

Minnesota Dental Infrastructure Gap:

One-Time Infrastructure Investments Are Needed Now To Meet 2024 Minnesota Legislative Dental Target

Apple Tree Dental, Children's Dental Services, Community Dental Care, HealthPartners, Hennepin Healthcare, Minnesota Association of Community Health Centers, Minnesota Oral Health Coalition, Normandale Community College, Northern Dental Access Center, PrimeWest Health, Southern Heights Dental Group, University of Minnesota School of Dentistry

ontent

oral Care in Minnesota2			
Bridging Gaps in Creating Public Value for Minnesotans2			
One-Time Investment to Secure Critical Access to Dental Care			
1. One-Time General Fund Investment in Needed Infrastructure3			
2. Activate Dental Workforce Expansion and Job Growth3			
3. Set the Evaluation Metric for Reporting to the Legislature3			
Summary3			
References4			

Oral Care in Minnesota

BACKGROUND

The 2021 Minnesota legislature passed historic bipartisan legislation¹, ushering in a new era of oral health for Minnesotans in need². Because oral health and overall health are inextricably linked³, immediate and ongoing work has been set in motion to remedy Minnesota's dental health inequities⁴, unaddressed in over 30 years⁵. No one piece of legislation addresses the multifaced problem that includes reasonable reimbursement, adequate physical capacity, and enough workforce to deliver at least basic dental care. The 2021 Legislation began to address longstanding Minnesota health disparities with patient-centered, community-centric, value-based approaches to social determinants of health, health literacy, and impact of oral health on overall health.

MINNESOTANS IN NEED OF DENTAL CARE

Nearly one and a half million Minnesotans (107,943⁶ in MinnesotaCare and 1,344,634 in Medicaid & CHIP⁷) from every county in the state are publicly insured⁸. The 2021 legislation sets a performance benchmark of one dental visit per year for 55% of this

population. Currently state data shows that about 14% to 42% percent of those on public insurance receive that single annual dental visit (see tabular listing by county on last page). Conservatively, there is a 10% shortfall to meet the legislative benchmark. Our **Critical Access Dental (CAD) Providers** deliver two-thirds of the publicly insured dental visits. CAD providers are at maximum capacity with long waitlists, often in the hundreds and thousands of patients. Additional one time infrastructure investments⁹ are needed to expand capacity and deliver care to about 150,000 additional Minnesotans on public insurance and bridge the nearly 10% gap.



In 2020, in all Minnesota counties, those on public insurance, had dental visit rates below 45%.

LOWERING TOTAL COST OF CARE

Being seen at least once per year for **prevention measures leads to better health and lower-cost treatments**. In 2021 periodontal benefits were restored by the legislature as part of the bipartisan package. Periodontal disease has been linked to chronic health conditions¹⁰ such as diabetes, heart disease and even dementia. Dental Disease that goes untreated too often results in toothaches and expensive trips to the Emergency Room^{11,12}. Research shows that early treatment¹³ results in thousands of dollars in downstream savings accrued to the state as these patients are publicly insured. Remaining treatment gaps can be addressed by **increasing the provider capacity**, **increasing provider participation in the network**, **increasing availability of timely**, **local dental care**, **and helping reduce costly emergency department usage**¹⁴. The Minnesota Commissioner of Human Services is tasked with measuring the effectiveness of public investments in achieving the intended legislatively designated outcomes.

Bridging Gaps in Creating Public Value for Minnesotans

While much has been accomplished, major challenges remain. Achieving the legislative target of 55% of people with a dental visit requires a matching increase in the provider-network capacity. Access is key to better health and lower overall spending. For example, the commercially insured population in Minnesota, receives more frequent dental visits, despite suffering from about half the disease burden compared to publicly insured patients. In essence, Minnesota's publicly insured population has twice the dental disease burden and barriers to care.

CRITICAL ACCESS DENTAL CARE PROVIDERS

CAD providers disproportionately serve children, adults, people with disabilities and seniors on publicly insured Minnesota Health Care Programs (MHCP). CAD designation requires a dental practice to deliver at least 25% of rural and 50% of urban dental appointments. **Minnesota's Critical Access Dental Providers make up 12.5% of the licensed dentists in the state but they deliver about 2/3 of the state's publicly insured dental care.** Non-CAD providers make a small percentage (around 6%) of their appointments available to MHCP patients and deliver limited services to selected MHCP patients. Therefore, increasing the infrastructure of CAD providers, Minnesota's Medicaid dental workhorses, will have a stronger return on public investment to meet the legislative target.

One-Time Investment to Secure Critical Access to Dental Care

CAD providers, both private practice and nonprofits, are on the frontlines of care delivery and must have the additional infrastructure and staff to deliver the increased services required to Minnesotans in need. Our proposal is neutral on the administrative structure behind the delivery of dental care. Changing administration models and administrators without reducing infrastructure and workforce shortfalls, is not a viable solution. To reach the 55% utilization target mandated by the legislature, we must address infrastructure and workforce gaps head on. Infrastructure is a "brick and mortar" issue. As we have laid out, physical capacity and workforce have now become barriers to success in caring for our children, adults, and our elders in need. Therefore, we offer the following recommendation for consideration.

1. One-Time General Fund Investment in Needed Infrastructure

As the legislature has mandated, in order to deliver the additional services publicly insured Minnesotans need, we request consideration for additional one-time infrastructure investments to increase Minnesota's capacity to deliver dental care. This includes equipment replacement and enhancement, additional mobile dental care capacity, and facility expansion. We recommend investing in the infrastructure capacity Minnesota must have to meet the targets our legislators have set. We estimate this one-time investment at \$20 million.

2. Activate Dental Workforce Expansion and Job Growth

Expanding infrastructure is inextricably linked to a proportional workforce expansion leading to additional jobs. This will also stimulate employment in the ring of suppliers that support the functioning of increased dental care delivery capacity. These additional jobs are private-sector and benefit-earning positions expanding the state's health care supplier sector. We estimate the investment to increase training and workforce capacity at \$5 million. In a separate policy proposal, we will describe this requirement in greater detail.

3. Set the Evaluation Metric for Reporting to the Legislature

We cannot reliably improve what we do not measure. Therefore, we recommend that the impact of this investment be quantified and reported back by county to the legislature with data from DHS and dental providers. This way a correlation can be established between reaching the 55% utilization and the increased investment in capacity.

Summary

There is an infrastructure gap for Critical Access Dental providers to meet or exceed the legislative mandated 1dental visit per year for 55% of the nearly 1.5 million Minnesotans on public insurance. Bipartisan legislative leadership is continuing to raise the bar on fiscally responsible, effective preventative dental care and improved access. Leadership from the Minnesota legislature, the Departments of Health and of Human Services remains vital and necessary to bridge the infrastructure gap so that CAD providers can serve our children, adults, and elders in need. We believe Minnesota can become an inspiring model for Oral Health for the entire nation.

References

- Chapter 7 MN Laws DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES HEALTH CARE PROGRAMS Article 1. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/laws/2021/1/Session+Law/Chapte r/7/
- Health panel lays over bill on community-based dental care pilot project - Session Daily - Minnesota House of Representatives. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.house.mn.gov/sessiondaily/Story/17291
- Oral Health in America: Advances and Challenges. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research; 2021. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.nidcr.nih.gov/oralhealthinamerica
- The Status of Oral Health in Minnesota. Minnesota Department of Health, Oral Health Program; 2013:48. https://www.astdd.org/docs/mn-third-grade-bss-2013.pdf
- MINNESOTA STATE ORAL HEALTH PLAN 2020-2030. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.health.state.mn.us/people/oralhealth/docs/state plan2020.pdf
- MinnesotaCare: An Overview. Minnesota House Research; 2022. https://www.house.mn.gov/hrd/pubs/ss/ssmncare.pdf
- Medicaid & CHIP in Minnesota. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.medicaid.gov/stateoverviews/stateprofile.html?state=minnesota
- Minnesota Health Care Markets Chartbook MN Dept. of Health. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.health.state.mn.us/data/economics/chartbook/in dex.html
- Oral Health in Rural Minnesota: SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE RURAL HEALTH ADVISORY COMMITTEE. Minnesota Department of Health, Office of Rural Health & Primary Care; 2017. https://nosorh.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Summaryfor-conf-2.pdf
- Beheshti M, Badner V, Shah P, Margulis KS, Yeroshalmi F. Association of Diabetes and Dental Caries Among U.S. Adolescents in the NHANES Dataset. *Pediatr Dent*. 2021;43(2):123-128.
- 11. Lyu W, Wehby GL. The effects of Medicaid expansions on dental services at federally qualified health centers. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2023;154(3):215-224.e10. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2022.11.005
- Dahal R, Jarosek S, Virnig B. EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT USE FOR DENTAL PROBLEMS AMONG MEDICARE FEE-FOR-SERVICE OLDER ADULTS IN THE U.S. (2016 TO 2020). *Innovation in Aging*. 2022;6(Supplement_1):797. doi:10.1093/geroni/igac059.2877
- Rashed T, Alkhalefa N, Adam A, AlKheraif A. Pit and Fissure Sealant versus Fluoride Varnish for the Prevention of Dental Caries in School Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Clinical Practice. 2022;2022:e8635254. doi:10.1155/2022/8635254
- 14. Oral Health / Minnesota Department of Human Services. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://mn.gov/dhs/medicaidmatters/oral-health/

Percent Minnesota Public Insurance Patients That Visited a Dental Provider (2020 by County)

Between 14% to 40% on public insurance had 1 dental visit. The rest did not receive a single dental visit in 2020. Over 65% of the visits were provided by Critical Access Dental (CAD) Providers. CAD are often at full capacity with long waiting lists in the hundreds and thousands of patients. Without additional capacity / infrastructure, state target of 55% cannot be reached.

AITKIN	33.07	LYON	29.34
ANOKA	34.45	MAHNOMEN	34.80
BECKER	39.75	MARSHALL	26.83
BELTRAMI	33.89	MARTIN	36.26
BENTON	33.09	MCLEOD	35.49
BIG STONE	32.55	MEEKER	32.62
BLUE EARTH	32.70	MILLE LACS	37.71
BROWN	38.42	MORRISON	29.80
CARLTON	31.93	MURRAY	30.30
CARVER	31.16	NICOLLET	31.76
	31.82	NOBLES	25.57
CASS		NORMAN	36.73
CHIPPEWA	38.75	OLMSTED	36.10
CHISAGO	29.31	OTTER TAIL	40.98
CLAY	34.53	PENNINGTON	27.01
CLEARWATER	36.48	PINE	28.49
соок	41.56	PIPESTONE	14.38
COTTONWOOD	35.05	POLK	30.97
CROW WING	33.43	POPE	39.64
DAKOTA	30.02	RAMSEY	30.63
DODGE	33.89	RED LAKE	29.25
DOUGLAS	41.98	REDWOOD	30.11
FARIBAULT	32.25	RENVILLE	33.15
FILLMORE	31.21	RICE	36.49
	33.61	ROCK	23.39
FREEBORN		ROSEAU	28.77
GOODHUE	34.59	SCOTT	32.92
GRANT	40.40	SHERBURNE	32.29
HENNEPIN	31.95	SIBLEY	31.54
HOUSTON	32.65	ST. LOUIS STEARNS	34.97
HUBBARD	38.70	STEELE	37.51
ISANTI	29.55	STEVENS	37.39
ITASCA	40.03	SWIFT	31.49
JACKSON	28.50	1000	34.09
KANABEC	35.72	TRAVERSE	35.87
KANDIYOHI	37.68	WABASHA	32.92
KITTSON	28.08	WADENA	34.66
KOOCHICHING	38.82	WASECA	35.17
		WASHINGTON	31.54
LAC QUI PARLE	39.63	WATONWAN	33.27
LAKE	30.72	WILKIN	34.51
LAKE OF THE WOO	31.95	WINONA	36.17
LE SUEUR	31.99	WRIGHT	32.78
LINCOLN	31.38	YELLOW MEDICINE	39.85

References

- 1. Chapter 7 MN Laws DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES HEALTH CARE PROGRAMS Article 1. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/laws/2021/1/Session+Law/Chapter/7/
- 2. Health panel lays over bill on community-based dental care pilot project Session Daily Minnesota House of Representatives. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.house.mn.gov/sessiondaily/Story/17291
- 3. Oral Health in America: Advances and Challenges. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research; 2021. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.nidcr.nih.gov/oralhealthinamerica
- 4. *The Status of Oral Health in Minnesota*. Minnesota Department of Health, Oral Health Program; 2013:48. https://www.astdd.org/docs/mn-third-grade-bss-2013.pdf
- MINNESOTA STATE ORAL HEALTH PLAN 2020-2030. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.health.state.mn.us/people/oralhealth/docs/stateplan2020.pdf
- 6. *MinnesotaCare: An Overview*. Minnesota House Research; 2022. https://www.house.mn.gov/hrd/pubs/ss/ssmncare.pdf
- Medicaid & CHIP in Minnesota. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.medicaid.gov/stateoverviews/stateprofile.html?state=minnesota
- 8. Minnesota Health Care Markets Chartbook MN Dept. of Health. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://www.health.state.mn.us/data/economics/chartbook/index.html
- 9. Oral Health in Rural Minnesota: SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE RURAL HEALTH ADVISORY COMMITTEE. Minnesota Department of Health, Office of Rural Health & Primary Care; 2017. https://nosorh.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Summary-for-conf-2.pdf
- 10. Beheshti M, Badner V, Shah P, Margulis KS, Yeroshalmi F. Association of Diabetes and Dental Caries Among U.S. Adolescents in the NHANES Dataset. *Pediatr Dent*. 2021;43(2):123-128.
- 11. Lyu W, Wehby GL. The effects of Medicaid expansions on dental services at federally qualified health centers. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2023;154(3):215-224.e10. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2022.11.005
- 12. Dahal R, Jarosek S, Virnig B. EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT USE FOR DENTAL PROBLEMS AMONG MEDICARE FEE-FOR-SERVICE OLDER ADULTS IN THE U.S. (2016 TO 2020). *Innovation in Aging*. 2022;6(Supplement_1):797. doi:10.1093/geroni/igac059.2877
- 13. Rashed T, Alkhalefa N, Adam A, AlKheraif A. Pit and Fissure Sealant versus Fluoride Varnish for the Prevention of Dental Caries in School Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2022;2022:e8635254. doi:10.1155/2022/8635254
- 14. Oral Health / Minnesota Department of Human Services. Accessed March 1, 2023. https://mn.gov/dhs/medicaid-matters/oral-health/