

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: CS McCrossan SOQ Evaluator Number: 1

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		83				12.45
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10			70			7.00
Design Build Construction Manager	10			71			7.10
Design Manager	5		85				4.25
Quality Manager	5			69			3.45
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		84				8.40
Water Resources Team	10			60			6.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	93					9.30
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	94					9.40
Total	100						80.30 81.10

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: CS McCrossan SOQ Evaluator Number: 2

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		72				10.80
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10			35			3.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10			45			4.50
Design Manager	5-10		72				3.60 7.20
Quality Manager	10-5		70				7.00 3.50
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		70				7.00
Water Resources Team	10		74				7.40
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		78				7.80
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10			65			6.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10			72			7.20
Total	100						45.70 65.40

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: McCrossan SOQ Evaluator Number: 3

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (45-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15				45		6.75
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10				49		4.90
Design Build Construction Manager	10				48		4.80
Design Manager	5-10			62			3.10 6.20
Quality Manager	4-5			55			5.50 2.75
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10			65			6.50
Water Resources Team	10			51			5.10
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10			55			5.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10			55			5.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10			55			5.50
Total	100						53.15 53.50

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: McCossan / HDR SOQ Evaluator Number: 4

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15			60			9.00
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10				50		5.00
Design Build Construction Manager	10			55			5.50
Design Manager	5		85				4.25 8.50
Quality Manager	10			55			5.50 2.75
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10			65			6.50
Water Resources Team	10				50		5.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10			65			6.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10			65			6.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10			65			6.50
Total	100						60.25 61.75

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Mc Crossan/HDR/BRAUN SOQ Evaluator Number: 5

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15			74			11.10
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		80				8.00
Design Build Construction Manager	10			74			7.40
Design Manager	5	93					4.65 - 9.30
Quality Manager	10	5		74			7.40 - 3.70
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		84				8.40
Water Resources Team	10			74			7.40
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		88				8.80
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		88				8.80
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		88				8.80
Total	100						80.75

81.70

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16



4.3 Technical Review Committee

The Technical Review Committee (TRC) evaluates Statements of Qualifications (SOQ) in response to a Request for Qualification (RFQ) and technical proposals received in response to a Request for Proposals (RFP). State Statute 161.3420 requires that the Commissioner establish the TRC during step one (RFQ) and before solicitation. The statute also requires that the TRC be made up of at least five individuals, one of whom is an individual whose name and qualifications are submitted to the commissioner by the Minnesota chapter of the Associated General Contractors (AGC). Details of the minimum qualifications of the AGC representative are outlined in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with AGC (see Exhibit 4.3-2).

The TRC is established according to the following procedure:

1. Prior to advertising the RFQ, the DBPM will contact Minnesota AGC requesting the name of the AGC representative. The contact shall be in writing (See Exhibit 4.3-1).
2. The PM will recommend all other TRC members to the DBPM. The TRC must:

- a. Include at least five individuals (including AGC member). Five to seven members is preferred.
- b. Include at least one MNDOT manager (Senior Administrative Engineer or higher).
- c. Include Principal Engineer level (or equivalent) positions or higher.
- d. Not include individuals that work for the MNDOT manager on the TRC.
- e. Not include the PM, unless low-bid.
- f. Not include individuals directly involved with the review and approval of PAEs, if the PAE has any impact on technical scoring.

3. The TRC may include representatives. Non-Member Engineers.
4. The DBPM may request roles.

 - a. Should only be contribution to the
 - b. Must not be elec
 - c. Must be a licens

Tom
This is very interesting
in his was in fact
on the TRC. It may
be a good message for
the post.
Adrian



5. The DBPM will forward the list of TRC members to the Innovative Contracting Director and Chief Engineer for concurrence.
6. If the TRC needs to be changed, the PM will contact the DBPM. The DBPM will obtain concurrence on the change from the Innovative Contracting Director and the Chief Engineer.

Exhibits

- 4.3-1: Sample AGC TRC Request Letter
- 4.3-2: MOU with AGC
- 4.3-3: Process for Administering Design-Build Procurement

Forms

None

**TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM**

Submitter: Ames SOQ Evaluator Number: 1

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		87				13.05
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10			72			7.20
Design Build Construction Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Manager	5		88				4.40 8.80
Quality Manager	10		86				8.60 4.30
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	95					9.50
Water Resources Team	10		87				8.70
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	←92					9.20
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	←91					9.10
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	←91					9.10
Total	100						87.35 87.45

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

**TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM**

Submitter: Ameo

SOQ Evaluator Number: 2

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	90					13.50
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		78				7.80
Design Build Construction Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Manager	5	95					4.75
Quality Manager	5		85				4.25
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		85				8.50
Water Resources Team	10		88				8.80
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		85				8.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		88				8.80
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		85				8.50
Total	100						86.65

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Ames SOQ Evaluator Number: 3

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	92					13.80
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		83				8.30
Design Build Construction Manager	10	90					9.00
Design Manager	5-10	90					4.5 9.00
Quality Manager	10-5		84				8.4 4.20
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		85				8.50
Water Resources Team	10	90					9.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		81				8.10
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		85				8.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	91					9.10
Total	100						87.20 87.50

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Ames SOQ Evaluator Number: A

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		84				12.60
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		75				7.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Manager	5	95					4.75
Quality Manager	10	95					9.50
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		70				7.00
Water Resources Team	10	90					9.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		75				7.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		80				8.00
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		80				8.00
Total	100						82.35

82.35

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: AMES/ALLIANT/AET/TKOA SOQ Evaluator Number: 5

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	95					14.25
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	91					9.10
Design Build Construction Manager	10	93					9.30
Design Manager	5 10	98					4.90 9.80
Quality Manager	10 5	99					9.90 4.95
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	95					9.50
Water Resources Team	10	95					9.50
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	95					9.50
Total	100						<u>94.90</u>

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/06

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kiewit

SOQ Evaluator Number: 1

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		85				12.75
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		84				8.40
Design Build Construction Manager	10		80				8.00
Design Manager	5		86				4.30 8.60
Quality Manager	10		84				8.40 4.20
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		85				8.50
Water Resources Team	10		86				8.60
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	92					9.20
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	90					9.00
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	90					9.00
Total	100						86.45 86.25

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: *Pat Deil*

Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kiewit

SOQ Evaluator Number: 2

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (26-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	94					14.10
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	92					9.20
Design Build Construction Manager	10		88				8.80
Design Manager	5	92					4.60
Quality Manager	10		78				7.80
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		88				8.80
Water Resources Team	10		89				8.90
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	92					9.20
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		88				8.80
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		80				8.00
Total	100						88.90 89.10

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3/10/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kiewit SOQ Evaluator Number: 3

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	94					14.10
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	92					9.20
Design Build Construction Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Manager	5.0		85				4.25 8.50
Quality Manager	10.5		80				8.00 4.00
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		85				8.50
Water Resources Team	10		85				8.50
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	91					9.10
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	91					9.10
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		85				8.50
Total	100						<u>87.75</u> 88.00

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
 QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kiewit SOQ Evaluator Number: 4

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		85				12.75
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	90					9.00
Design Build Construction Manager	10		75				7.50
Design Manager	5		85				4.25
Quality Manager	4			70			2.80
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	90					9.00
Water Resources Team	10			70			7.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		85				8.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		80				8.00
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		85				8.50
Total	100						81.50 82.25

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/5/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: KIEWIT/WSB/TERRACON SOQ Evaluator Number: 5

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	95					14.25
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	97					9.70
Design Build Construction Manager	10	97					9.70
Design Manager	5	98					4.90 9.80
Quality Manager	5	97					4.75 4.85
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	95					9.50
Water Resources Team	10	95					9.50
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	95					9.50
Total	100						95.75 95.80

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/66

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kraemer

SOQ Evaluator Number: 1

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		85				12.75
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		83				8.30
Design Build Construction Manager	10		83				8.30
Design Manager	5		82				4.10 4.20
Quality Manager	10		84				8.40 4.20
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	96					9.60
Water Resources Team	10		83				8.30
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		85				8.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		82				8.20
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		83				8.30
Total	100						<u>84.75</u>

84.65

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kraemer

SOQ Evaluator Number: 2

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		88				13.20
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	92					9.20
Design Build Construction Manager	10		78				7.80
Design Manager	5		80				4.00 8.00
Quality Manager	10			70			7.00 3.50
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		88				8.80
Water Resources Team	10		80				8.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		80				8.00
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		80				8.00
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	90					9.00
Total	100						83.00 83.50

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kramer

SOQ Evaluator Number: 3

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (51-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15			72			10.80
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10		65				6.50
Design Manager	5		70				3.80 7.60
Quality Manager	10		81				8.10 4.05
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	91					9.10
Water Resources Team	10			70			7.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		76				7.60
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10			72			7.20
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		83				8.30
Total	100						76.90 76.65

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Kramer SOQ Evaluator Number: 4

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	90					13.50
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	90					9.00
Design Build Construction Manager	10			72			7.20
Design Manager	5.00			65			3.00 6.50 ✓
Quality Manager	10.5			60			6.00 3.00
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	95					9.50
Water Resources Team	10		75				7.50
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		85				8.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		80				8.00
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		80				8.00
Total	100						80.85 80.70

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: KRAEMER / SRF SOQ Evaluator Number: 5

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	97					14.55
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	97					9.70
Design Build Construction Manager	10	95					9.50
Design Manager	5	91					4.55 9.10
Quality Manager	10	94					9.40 4.70
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	97					9.70
Water Resources Team	10	95					9.50
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	95					9.50
Total	100						95.40 95.25

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Lunda SOQ Evaluator Number: 1

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	93					13.95
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Manager	5	91					4.55 9.10
Quality Manager	10	90					9.00 4.50
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	95					9.50
Water Resources Team	10		88				8.80
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	93					9.30
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	93					9.30
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	93					9.30
Total	100						90.70 90.75

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Londa SOQ Evaluator Number: 2

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	92					13.80
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		88				8.80
Design Build Construction Manager	10			70			7.00
Design Manager	5		85				4.25
Quality Manager	5		85				4.25
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		80				8.00
Water Resources Team	10		82				8.20
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10			72			7.20
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10			65			6.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	98					9.80
Total	100						82.05

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/10/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Lunda

SOQ Evaluator Number: 3

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		88				13.20
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		85				8.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10			60			6.00
Design Manager	5	95					4.75
Quality Manager	10	5	82				8.20
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		83				8.30
Water Resources Team	10		80				8.00
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10		89				8.90
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10			74			7.40
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		86				8.60
Total	100						81.85 82.50

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: Lunda/Parsons SOQ Evaluator Number: A

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
Submitter Organization and Experience	15		75				11.25
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10		75				7.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10			60			6.00
Design Manager	5-10	90					4.50 9.00
Quality Manager	10-5			70			7.00 3.50
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10		85				8.50
Water Resources Team	10		75				7.50
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10			70			7.00
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10		80				8.00
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10		82				8.20
Total	100						76.45

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16

TH 169 Nine Mile Creek Design-Build Project
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION FORM

Submitter: LUNDA/PARSONS SOQ Evaluator Number: 5

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	SOQ Evaluator Number: 5					Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)
		Excellent (90-100)	Very Good (75-89)	Adequate (61-74)	Fair (25-50)	Poor (0-24)	
Submitter Organization and Experience	15	99					14.55
Key Personnel Experience							
Design Build Project Manager	10	95					9.50
Design Build Construction Manager	10		80				8.00
Design Manager	5	98					4.90 9.80
Quality Manager	10	93					9.30 4.65
Geotechnical Engineering Team	10	97					9.70
Water Resources Team	10	98					9.80
Project Understanding and Approach							
Understanding of and Approach to Geotechnical Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to Environmental Risks	10	95					9.50
Understanding of and Approach to On-Schedule Completion	10	95					9.50
Total	100						94.25

94.50

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Submitter.

Auditor Signature: [Signature] Date: 3/15/16