# HF 991 Tax Conference Committee Thursday, May 13, 2021 Selected Revenue Estimate Information

|   |  |                       |             |         |         |                                | \$ Mill   | ions*     |
|---|--|-----------------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   |  | H                     | ouse        | Se      | nate    | Spreadsheet                    | FY 22-23  | FY 24-25  |
|   | F  |                       |             |         |         |                                |           |           |
| 1 | State General Levy; CI Levy Reduction; Market Value Exclusion from \$100,000 to \$150,000  | HF 2120               | Lislegard   | SF 2232 | Weber   | p 5, line 183<br>p 6, line 245 | (\$30.15) | (\$39.00) |
| 2 | 2022 Supplemental City Aid (LGA) Distribution, hold harmless Pay 2022 only                 | HF 597<br>(not heard) | Freiberg    | SF 888  | Nelson  | p 7, line 30                   | (\$5.05)  | -0-       |
| 3 | Temporary credit for brewers, liquor retailers, and wholesalers                            | HF 2260               | Becker-Finn | SF 2064 | Bingham | p 4, line 171                  | (\$3.40)  | -0-       |
| 4 | Construction materials sales tax exemption; public safety facilities (Senate Art 3, sec 4) | HF 1503               | Davids      | SF 2251 | Nelson  | p 5, line 215                  | (\$6.12)  | (\$8.73)  |
| 5 | Tobacco cessation account; establishment and appropriation                                 | HF 572                | Morrison    | SF 743  | Nelson  | p 6, line 257                  | (\$5.00)  | -0-       |

<sup>\* \$</sup> in Millions. Negative numbers show revenue reductions or spending increases.



March 17, 2021

#### PROPERTY TAX

### State general tax market value exclusion modified

|                    | Yes | No  |
|--------------------|-----|-----|
| DOR Administrative |     | *** |
| Cost/Savings       |     | X   |

Department of Revenue Analysis of H.F. 2120 (Lislegard) as introduced

|                        | Fund Impact |            |            |            |  |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
|                        | FY2022      | FY2023     | FY2024     | FY2025     |  |
|                        |             | (000       | )'s)       |            |  |
| State General Levy     | (\$10,650)  | (\$20,100) | (\$20,100) | (\$20,100) |  |
| Income Tax Interaction | \$0         | \$600      | \$600      | \$600      |  |
| General Fund Total     | (\$10,650)  | (\$19,500) | (\$19,500) | (\$19,500) |  |

Effective beginning with taxes payable in 2022.

#### **EXPLANATION OF THE BILL**

Under current law, the state general levy for commercial-industrial property is \$737,090,000 and is paid for by specified commercial-industrial property, except the first \$100,000 of market value.

The proposal would change the tax base for the state general levy for commercial-industrial property to exclude the first \$150,000 of market value, instead of the first \$100,000 under current law. The levy amount would be reduced to \$716,990,000.

#### REVENUE ANALYSIS DETAIL

- Because the exemption of the first \$150,000 of commercial-industrial property is combined with a decrease in the state general levy amount, taxes would not increase on the tax base that remains in the state general levy.
- The state general levy would decrease by \$20.1 million per year beginning in taxes payable 2022. These numbers have been converted to fiscal years for the purpose of this estimate.
- Lower property taxes would reduce deductions on corporate and individual income tax returns, increasing state tax collections beginning in FY 2023.

Number of Taxpayers: All qualifying class 3 commercial, industrial, railroad, and public utility property owners that currently pay state general taxes would be directly affected.

#### PROPERTY TAX BENCHMARKS (Minn. Stat. § 270C.991)

| Transparency, Understandability, Simplicity & Accountability | Neutral                                      |
|--|--|
| Efficiency & Compliance                                      | Neutral                                      |
| Equity (Vertical & Horizontal)                               | Neutral                                      |
| Stability & Predictability                                   | Neutral                                      |
| Competitiveness for Businesses                               | Increase Taxes on businesses would decrease. |
| Responsiveness to Economic Conditions                        | Neutral                                      |

The bill is scored on a three point scale (decrease, neutral, increase) for each principle in comparison to <u>current law.</u>

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue Property Tax Division - Research Unit www.revenue.state.mn.us/research\_stats/ pages/revenue-analyses.aspx

hf2120\_pt\_1/wms



April 05, 2021

## PROPERTY TAX LGA Minimum Aid and Appropriation Increase

|                                    | Yes | No |
|------------------------------------|-----|----|
| DOR Administrative<br>Cost/Savings |     | X  |

Department of Revenue

Analysis of H.F. 0597 (Freiberg) / S.F. 0888 (Nelson) as introduced

|                                  |        | Fund Impact |           |           |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
|                                  | FY2022 | FY2023      | FY2024    | FY2025    |  |  |
|                                  |        | (000)       | 's)       |           |  |  |
| LGA Appropriation Increase       | \$0    | (\$5,473)   | (\$5,473) | (\$5,473) |  |  |
| Property Tax Refund Interactions | \$0    | \$140       | \$140     | \$140     |  |  |
| Income Tax Interactions          | \$0    | \$60        | \$60      | \$60      |  |  |
| General Fund Total               | \$0    | (\$5,273)   | (\$5,273) | (\$5,273) |  |  |

Effective beginning for aids payable in 2022.

#### EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

Under current law, the appropriation for local government aid (LGA) is \$564,398,012 for aids payable in 2021 and thereafter.

The proposal would increase the LGA appropriation to \$569,870,718 for aids payable in 2022 and thereafter. The proposal would also modify the minimum aid formula to provide that no city receive less aid in 2022 than it was certified to receive in 2021.

#### REVENUE ANALYSIS DETAIL

- Increasing the appropriation for LGA to cities would increase state general fund costs by \$5.473 million in calendar year 2022 and thereafter.
  - It is assumed that the permanent increase in aid to cities would reduce property tax levies by a portion of the increase. This would reduce property taxes on all property including homesteads.
- The reduced property tax burden would reduce state-paid homeowner property tax refunds and income tax deductions beginning in fiscal year 2023, resulting in a savings to the state general fund.
- For aid payable year 2022, there are 96 cities estimated to receive lower aid than the previous year. Under the proposal, the minimum aid adjustment would increase LGA to these 96 cities by the amount of the appropriation increase.

#### PROPERTY TAX BENCHMARKS (Minn. Stat. § 270C.991)

| Transparency, Understandability, Simplicity & Accountability | Decrease Creates an additional calculation in the LGA formula.                               |
|--|--|
| Efficiency & Compliance                                      | Neutral  |
| Equity (Vertical & Horizontal)                               | Neutral  |
| Stability & Predictability                                   | Neutral  |
| Competitiveness for Businesses                               | Increase Business property taxes would generally decrease with an increase in aid to cities. |
| Responsiveness to Economic Conditions                        | Neutral  |

The bill is scored on a three point scale (decrease, neutral, increase) for each principle in comparison to <u>current law</u>.

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue Property Tax Division - Research Unit www.revenue.state.mn.us/research\_stats/ pages/revenue-analyses.aspx

hf0597(sf0888)\_pt\_1/nrg

|               | CURRENT LAW | SF 0888     |           |           |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
|               | ESTIMATED   | ESTIMATED   |           |           |
| CITY NAME     | 2022 LGA    | 2022 LGA    | \$ Chng   | % Chng    |
| OTT I ITANIE  | ZUZZ LOA    | ZUZZ LOA    | ψ Omig    | 70 Offing |
| TOTALS        | 564,398,012 | 569,870,718 | 5,472,706 | 1.0%      |
| ALBERTA       | 21,177      | 22,287      | 1,110     | 5.2%      |
| ALBERTVILLE   | 40,061      | 115,251     | 75,190    | 187.7%    |
| APPLETON      | 780,241     | 793,791     | 13,550    | 1.7%      |
| BARRY         | 1,566       | 1,696       | 130       | 8.3%      |
| BEARDSLEY     | 64,451      | 66,371      | 1,920     | 3.0%      |
| BEAVER BAY    | 0           | 1,580       | 1,580     | -         |
| BELLINGHAM    | 50,227      | 51,737      | 1,510     | 3.0%      |
| BELTRAMI      | 18,687      | 19,647      | 960       | 5.1%      |
| BINGHAM LAKE  | 17,243      | 18,503      | 1,260     | 7.3%      |
| BREWSTER      | 147,276     | 152,066     | 4,790     | 3.3%      |
| BROOKLYN PARK | 642,650     | 1,467,090   | 824,440   | 128.3%    |
| BROWNS VALLEY | 319,113     | 322,830     | 3,717     | 1.2%      |
| BRUNO         | 13,100      | 14,080      | 980       | 7.5%      |
| CAMPBELL      | 48,254      | 49,634      | 1,380     | 2.9%      |
| CARVER        | 110,273     | 160,173     | 49,900    | 45.3%     |
| CENTERVILLE   | 35,126      | 75,626      | 40,500    | 115.3%    |
| COBDEN        | 2,612       | 2,769       | 157       | 6.0%      |
| COMSTOCK      | 12,957      | 13,757      | 800       | 6.2%      |
| COON RAPIDS   | 536,391     | 1,176,071   | 639,680   | 119.3%    |
| CORRELL       | 6,080       | 6,380       | 300       | 4.9%      |
| CRYSTAL       | 1,280,114   | 1,512,734   | 232,620   | 18.2%     |
| DELAVAN       | 37,061      | 38,701      | 1,640     | 4.4%      |
| DELHI         | 11,030      | 11,680      | 650       | 5.9%      |
| DETROIT LAKES | 440,362     | 537,802     | 97,440    | 22.1%     |
| DOVRAY        | 9,795       | 10,345      | 550       | 5.6%      |
| DUNDAS        | 157,889     | 174,159     | 16,270    | 10.3%     |
| ELBA          | 24,563      | 25,129      | 566       | 2.3%      |
| FARMINGTON    | 0           | 105,587     | 105,587   | _         |
| FORT RIPLEY   | 0           | 84          | 84        | -         |
| GAYLORD       | 893,770     | 899,667     | 5,897     | 0.7%      |
| GEORGETOWN    | 14,108      | 14,580      | 472       | 3.3%      |
| GRAND MARAIS  | 49,532      | 63,812      | 14,280    | 28.8%     |
| GULLY         | 13,338      | 13,918      | 580       | 4.3%      |
| HADLEY        | 11,495      | 12,075      | 580       | 5.0%      |
| HAMMOND       | 35,287      | 36,427      | 1,140     | 3.2%      |
| HATFIELD      | 500         | 1,030       | 530       | 106.0%    |
| HERON LAKE    | 277,099     | 283,769     | 6,670     | 2.4%      |
| HOLLAND       | 42,019      | 42,358      | 339       | 0.8%      |
| HOLLOWAY      | 2,985       | 3,845       | 860       | 28.8%     |
| IHLEN         | 13,425      | 13,945      | 520       | 3.9%      |
| IRON JUNCTION | 9,105       | 9,688       | 583       | 6.4%      |
| KELLIHER      | 94,215      | 95,217      | 1,002     | 1.1%      |
| KENNEDY       | 58,954      | 59,702      | 748       | 1.3%      |
| KENNETH       | 7,567       | 8,157       | 590       | 7.8%      |
| KENT          | 18,949      | 19,639      | 690       | 3.6%      |
| KINNEY        | 48,355      | 49,935      | 1,580     | 3.3%      |
| LANDFALL      | 83,357      | 90,997      | 7,640     | 9.2%      |
| LANESBORO     | 217,116     | 224,706     | 7,590     | 3.5%      |

|                  | CURRENT LAW | SF 0888     |           |           |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
|                  | ESTIMATED   | ESTIMATED   |           |           |
| CITY NAME        | 2022 LGA    | 2022 LGA    | \$ Chng   | % Chng    |
| CITTIVAVIL       | 2022 LOA    | ZUZZ LOA    | ψ Cillig  | 70 Cillig |
| TOTALS           | 564,398,012 | 569,870,718 | 5,472,706 | 1.0%      |
| LAUDERDALE       | 500,171     | 524,591     | 24,420    | 4.9%      |
| LEONIDAS         | 31,170      | 31,650      | 480       | 1.5%      |
| LITTLE CANADA    | 329,109     | 434,909     | 105,800   | 32.1%     |
| LONG LAKE        | 0           | 61          | 61        | -         |
| MAPLE PLAIN      | 234,412     | 252,642     | 18,230    | 7.8%      |
| MCKINLEY         | 42,292      | 43,532      | 1,240     | 2.9%      |
| MENDOTA          | 10,620      | 12,770      | 2,150     | 20.2%     |
| MINNEAPOLIS      | 77,721,820  | 78,313,191  | 591,371   | 0.8%      |
| MINNEISKA        | 4,003       | 4,722       | 719       | 18.0%     |
| MOUND            | 262,172     | 313,146     | 50,974    | 19.4%     |
| MURDOCK          | 50,951      | 53,631      | 2,680     | 5.3%      |
| MYRTLE           | 9,403       | 9,793       | 390       | 4.1%      |
| NASHWAUK         | 345,635     | 354,409     | 8,774     | 2.5%      |
| NASSAU           | 13,248      | 13,808      | 560       | 4.2%      |
| NEW BRIGHTON     | 675,416     | 755,071     | 79,655    | 11.8%     |
| NEWPORT          | 490,445     | 527,195     | 36,750    | 7.5%      |
| NORCROSS         | 11,637      | 12,347      | 710       | 6.1%      |
| NORTH ST PAUL    | 1,533,986   | 1,655,596   | 121,610   | 7.9%      |
| NOWTHEN          | 10,247      | 51,686      | 41,439    | 404.4%    |
| OAK GROVE        | 62,793      | 128,796     | 66,003    | 105.1%    |
| OAKDALE          | 0           | 262,721     | 262,721   | _         |
| ORONOCO          | 77,283      | 91,622      | 14,339    | 18.6%     |
| PLATO            | 35,683      | 36,556      | 873       | 2.4%      |
| PLUMMER          | 48,799      | 51,799      | 3,000     | 6.1%      |
| PORTER           | 27,942      | 28,137      | 195       | 0.7%      |
| RANIER           | 52,002      | 57,523      | 5,521     | 10.6%     |
| RED WING         | 464,416     | 628,846     | 164,430   | 35.4%     |
| ROCHESTER        | 5,172,944   | 6,372,634   | 1,199,690 | 23.2%     |
| RUTHTON          | 65,199      | 67,319      | 2,120     | 3.3%      |
| SAINT BONIFACIUS | 371,391     | 376,598     | 5,207     | 1.4%      |
| SANBORN          | 99,130      | 102,250     | 3,120     | 3.1%      |
| SARGEANT         | 8,160       | 8,720       | 560       | 6.9%      |
| SKYLINE          | 16,010      | 18,517      | 2,507     | 15.7%     |
| ST MICHAEL       | 0           | 107,565     | 107,565   | -         |
| ST VINCENT       | 17,314      | 17,824      | 510       | 2.9%      |
| STILLWATER       | 820,939     | 827,165     | 6,226     | 0.8%      |
| TAUNTON          | 33,960      | 35,210      | 1,250     | 3.7%      |
| TROSKY           | 13,780      | 14,460      | 680       | 4.9%      |
| TURTLE RIVER     | 0           | 231         | 231       | -         |
| ULEN             | 139,404     | 144,914     | 5,510     | 4.0%      |
| WACONIA          | 0           | 9,282       | 9,282     | -         |
| WALKER           | 23,664      | 32,794      | 9,130     | 38.6%     |
| WARROAD          | 795,748     | 814,128     | 18,380    | 2.3%      |
| WELCOME          | 185,964     | 192,444     | 6,480     | 3.5%      |
| WHALAN           | 3,511       | 4,161       | 650       | 18.5%     |
| WHITE BEAR LAKE  | 1,076,095   | 1,333,615   | 257,520   | 23.9%     |
| WILLERNIE        | 78,945      | 84,255      | 5,310     | 6.7%      |
| WYOMING          | 248,262     | 302,393     | 54,131    | 21.8%     |



#### **Senate Omnibus Bill**

April 27, 2021

State Taxes Only—See Separate Analysis For Property Tax Provisions

|                    | Yes | No |
|--------------------|-----|----|
| DOR Administrative |     |    |
| Costs/Savings      | X   |    |

Department of Revenue

Analysis of S.F. 961 (Nelson) 1<sup>st</sup> Engrossment

| Analysis of 3.1. 701 (Neison) 1 Englossment  | Fund Impact |            |            |            |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
|  | F.Y. 2022   | F.Y. 2023  | F.Y. 2024  | F.Y. 2025  |
|  |             | (000       | 's)        |            |
| Federal Update                               |             |            |            |            |
| PPP Loan Exclusion                           |             |            |            |            |
| Individual Income Tax                        | (\$191,500) | (\$18,200) | (\$14,400) | (\$9,900)  |
| Corporate Franchise Tax                      | (\$183,500) | (\$15,900) | (\$12,200) | (\$9,200)  |
| Educator Expense Deduction                   | (\$25)      | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| Subtotal                                     | (\$375,025) | (\$34,100) | (\$26,600) | (\$19,100) |
| Individual Income Tax                        |             |            |            |            |
| Pass-Through Entity Tax                      | \$0         | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| Unemployment Benefit Subtraction             | (\$28,400)  | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| Section 179 Carryovers                       | (\$3,800)   | \$1,000    | \$1,000    | \$1,000    |
| Angel Investment Credit                      | \$0         | (\$10,000) | \$0        | \$0        |
| K-12 Subtraction Inflation Adjustment        | (\$400)     | (\$800)    | (\$1,200)  | (\$1,600)  |
| Volunteer Drivers Subtraction                | (\$30)      | (\$30)     | (\$30)     | (\$30)     |
| <b>Education Savings Account Subtraction</b> | \$0         | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| K-12 Credit Inflation Adjustment             | (\$200)     | (\$400)    | (\$500)    | (\$700)    |
| Minnesota Housing Tax Credit                 | \$0         | \$0        | (\$10,000) | (\$10,000) |
| Credit for Blended Gasolined Dealers         | (\$2,400)   | (\$2,900)  | (\$3,500)  | (\$4,200)  |
| Liquor Spoilage Credit                       | (\$3,400)   | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| Partnership Audit Rules                      | (\$600)     | (\$1,400)  | (\$1,500)  | (\$1,600)  |
| Subtotal                                     | (\$39,230)  | (\$14,530) | (\$15,730) | (\$17,130) |
| Corporate Franchise Tax                      |             |            |            |            |
| Section 179 Carryovers                       | (\$1,400)   | \$400      | \$400      | \$400      |
| Historic Rehabilitation Credit               | (\$2,200)   | (\$2,800)  | (\$2,800)  | (\$2,800)  |
| Subtotal                                     | (\$3,600)   | (\$2,400)  | (\$2,400)  | (\$2,400)  |
| Sales and Use Tax                            |             |            |            |            |
| June Accelerated Payments                    | \$0         | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| Fundraising Sales for School Organizations   | (\$640)     | (\$670)    | (\$690)    | (\$720)    |
| Public Safety Facility Construction          | (\$1,980)   | (\$4,140)  | (\$4,290)  | (\$4,440)  |
| Local Excise/Fee Prohibition                 | \$0         | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| COVID-19 PPE Expenses by Restaurants         | (\$9,450)   | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| Subtotal                                     | (\$12,070)  | (\$4,810)  | (\$4,980)  | (\$5,160)  |
| Cigarette Tax                                |             |            |            |            |
| Cigarette Revenue Dedication                 | (\$5,000)   | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        |
| General Fund Total                           | (\$434,925) | (\$55,840) | (\$49,710) | (\$43,790) |

#### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

#### Credit for Blended Gasoline Dealers (Article 2, Section 12)

Effective for tax years 2021 through 2030.

Highway fuels are taxed at the distributor level. The tax rate for gasoline is 28.5 cents per gallon, and the tax rate for E85 ethanol blends is 20.25 cents per gallon. E85 is defined as any blend of gasoline that contains between 50% and 85% ethanol by volume.

The bill creates a nonrefundable credit for gasoline retailers who are subject to the individual income tax and engage in selling higher ethanol blends that contain at least 15% ethanol but no more than 85% ethanol in the state. C corporations do not qualify for the credit.

The credit is equal to five cents per gallon of higher ethanol blend that is sold at a retail service station. "Higher ethanol blend" means gasoline blended with ethanol that contains at least 15% ethanol but no more than 85% ethanol.

For S corporations, limited liability companies, or partnerships, the credit is passed through to shareholders or partners.

The credit expires after tax year 2030.

- Minnesota Department of Commerce reports about 10.7 million gallons of E85 gasoline (gasoline blended with more than 50% ethanol but no more than 85% ethanol) and 75.1 million gallons of gasoline that is blended with at least 15% of ethanol but less than 50% ethanol (E15 to E50) were sold in Minnesota for tax year 2020.
- Over the past five years, the market share of E15 gasoline has increased very rapidly while that of E85 has been declining.
- The estimate assumes a 20.5% average growth rate for all qualified ethanol blends.
- The estimate was reduced by 45% to exclude C corporations, based on sales and use tax data for gas stations in Minnesota.
- The income tax liability data was not immediately available. The estimate was decreased by 15% to account for businesses whose tax liability is less than the credit.
- Tax year impacts were allocated to the following fiscal year.
- About 810 taxpayers would be eligible for the credit.

## Liquor Spoilage Credit (Article 2, Section 14) Effective retroactively for tax years 2020 and 2021.

To cope with the COVID-19 pandemic, the Governor of Minnesota issued multiple executive orders which require certain businesses to either close or operate at limited capacity.

The bill creates temporary credit against the individual income tax or corporate franchise tax for qualifying brewers, liquor retailers, and wholesalers whose business was subject to closure or limited capacity due to Executive Orders 20-04, 20-74, or related executive orders. Qualified taxpayers include licensed brewers or alcohol wholesalers, and on-sale liquor licensees that were subject to closure or limited capacity.

#### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

A qualified brewer, retailer or wholesaler may claim a credit that is equal to the amount of liquor spoilage in the tax year. The credit is refundable.

#### "Liquor spoilage" means:

- <u>For a qualified brewer</u>, the dollar amount of product purchased back from a liquor wholesaler or retailer, and the dollar amount of any product disposed of as unsalable due to closure or limited capacity;
- <u>For a qualified retailer</u>, the dollar amount of product returned without reimbursement to a liquor wholesaler or manufacturer, and the dollar amount of any product disposed of as unsalable due to closure or limited capacity; and
- For a qualified wholesaler, the dollar amount of product purchased back from a retailer, the dollar amount of product returned without reimbursement to a manufacturer, and the dollar amount of any product disposed of as unsalable due to closure or limited capacity.

For S corporations, limited liability companies, or partnerships, the credit is passed through to the entity's shareholders or partners.

- The total value of beer spoilage in 2020 is estimated at \$3 million, based on information from the beer wholesale industry. The estimate was increased by 5% to account for wine and liquor spoilage.
- It is assumed that most of the spoilage occurred in the first few months the executive orders were in place. The estimate was increased by 10% to account for additional spoilage in 2021.

#### Sales and Use; Excise Taxes – Article 3

June Accelerated Payments (Article 3, Sections 1 and 2) Effective July 1, 2021.

Certain businesses are required to remit their June tax payments on an accelerated basis. Taxpayers that have a tax liability of \$250,000 or more during a fiscal year are required to pay a portion of the estimated June liability two business days before June 30<sup>th</sup> for each year. The payment is 87.5 percent of the estimated June liability for 2021 and 84.5 percent for subsequent years.

The bill reverses the fiscal year shift in state tax revenues by reducing the percentage of the June sales tax liability remitted on an accelerated basis by certain businesses until June accelerated payments are no longer required. The bill will reduce state general fund revenues in one or more years that a surplus is forecast, until the percentage of the June liability is reduced to zero.

- The bill would create changes to the Minnesota Management and Budget forecast.
- It is estimated that the forecast adjustments for a first-year full reversal of the June 2022 sales and use tax accelerated payments would be a \$263.4 million general fund shift and \$15.2 million to the natural resources and arts funds. Also, the changes would incur small ongoing reduced growth impacts.

#### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

#### Fundraising Sales for School Organizations (Article 3, Section 3)

Effective for sales and purchases made after the date of final enactment.

Prior to July 2019, fundraising sales made by an educational or social nonprofit organization for people age 18 and under had a sales tax exemption provided the proceeds from the activities were not deposited with the school district treasurer. A 2019 law change to conform with federal accounting standards required fundraising sales made by schools and school-run groups for extracurricular activities to be deposited with the school district treasurer. The 2019 law change created the unintended effect of negating the sales tax exemption for certain fundraising activities.

The bill would reverse the unintended effect of the recent law change on the sales tax exemption for fundraising sales. The sales tax exemption would again apply to educational or social nonprofit organizations for people age 18 and under, regardless of the accounting with the school district treasurer.

The proposed change would require that:

- 1) the fundraising sales are for elementary and secondary school student activities, and
- 2) the school district reserves and spends revenues raised by a particular extracurricular activity only for that activity.
- Other sales tax exemptions apply to certain fundraising sales including candy, food, clothing, and coupon books, which limited the impact of the legislative change.
- The 2018 Minnesota Tax Expenditure Budget Report reported a tax expenditure estimate for fundraising sales by nonprofits of \$13.2 million for fiscal year 2021. Based on information from the Minnesota Department of Education, it is estimated that 5% of the tax expenditure would be exempt under the bill.



#### Public Safety Facility Construction (Article 3, Section 4)

Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2021.

Generally, construction materials, supplies, and equipment are subject to the sales and use tax. Local government purchases are exempt from the sales and use tax including purchases of construction materials by local governments for their own use. The local government exemption does not apply to purchases of materials by a construction contractor unless the contractor is authorized to act as a purchasing agent for the local government.

The bill provides an exemption for materials and supplies used or consumed in and equipment incorporated into the construction, remodeling, expansion, or improvement of a fire station or police station owned by a local government. The exemption would include related facilities, which the bill defines as access roads, lighting, sidewalks, and utility components on or adjacent to the fire or police station. The exemption would be administered as a refund.

• The estimates are based on the U.S. Census publication *Value of Construction Put in Place*. The applicable Census reports are for state and local government construction. National amounts for 2018 and 2019 were averaged for a state fiscal year 2019 estimating base.

#### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- The national amounts were apportioned to Minnesota at 1.8%, the state share of GDP according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis.
- The amounts were reduced for the estimated amount of construction materials that a contractor may currently acquire exempt under Rule 8130.1200, Subpart 3.
- It was estimated that 40% of the value of qualifying construction was attributable to taxable building and construction materials.
- Growth rates for governmental entities published by IHS Global Insight, Inc. (*U.S Economic Outlook*) were used to forecast local government spending.
- The timing and processing of refund claims are expected to affect the estimates. The fiscal year 2022 estimates are reduced for an expected partial year refund impact.



Cigarette Excise Tax (Article 3, Section 8)
Effective for revenue received after June 30, 2021.

Revenue from the cigarette excise tax is deposited in the general fund, except for two dedications. A special revenue fund is credited \$22,250,000 annually with funds appropriated to the Academic Health Center at the University of Minnesota and \$3,937,000 is credited annually to the medical education and research costs account.

The bill would create a new tobacco use prevention and cessation account in the special revenue fund. In fiscal year 2022, \$5,000,000 is credited to the account to be appropriated to the commissioner of health for tobacco use prevention and cessation projects and initiatives.

• In fiscal year 2020, \$391.5 million was deposited into the state general fund from the cigarette excise tax.

#### Local Excise/Fee Prohibition (Article 3, Section 10)

*Effective the day following final enactment.* 

The bill prohibits counties, cities, towns, or other taxing authorities from imposing, or increasing, an excise tax or fee on the manufacture, distribution, wholesale, or retail sale of food. The provision would also prohibit local subdivisions from imposing, or increasing, an excise tax or fee on food containers, as defined.

Reasonable license fees lawfully imposed by a county, city, town, or other licensing authority in the exercise of its regulatory authority to license a trade, profession, or business are excluded from the prohibition.