

Preliminary

Consolidated Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

HF1775 - 2UE - Criminal Case Info Collected

Chief Author: **Dave Pinto**
 Committee: **Public Safety Finance And Policy**
 Date Completed:
 Lead Agency: **Sentencing Guidelines Comm**
 Other Agencies:
 Corrections Dept Supreme Court

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology	X	
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Sentencing Guidelines Comm						
General Fund	-	-	820	956	628	
State Total						
General Fund	-	-	820	956	628	
	Total	-	-	820	956	628
	Biennial Total			820		1,584

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium		
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	
Sentencing Guidelines Comm						
General Fund	-	-	3	4	4	
	Total	-	-	3	4	4

Lead LBO Analyst's Comment

LBO Signature: Date:
 Phone: Email:

Preliminary

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands		FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Sentencing Guidelines Comm						
General Fund		-	-	820	956	628
Total		-	-	820	956	628
Biennial Total				820		1,584
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
Sentencing Guidelines Comm						
General Fund		-	-	820	956	628
Total		-	-	820	956	628
Biennial Total				820		1,584
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
Sentencing Guidelines Comm						
General Fund		-	-	-	-	-
Total		-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Preliminary

Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

HF1775 - 2UE - Criminal Case Info Collected

Chief Author: **Dave Pinto**
 Committee: **Public Safety Finance And Policy**
 Date Completed:
 Agency: Sentencing Guidelines Comm

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology	X	
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	820	956	628	
Total	-	-	820	956	628	
Biennial Total			820		1,584	

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	3	4	4
Total	-	-	3	4	4

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Jim Carlson **Date:** 3/27/2026 8:27:10 AM
Phone: 651-284-6540 **Email:** jim.carlson@lbo.mn.gov

Preliminary

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2 Dollars in Thousands	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	820	956	628
Total	-	-	820	956	628
Biennial Total			820		1,584
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*					
General Fund	-	-	820	956	628
Total	-	-	820	956	628
Biennial Total			820		1,584
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*					
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-		-

Bill Description

Section 1 enacts Minn. Stat. § 609.016, Criminal Case Information Collection.

Subdivision 1(a) requires the Department of Corrections (DOC) and any court associated with a criminal case to report certain information relating to criminal cases that it collects or possesses as part of its standard operating procedures. For each jail detainee and criminal defendant, ten data points are required, including specified identifying, demographic, and financial data about the detainee/defendant, as well as the dates of booking and release and information about all other pending cases associated with the defendant. For each formal charge filed, nine data points are required, including specific points of information regarding the charge and its disposition and prosecuting authority. For bail or bond pretrial releases, eleven data points are required, including various points of information regarding appearance dates, the presiding judge, the pretrial risk assessment data and score, and bail or other conditions set, release, credit for time served, and revocation. For each criminal case, three data points are required: whether the defendant failure to appear at hearings and whether warrants were issued, public defender eligibility, and case outcome. For each sentencing, seven data points are required, including the plea date, sentence date, charge sentenced, sentence type, sentence length, fines and fees, and restitution ordered, collected, and paid. Finally, subdivision 1 requires the reporting of any other information required by the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) that is already electronically collected by reporting agencies.

Subdivision 1(b) requires that the information be collected by the DOC or court and forwarded to MSGC under a schedule and format directed by MSGC by April 1 of each year, with the proviso (subd. 1(c)) that neither DOC nor the courts are required to collect any information they are not otherwise required to collect in the course of standard operations.

Subdivision 2(a) generally requires MSGC to serve as a clearinghouse and information center for the information collected under subdivision 1. Subd. 2(b) specifically requires MSGC to allow research institutions to access the information collected in subdivision 1 in a form and manner determined by MSGC. Personal identifiers must be redacted. MSGC must produce the information within 90 days of a request. Information is governed by Minn. Ch. 13.

Section 1 is effective July 1, 2028.

Section 2 requires MSGC to develop a system to integrate or share data between the MSGC, Minn. Judicial Branch (MJB), and DOC for the purpose of sharing the information collected under section 1, subd. 1. The system must be operational no later than July 1, 2028.

Section 3 appropriates FY2027 funds, in a now-unspecified amount, to MSGC to develop a system to share information between the Commission, MJB, and DOC.

Preliminary

Assumptions

According to Minn. Judicial Branch (MJB), an annual average of 415,826 misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, and felony cases were charged from 2021 through 2025. In addition, an unknown number of arrests did not result in charges; these cases, although not included in MJB's existing dataset, are subject to the bill's data-reporting requirements. It is therefore assumed that MSGC will receive data on well over 415,826 cases annually, and that the new number of cases received will represent about 25-fold increase in the annual volume of cases for which MSGC currently receives data (currently 17,618 felony cases, on average, from 2020 through 2024).

MSGC currently has a six-person research staff. That research staff is responsible for executing the Commission's mission as a "clearinghouse and information center" (Minn. Stat. § 244.09, subd. 6) for felony sentencing practices data, which includes receiving, ingesting, cleaning, matching, collapsing, validating, reviewing, analyzing, synthesizing, and reporting on the data, as well as making it publicly available within the bounds of the law. It is assumed that all these research functions are implied by the bill's use of the same term, "clearinghouse and information center," to describe MSGC's role with respect to the much larger dataset collected in section 1. It is assumed that the ingestion and analysis of this quantity of data will be substantially aided by the technological integrations required in section 2, so a 25-fold increase in the size of the research staff will not be required. It is assumed that, with the technological integrations required in section 2, the additional research and supervision responsibilities can be accomplished with three full-time MSGC-employed personnel: 1.0 FTE Research Planning and Evaluation Director in FY 2027 and every year thereafter with sufficient seniority, research experience, database expertise, including data security, to be responsible for the oversight and design of the data integrations and supervision of the new research opportunities; and 2.0 FTE Research Analyst Intermediate for the data analysis, synthesis, reporting, and disclosing: one in FY 2027 and every year thereafter, and one in FY 2028 and every year thereafter. It is assumed that MSGC personnel who manage, research and report on these data may need appropriate CJIS compliance vetting and training. In addition, ongoing research supervision and information-technology support (MSGC-MNIT) will be required, as described below.

It is assumed MSGC-MNIT will have point-to-point access to the data. It is assumed that the MSGC-MNIT system will need to be CJIS compliant. With respect to pretrial evaluation forms (or data contained within), it is assumed that MSGC will receive these data (not the pretrial evaluation documents) from all officials and agencies using an application programming interface (API). It is assumed that the MSGC API will be able to consume pretrial risk assessment data.

It is assumed that MJB does not have access to booking data. Booking data comes from DOC and the "DOC Portal." The DOC Portal is "a secure and centralized system containing information on individuals under supervision (Probation or Supervised Release) or in Minnesota jails, detention facilities, or prisons. The S3 Search function enables criminal justice professionals to perform a single web-based person search and return individual records from Detention, Supervision, Prison and Sentencing Worksheet sources. The data comes from over 130 data loads for over 260 agencies."

It is assumed that DOC gets booking information from most but not all of Minnesota's jails and detention facilities. It is assumed that the booking data are transmitted to DOC electronically and that DOC translates and cleans the data and does not aggregate the data. It is assumed that MSGC will be able to consume the data using an API.

It is assumed that MSGC will require information technology services (MNIT) to develop an integrations process for this pre-trial data operational by July 1, 2028, and thereafter, at an annual cost described in the "Expenditures" section.

However, if pretrial evaluation forms (rather than the data themselves) are to be received by MSGC, MSGC-MNIT services will be required to develop and initiate a searchable and taggable document storage interface which will require annual document storage costs as well. The costs for such development and storage are not assessed in this fiscal note.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

Based on the above assumptions, MSGC agency costs are reflected in the table below.

Expenditure	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30
MNIT one-time cost	375,000	375,000	-	-
MNIT annual ongoing hosting			36,000	36,000
MNIT one-time Salary/fringe (1.0 FTE ITS-3)	164,673	171,883	-	-
MNIT ongoing (future 1.0 FTE ITS-3)	-	-	173,518	173,518

Preliminary

MSGC Salary/fringe (1.0 FTE Research Analyst Intermediate)	105,847	112,449	114,870	114,870
MSGC Salary/fringe (1.0 FTE Research Analyst Intermediate)	-	112,449	114,870	114,870
MSGC Salary/fringe (1.0 FTE Research Planning and Evaluation Director)	174,700	184,322	189,072	189,072
Total	\$820,220	\$956,103	\$628,330	\$628,330

MNIT provided MSGC with a rough estimate of the costs of funding the project required by the bill, refinable with additional time. The MNIT estimate assumed 18 to 24 months of project execution, procurement, and contracting needs to take place before project execution could start. Within that timeframe, MNIT assumed a development/implementation project cost of \$50,000 for business analysis, \$50,000 for project management, \$50,000 for quality assurance (QA) & user acceptance testing (UAT), and \$600,000 for vendor/developer/contractor cost, for a total of \$750,000. Given the estimated timeframe, MSGC assumes that these costs would be evenly distributed between FY2027 and FY2028, for \$375,000 each year.

In addition, MNIT assumes ongoing annual hosting costs of \$36,000 and \$173,518 annual MNIT compensation costs (1.0 FTE Information Technology Specialist (ITS-3), high end of range), for a total ongoing costs of \$209,518. MSGC assumes that these costs would be required in FY29 and every year thereafter.

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

It is estimated that the bill would require additional funding to MSGC of \$820,220 in FY2027, \$956,103 in FY2028, and \$628,330 in FY2029 and every year thereafter.

Local Fiscal Impact

N/A

References/Sources

Minn. Stat. § 244.09, subds. 6 & 11.

Minn. Judicial Branch data, "District Court Criminal Charges Data: Charges Filed Under Minnesota Statutes, 2021 to 2025" (retrieved March 15, 2026, at <https://www.mncourts.gov/Help-Topics/Court-Statistics/District-Court-Criminal-Charges-Data.aspx>).

McBrayer, Linda "RE: HF1775-2UE (Criminal Case Info Collected), due on 3/20/2026 ." Message to Jill Payne, 3/19/2026.

MSGC Monitoring Data, 2020-2024.

Ogg, Tim "RE: HF1775-2UE (Criminal Case Info Collected), due on 3/20/2026." Message to Jill Payne, 3/19/2026.

Ogg, Tim and Hoch, Jeff (MJB-IT) (personal communication, 3/19/2026).

Ogg, Tim and Denn, Ron (DOC-MNIT) (personal communication, 3/19/2026).

Minn. DOC Portal (retrieved March 19, 2026, at <https://search.s3.state.mn.us/mainnsf.aspx?frmto=AboutDOCPortal>).

Agency Contact:

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Jill Payne

Date: 3/26/2026 10:36:50 PM

Phone: 651-757-1725

Email: jill.payne@state.mn.us

Preliminary

Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

HF1775 - 2UE - Criminal Case Info Collected

Chief Author: **Dave Pinto**
 Committee: **Public Safety Finance And Policy**
 Date Completed:
 Agency: **Supreme Court**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures		X
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total				-		-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

LBO Signature: Susan Nelson **Date:** 3/18/2026 12:49:38 PM
Phone: 651-296-6054 **Email:** susan.nelson@lbo.mn.gov

Preliminary

State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-
1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*						
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-
2 - Revenues, Transfers In*						
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biennial Total			-			-

Bill Description

HF1775-2UE (“the bill”) creates a new statute section 609.016 requiring the Department of Corrections (DOC) and the court associated with a criminal case to report a variety of data related to criminal cases that it currently collects or has in its possession as part of its standard operations, and to forward the information to the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) by April 1 of each year. The bill provides that it does not require the DOC or the court to collect any information it is not otherwise required to collect.

The bill requires MSGC to be the clearinghouse and information center for information collected pursuant to the bill and requires MSGC to allow research institutions to access the information without including any personally identifying information. The bill requires MSGC to develop a system to integrate or share data between MSGC and the judicial branch and DOC and requires the system to be operational no later than July 1, 2028.

The bill appropriates unspecified funds to the MSGC to develop the system.

Assumptions

It is assumed that the court will not collect any additional data as a result of this bill and will only share the data it already collects on criminal cases. All of the data that the court does collect in criminal cases can be provided to the MSGC through existing data sharing options. It is assumed that race data and any other data points or documents will not be made available unless authorized under the Rules of Public Access. It is assumed that any consultation required to comply with the provisions of the bill will be absorbed as part of the ongoing conversations between the court and MSGC as justice agency partners and will not require additional judicial branch resources.

Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

Because the court is already able to provide available criminal case data to the MSGC using existing options, the bill is not anticipated to have a significant fiscal impact on the judicial branch.

Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

None.

Local Fiscal Impact

Preliminary

References/Sources

Agency Contact:

Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature: Anna Borgerding

Phone: 651-297-7579

Date: 3/18/2026 10:29:25 AM

Email: anna.borgerding@courts.state.mn.us