| 1.1 | Senator moves to amend H.F. No. 2438, in conference committee, as |
|------|---|
| 1.2 | follows: |
| 1.3 | On R155, Senate language, (UEH2438-1) |
| 1.4 | Page 135, delete section 103 and insert: |
| 1.5 | "Sec. 103. STUDY; WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE VEHICLE SUPPLY AND |
| 1.6 | AVAILABILITY. |
| 1.7 | Subdivision 1. Definitions. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have |
| 1.8 | the meanings given. |
| 1.9 | (b) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of transportation. |
| 1.10 | (c) "Transportation network company" has the meaning given in Minnesota Statutes, |
| 1.11 | section 65B.472, subdivision 1. |
| 1.12 | (d) "Wheelchair accessible vehicle" or "WAV" means a publicly owned or privately |
| 1.13 | owned vehicle equipped with a ramp or lift capable of transporting riders with a disability |
| 1.14 | and subject to the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, sections 299A.11 to 299A.17. |
| 1.15 | Subd. 2. Study required. The commissioner must conduct a study on the supply and |
| 1.16 | demand of wheelchair accessible vehicles and wheelchair accessible transportation services. |
| 1.17 | The study must identify effective strategies to increase the availability of WAVs, improve |
| 1.18 | service quality, and provide cost-effective transportation solutions tailored to the needs of |
| 1.19 | riders with disabilities to enjoy greater freedom and convenience in their daily journeys. |
| 1.20 | The commissioner must engage various stakeholders and members of the public as specified |
| 1.21 | in subdivision 4. The commissioner must commence the study no later than August 1, 2025. |
| 1.22 | Subd. 3. Study objectives. (a) The commissioner's objectives in conducting the study |
| 1.23 | must include: |
| 1.24 | (1) an identification of the challenges that affect WAV accessibility and service for |
| 1.25 | riders with disabilities, including but not limited to insufficient supply, high operational |
| 1.26 | costs, lack of on-demand options, and geographical disparities; |
| 1.27 | (2) a study of supply and demand issues for WAVs, including an identification of WAV |
| 1.28 | transportation deserts in Minnesota communities and developing incentives to bolster the |
| 1.29 | availability of WAVs in both public and private transportation networks; |
| 1.30 | (3) identifying possible measures to ensure the punctuality and reliability of WAV |
| 1.31 | services for riders with disabilities: |

1

05/11/25 05:40 pm

TJG/GC

| 2.1 | (4) an evaluation on the impact that latent supply streams, market practices, and |
|------|--|
| 2.2 | technological capabilities have on the ability to implement and fund high-quality WAV |
| 2.3 | services at the lowest possible expense to taxpayers and private-pay WAV users; |
| 2.4 | (5) research and analysis on models that have been successful elsewhere in encouraging |
| 2.5 | innovation and investment in on-demand transportation solutions to enable transportation |
| 2.6 | parity for the disability community; and |
| 2.7 | (6) collected information on legislation and other policy changes that have been made |
| 2.8 | in other states around the country to assess whether any already established solutions may |
| 2.9 | be successful in Minnesota. |
| 2.10 | (b) To meet the study's objectives in paragraph (a), the commissioner must explore the |
| 2.11 | following strategies: |
| 2.12 | (1) incentivizing WAV ownership through the use of tax breaks, subsidies, or grants to |
| 2.13 | individuals and organizations who purchase WAVs to increase supply; |
| 2.14 | (2) exploring partnerships with WAV technology manufacturers to reduce costs for |
| 2.15 | WAV-specific technologies; |
| 2.16 | (3) ensuring the adequate provision of specialized training for drivers on the operation |
| 2.17 | of WAVs to improve service quality, supply, and delivery and ensure the needs and safety |
| 2.18 | of riders with disabilities when using a WAV; |
| 2.19 | (4) identifying methods to improve the rate and frequency of drivers receiving training |
| 2.20 | on providing rides to riders with disabilities or on the use of WAVs or WAV features, |
| 2.21 | including an analysis of whether a private transportation network company driver should |
| 2.22 | be required to complete a certain number of hours of disability training before providing |
| 2.23 | WAV rides; |
| 2.24 | (5) expanding geographic coverage of WAV service by establishing partnerships with |
| 2.25 | rural transit providers, expanding regulatory provisions, and deploying targeted funding |
| 2.26 | mechanisms to address disparities in WAV availability across Minnesota's diverse regions; |
| 2.27 | (6) developing or utilizing user-friendly applications for riders to book WAV rides and |
| 2.28 | improving dispatch systems to provide on-demand accessibility, real-time tracking, and |
| 2.29 | communication systems to reduce response times; and |
| 2.30 | (7) encouraging partnerships with private transportation network companies and |
| 2.31 | incentivizing their WAV operations and trained drivers. |

2

| 3.1 | (c) The study must assess whether the solutions identified in paragraph (b) are established |
|------|---|
| 3.2 | elsewhere in the United States and: |
| 3.3 | (1) provide a pathway to increasing the availability and accessibility of WAVs statewide; |
| 3.4 | (2) enhance service reliability and punctuality to reducing wait times for riders; and |
| 3.5 | (3) improve cost efficiency in service provision to benefit both users and providers. |
| 3.6 | Subd. 4. Stakeholders; other models; public engagement. (a) The commissioner must |
| 3.7 | consult and engage in meaningful collaboration with stakeholders in conducting the study |
| 3.8 | and determining whether identified solutions meet stated objectives. Stakeholders include, |
| 3.9 | but are not limited to, the following: |
| 3.10 | (1) public transportation service providers; |
| 3.11 | (2) providers of nonemergency medical transportation and special transportation services |
| 3.12 | in Minnesota; |
| 3.13 | (3) the State Patrol; |
| 3.14 | (4) the Minnesota Council on Disability; |
| 3.15 | (5) a driver advocacy organization representing transportation network drivers; |
| 3.16 | (6) private transportation network companies; |
| 3.17 | (7) one representative from the city of Minneapolis and one representative from the city |
| 3.18 | of St. Paul; |
| 3.19 | (8) a representative from the League of Minnesota Cities; |
| 3.20 | (9) a representative from taxicab companies operating in Minnesota cities; |
| 3.21 | (10) persons with disabilities and parents and caregivers of people with disabilities; or |
| 3.22 | (11) an organization with expertise in accessibility technology for transportation services |
| 3.23 | or accessible transportation design. |
| 3.24 | (b) In conducting the study, the commissioner must analyze other states' and communities' |
| 3.25 | efforts in establishing a robust and safe network of WAVs to identify enacted policy changes, |
| 3.26 | analyze developed programs against the stated objectives of the study required under this |
| 3.27 | section, and seek out and leverage information from these jurisdictions to evaluate what |
| 3.28 | may be successful in Minnesota. |

3

| 4.1 | Subd. 5. Report. By February 15, 2026, the commissioner must submit a final report |
|------|--|
| 4.2 | on the study to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees having |
| 4.3 | jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy. The report must: |
| 4.4 | (1) detail the input, consultation efforts, and public comment from stakeholders and the |
| 4.5 | public in conducting the study; |
| 4.6 | (2) include the data collection and analysis methods used to conduct the study and |
| 4.7 | develop recommendations for enhancing WAV services across Minnesota; and |
| 4.8 | (3) utilize identified policy changes made in other states around the country to assess |
| 4.9 | any already established solutions for WAV supply and availability. " |
| 4.10 | Amend the title accordingly |