

To: Members of the Minnesota House Elections Committee

Re: HF 1942

From: Max Hailperin, Citizens for Election Integrity Minnesota <max.hailperin@gmail.com>

Date: March 21, 2023

This testimony is on behalf of Citizens for Election Integrity Minnesota (CEIMN), a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that advocates for verifiable, transparent, and accurate elections.

We understand Rep. Coulter plans to offer a DE1 amendment to HF 1942 that would among other things allow for a new kind of voting system that marks the voter's choices onto blank paper. Moreover, this DE1 would clarify that the ordinary pre-printed form of optical-scan ballot must still be available as an option. Our organization supports this latter provision for the two reasons explained below.

First, retaining ordinary optical-scan ballots will ensure that voting can continue even if the electronic systems fail. This fits into a long tradition in which device failures don't cause elections to grind to a halt, although the voting experience may be degraded. If a precinct optical scanner fails, ballots can continue to be cast using the auxiliary compartment slot, albeit without the usual check for overvotes and cross-party votes in primaries. Likewise, if a conventional ballot marking device fails, ballots can continue to be marked by pen, albeit with reduced independence for voters with disabilities, who may need to choose between human assistance and coming back at a later time. The same will remain possible with the new devices, provided jurisdictions don't rely exclusively on the blank paper used by those devices

Second, some voters may be more confident that their votes are correctly recorded if they continue to have the option to mark those votes by hand. Accuracy comes from engagement. Humans are much better at actively selecting an item than at passively checking a selection. Even voters who look at the printed summary produced by the new devices might overlook some difference between it and their intentions. Proofreading is hard.

For these reasons, we thank Rep. Coulter for including in his amendment the language to require that pre-printed optical-scan ballots remain an option.

Thank you.