	groundwater from drinking water aquifers,
3.24	in order to demonstrate the beneficial use
3.25	of wastewater or storm water, including
3.26	the conservation and protection of water
3.27	resources. Of Notwithstanding section
3.28	116.195, of this amount, \$1,000,000 the first
3.29	year is for grants a direct grant to an ethanol
3.30	plants plant in Stevens County that are is
3.31	within one and one-half miles of a city for
3.32	improvements that use storm water or reuse
3.33	greater than 300,000 gallons of wastewater
3.34	per day utilize effluent from a commercial
3.35	water-treatment system and conserve and

3.1

03/13/12 07:11 PM	HOUSE RESEARCH	JT/JV	H2806DE1

4.1	protect water resources. This appropriation
4.2	is available until June 30, 2016.
4.3	(d) \$1,125,000 the first year and \$1,125,000
4.4	the second year are for groundwater
4.5	assessment and drinking water protection to
4.6	include:
4.7	(1) the installation and sampling of at least
4.8	30 new monitoring wells;
4.9	(2) the analysis of samples from at least 40
4.10	shallow monitoring wells each year for the
4.11	presence of endocrine disrupting compounds;
4.12	and
4.13	(3) the completion of at least four to
4.14	five groundwater models for TMDL and
4.15	watershed plans.
4.16	(e) \$2,500,000 the first year is for the clean
4.17	water partnership program. Priority shall be
4.18	given to projects preventing impairments and
4.19	degradation of lakes, rivers, streams, and
4.20	groundwater in accordance with Minnesota
4.21	Statutes, section 114D.20, subdivision 2,
4.22	clause (4). Any balance remaining in the first
4.23	year does not cancel and is available for the
4.24	second year.
4.25	(f) \$896,000 the first year is to establish
4.26	a network of water monitoring sites, to
4.27	include at least 20 additional sites, in public
4.28	waters adjacent to wastewater treatment
4.29	facilities across the state to assess levels of
4.30	endocrine-disrupting compounds, antibiotic
4.31	compounds, and pharmaceuticals as required
4.32	in this article. The data must be placed on
4.33	the agency's Web site.

5.1	(g) \$155,000 the first year is to provide
5.2	notification of the potential for coal tar
5.3	contamination, establish a storm water
5.4	pond inventory schedule, and develop best
5.5	management practices for treating and
5.6	cleaning up contaminated sediments as
5.7	required in this article. \$490,000 the second
5.8	year is to provide grants to local units of
5.9	government for up to 50 percent of the costs
5.10	to implement best management practices to
5.11	treat or clean up contaminated sediments
5.12	in storm water ponds and other waters as
5.13	defined under this article. Local governments
5.14	must have adopted an ordinance for the
5.15	restricted use of undiluted coal tar sealants
5.16	in order to be eligible for a grant, unless a
5.17	statewide restriction has been implemented.
5.18	A grant awarded under this paragraph must
5.19	not exceed \$100,000. Up to \$145,000 of the
5.20	appropriation in the second year may be used
5.21	to complete work required under section 28,
5.22	paragraph (c).
5.23	(h) \$350,000 the first year and \$600,000 the
5.24	second year are for a restoration project in
5.25	the lower St. Louis River and Duluth harbor
5.26	in order to improve water quality. This
5.27	appropriation must be matched by nonstate
5.28	money at a rate of at least \$2 for every \$1 of
5.29	state money.
5.30	(i) \$150,000 the first year and \$196,000 the
5.31	second year are for grants to the Red River
5.32	Watershed Management Board to enhance
5.33	and expand existing river watch activities in
5.34	the Red River of the North. The Red River
5.35	Watershed Management Board shall provide
5.36	a report that includes formal evaluation

6.1	results from the river watch program to the
6.2	commissioners of education and the Pollution
6.3	Control Agency and to the legislative natural
6.4	resources finance and policy committees
6.5	and K-12 finance and policy committees by
6.6	February 15, 2011.
6.7	(j) \$200,000 the first year and \$300,000 the
6.8	second year are for coordination with the
6.9	state of Wisconsin and the National Park
6.10	Service on comprehensive water monitoring
6.11	and phosphorus reduction activities in the
6.12	Lake St. Croix portion of the St. Croix
6.13	River. The Pollution Control Agency
6.14	shall work with the St. Croix Basin Water
6.15	Resources Planning Team and the St. Croix
6.16	River Association in implementing the
6.17	water monitoring and phosphorus reduction
6.18	activities. This appropriation is available
6.19	to the extent matched by nonstate sources.
6.20	Money not matched by November 15, 2010,
6.21	cancels for this purpose and is available for
6.22	the purposes of paragraph (a).
6.23	(k) \$7,500,000 the first year and \$7,500,000
6.24	the second year are for completion of 20
6.25	percent of the needed statewide assessments
6.26	of surface water quality and trends. Of this
6.27	amount, \$175,000 the first year and \$200,000
6.28	the second year are for monitoring and
6.29	analyzing endocrine disruptors in surface
6.30	waters.
6.31	(l) \$100,000 the first year and \$150,000
6.32	the second year are for civic engagement
6.33	in TMDL development. The agency shall
6.34	develop a plan for expenditures under
6.35	this paragraph. The agency shall give

03/13/12 07:11 PM	HOUSE RESEARCH	JT/JV	H2806DE1
consideration to civic engagement proposals			
from basin or sub-basin organizations,			
including the Mississippi Headwaters Board,			
the Minnesota River Joint Powers Board,			
Area II Minnesota River Basin Projects,			

- 7.4
- 7.5
- and the Red River Basin Commission. 7.6
- By November 15, 2009, the plan shall be 7.7
- submitted to the house and senate chairs 7.8
- and ranking minority members of the 7.9
- environmental finance divisions. 7.10
- (m) \$5,000,000 the second year is for 7.11
- groundwater protection or prevention of 7.12
- 7.13 groundwater degradation activities. By
- January 15, 2010, the commissioner, in 7.14
- consultation with the commissioner of 7.15
- 7.16 natural resources, the Board of Water and
- Soil Resources, and other agencies, shall 7.17
- submit a report to the chairs of the house of 7.18
- representatives and senate committees with 7.19
- jurisdiction over the clean water fund on the 7.20
- intended use of these funds. The legislature 7.21
- must approve expenditure of these funds by 7.22
- law. 7.23

7.1

7.2

7.3

- Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 7.24
- 16A.28, the appropriations encumbered on or 7.25
- before June 30, 2011, as grants or contracts in 7.26
- this section are available until June 30, 2013. 7.27
- Sec. 3. Laws 2011, First Special Session chapter 6, article 2, section 7, is amended to 7.28
- read: 7.29
- Sec. 7. BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL <del>27,534,000</del> 7.30 RESOURCES \$ 27,534,000 \$ 31,734,000 7.31
- (a) \$13,750,000 the first year and 7.32
- \$13,750,000 \$15,350,000 the second year are 7.33
- for pollution reduction and restoration grants 7.34

8.1	to local government units and joint powers
8.2	organizations of local government units to
8.3	protect surface water and drinking water; to
8.4	keep water on the land; to protect, enhance,
8.5	and restore water quality in lakes, rivers,
8.6	and streams; and to protect groundwater
8.7	and drinking water, including feedlot water
8.8	quality and subsurface sewage treatment
8.9	system (SSTS) projects and stream bank,
8.10	stream channel, and shoreline restoration
8.11	projects. The projects must be of long-lasting
8.12	public benefit, include a match, and be
8.13	consistent with TMDL implementation plans
8.14	or local water management plans.
8.15	(b) \$3,000,000 the first year and \$3,000,000
8.16	\$3,600,000 the second year are for targeted
8.17	local resource protection and enhancement
8.18	grants. The board shall give priority
8.19	consideration to projects and practices
8.20	that complement, supplement, or exceed
8.21	current state standards for protection,
8.22	enhancement, and restoration of water
8.23	quality in lakes, rivers, and streams or that
8.24	protect groundwater from degradation. Of
8.25	this amount, at least \$1,500,000 each year is
8.26	for county SSTS implementation.
8.27	(c) \$900,000 the first year and \$900,000
8.28	\$1,200,000 the second year are to
8.29	provide state oversight and accountability,
8.30	evaluate results, and develop an electronic
8.31	system to measure and track the value of
8.32	conservation program implementation by
8.33	local governments, including submission
8.34	to the legislature by March 1 each year
8.35	an annual report prepared by the board,
8.36	in consultation with the commissioners of

9.1	natural resources, health, agriculture, and
9.2	the Pollution Control Agency, detailing the
9.3	recipients and projects funded under this
9.4	section. The board shall require grantees to
9.5	specify the outcomes that will be achieved
9.6	by the grants prior to any grant awards.
9.7	(d) \$1,000,000 the first year and <del>\$1,000,000</del>
9.8	\$1,700,000 the second year are for technical
9.9	assistance and grants for the conservation
9.10	drainage program in consultation with
9.11	the Drainage Work Group, created under
9.12	Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.101,
9.13	subdivision 13, that consists of projects to
9.14	retrofit existing or supplement drainage
9.15	systems with water quality improvement
9.16	practices, evaluate outcomes, and provide
9.17	outreach to landowners, public drainage
9.18	authorities, drainage engineers and
9.19	contractors, and others. The board shall
9.20	coordinate practice standards with the
9.21	Natural Resources Conservation Service of
9.22	the United States Department of Agriculture
9.23	and seek to leverage federal funds as
9.24	part of conservation drainage program
9.25	implementation.
9.26	(e) \$6,000,000 the first year and \$6,000,000
9.27	the second year are to purchase and restore
9.28	permanent conservation easements on
9.29	riparian buffers adjacent to public waters,
9.30	excluding wetlands, to keep water on the
9.31	land in order to decrease sediment, pollutant
9.32	and nutrient transport; reduce hydrologic
9.33	impacts to surface waters; and increase
9.34	infiltration for groundwater recharge. The
9.35	riparian buffers must be at least 50 feet
9.36	unless there is a natural impediment, a road,

10.1	or other impediment beyond the control
10.2	of the landowner. This appropriation may
10.3	be used for restoration of riparian buffers
10.4	protected by easements purchased with
10.5	this appropriation and for stream bank
10.6	restorations when the riparian buffers have
10.7	been restored.
10.8	(f) \$1,300,000 the first year and <del>\$1,300,000</del>
10.9	<u>\$2,300,000</u> the second year are for
10.10	permanent conservation easements on
10.11	wellhead protection areas under Minnesota
10.12	Statutes, section 103F.515, subdivision 2,
10.13	paragraph (d). Priority must be placed on
10.14	land that is located where the vulnerability
10.15	of the drinking water supply is designated
10.16	as high or very high by the commissioner
10.17	of health. The board shall coordinate
10.18	with the United States Geological Survey,
10.19	the commissioners of health and natural
10.20	resources, and local communities contained
10.21	in the Decorah and St. Lawrence Edge areas
10.22	of Winona, Goodhue, Olmsted, and Wabasha
10.23	Counties to obtain easements in identified
10.24	areas as having the most vulnerability to
10.25	groundwater contamination.
10.26	(g) \$1,500,000 the first year and \$1,500,000
10.27	the second year are for community partners
10.28	grants to local units of government for:
10.29	(1) structural or vegetative management
10.30	practices that reduce storm water runoff
10.31	from developed or disturbed lands to reduce
10.32	the movement of sediment, nutrients, and
10.33	pollutants for restoration, protection, or
10.34	enhancement of water quality in lakes, rivers,
10.35	and streams and to protect groundwater
10.36	and drinking water; and (2) installation

03/13/12 07:11 PM	HOUSE RESEARCH	JT/JV	H2806DE1

1.1	of proven and effective water retention
1.2	practices including, but not limited to, rain
1.3	gardens and other vegetated infiltration
1.4	basins and sediment control basins in order
1.5	to keep water on the land. The projects
1.6	must be of long-lasting public benefit,
1.7	include a local match, and be consistent with
1.8	TMDL implementation plans or local water
1.9	management plans. Local government unit
1.10	staff and administration costs may be used
1.11	as a match.
1.12	(h) \$84,000 the first year and \$84,000 the
1.13	second year are for a technical evaluation
1.14	panel to conduct up to ten restoration
1.15	evaluations under Minnesota Statutes,
1.16	section 114D.50, subdivision 6.
	(i) The heard shall control for coming
1.17	(i) The board shall contract for services
1.18	with Conservation Corps Minnesota for
1.19	restoration, maintenance, and other activities
1.20	under this section for \$500,000 the first year
1.21	and \$500,000 the second year.
1.22	(j) The board may shift grant or cost-share
1.23	funds in this section and may adjust the
1.24	technical and administrative assistance
1.25	portion of the funds to leverage federal or
1.26	other nonstate funds or to address oversight
1.27	responsibilities or high-priority needs
1.28	identified in local water management plans.
1.29	(k) The appropriations in this section are
1.30	available until June 30, 2016.

## Sec. 4. AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES; APPROPRIATION.

(a) \$1,800,000 in fiscal year 2013 is appropriated from the clean water fund to the commissioner of natural resources for a competitive grant for research on the impact of

Sec. 4. 11

11.31

11.32

11.33

03/13/12 07:11 PM	HOUSE RESEARCH	JT/JV	H2806DE1

12.1	aquatic invasive species on the water quality of the state's lakes, rivers, and streams and
12.2	methods to protect the state's lakes, rivers, and streams from those impacts.
12.3	(b) The appropriation in this section is one time. Money appropriated in this section
12.4	may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a
12.5	specific appropriation. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect
12.6	costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary
12.7	for a specific appropriation. Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, section 16A.28, the
12.8	appropriation is available until June 30, 2014."
12.9	Delete the title and insert:
12.10	"A bill for an act
12.11	relating to clean water; modifying compensation provisions of the Clean Water
12.12	Council; modifying appropriation requirements; appropriating money from
12.13	the clean water fund; amending Minnesota Statutes 2011 Supplement, section
12.14	114D.30, subdivision 4; Laws 2009, chapter 172, article 2, section 4, as amended;
12.15	Laws 2011, First Special Session chapter 6, article 2, section 7."

Sec. 4. 12