

Bill Comparison Summary of House File 42, Third Engrossment/ House File 42, First Unofficial Engrossment

Prepared by:
House Research and Senate Counsel, Research and Fiscal Analysis

April 12, 2011

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Article 1: Individual Income and Corporate Franchise Taxes	2
Article 2: Sales and Use Taxes	5
Article 3: Economic Development.....	7
Article 4: Local Taxes.....	14
Article 5: Property Taxes.....	19
Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	22
Article 7: Green Acres and Rural Preserves	27
Article 8: Minerals	28
Article 9: Miscellaneous	31
Article 10: Cash Flow	32

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 1: Individual Income and Corporate Franchise Taxes	Article 1: Income and Estate Taxes
1	<p>Data disclosure; income tax reciprocity benchmark study. Authorizes the commissioner of revenue to share data with the Wisconsin secretary of revenue for purposes of conducting the income tax reciprocity benchmark study provided in section 7. Current law, which authorizes the commissioner to share data with other states for purposes of enforcing tax laws, would not authorize data sharing since there is not currently a reciprocity agreement in effect with Wisconsin.</p>	<p>Section 1. Same.</p>
2	<p>Subtractions from taxable income; contributions of food inventory. Allows an ongoing deduction for contributions of food inventory by S corporations, partnerships, and sole proprietors following the rules that apply permanently to C corporations under the federal and Minnesota taxes and that apply temporarily (through tax year 2011) to businesses taxed under the federal individual income tax. (Minnesota has typically conformed to this deduction in federal update legislation that extends the temporary federal provision, and conformed in Laws 2011, Chapter 8 for tax year 2010 only. This section would permanently establish these rules without regard to whether they are in effect for federal purposes or not. Double deduction would not be allowed in any years in which Minnesota conforms to the federal rules as part of update legislation.)</p>	<p>No comparable provision.</p>
	<p>No comparable provision.</p>	<p>Section 2. Subtractions from taxable income; military retirement pay. Adds an income tax subtraction for a percentage of military retirement pay, including survivor benefit pay, and includes the amount of that subtraction in the calculation of income subject to the alternative minimum tax. The subtraction applies beginning in tax year 2011 with a deduction of 20 percent of military retirement compensation received and is phased in over three tax years, with the deduction increasing to 35 percent in tax year 2012, and to 55 percent in tax year 2013.</p>
3	<p>Individual income tax rates. Decreases the lower and middle individual income tax rates from their current law levels of 5.35%, and 7.05% to 4.75% and 6.75%, phased in over three years. Does not change the 7.85% rate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tax year 2011: no change (5.35% and 7.05%) • Tax year 2012: 5.25% and 6.85% • Tax year 2013: 5.15% and 6.85% • Tax year 2014 and following years: 4.75% and 6.75% <p>Under current law, the lower and middle rates apply</p>	<p>No comparable provision.</p>

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 1: Individual Income and Corporate Franchise Taxes	Article 1: Income and Estate Taxes															
	<p>to the taxable income ranges shown in the table for tax year 2011.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">5.35% rate</th> <th style="text-align: center;">7.05% rate</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Married filing joint</td> <td style="text-align: center;">First \$33,770</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$33,771 to \$134,170</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Married filing separate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">First \$16,880</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$16,881 to \$67,080</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Single</td> <td style="text-align: center;">First \$23,100</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$23,101 to \$75,890</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Head of household</td> <td style="text-align: center;">First \$28,440</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$28,441 to \$114,290</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The income tax brackets are adjusted annually for inflation; the amounts that appear in the statute are the brackets as they were in 2000, the last time a rate change was enacted.</p>		5.35% rate	7.05% rate	Married filing joint	First \$33,770	\$33,771 to \$134,170	Married filing separate	First \$16,880	\$16,881 to \$67,080	Single	First \$23,100	\$23,101 to \$75,890	Head of household	First \$28,440	\$28,441 to \$114,290	
	5.35% rate	7.05% rate															
Married filing joint	First \$33,770	\$33,771 to \$134,170															
Married filing separate	First \$16,880	\$16,881 to \$67,080															
Single	First \$23,100	\$23,101 to \$75,890															
Head of household	First \$28,440	\$28,441 to \$114,290															
		<p>Section 3. K-12 education credit. Adds tuition payments to the list of eligible expenses for which Minnesota taxpayers may claim the refundable K-12 education credit. The credit equals 75 percent of qualifying expenses, up to a maximum of \$1,000 per child in grades K-12, and begins to phase out when income reaches \$33,500.</p>															
4	<p>Research credit. Increases each of the rates of the research credit by 2.5 percentage points. Under present law, the research credit equals ten percent of the first \$2 million of qualifying research and 2.5 percent of the excess over \$2 million. This section would increase the percentages to 12.5 percent and 3.7 percent for tax year 2011, and to 12.5 percent and five percent for tax year 2012 and following years.</p> <p>Background. The research credit is a refundable credit that applies against both the corporate franchise tax and individual income tax for flow-through tax entities (S corporations and partnerships). The credit is based on “qualified research,” which is the firm’s expenditures on research in the current taxable year minus a base amount. This base amount is based on the research expenditures by the entity during a three-year period (from 1984-1988) as a percentage of its gross receipts, but not to exceed 16 percent. Startup firms have a fixed-base percentage of three percent. (These computations are taken from federal law.) Qualifying research expenditures are largely expenditures on employee compensation (not equipment) for employees, conducting the research. The credit is limited to research conducted in Minnesota and the gross receipts used to determine</p>	<p>No comparable provision.</p>															

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 1: Individual Income and Corporate Franchise Taxes	Article 1: Income and Estate Taxes
	the base amount are Minnesota gross receipts.	
5	<p>Income tax reciprocity.</p> <p>Subd. 1. Agreements with other states. Eliminates the commissioner’s authority to terminate a reciprocity agreement with Wisconsin, and specifies that any reciprocity agreement with Wisconsin must require estimated payments of net revenue loss to be made from Wisconsin to Minnesota in the same fiscal year in which the loss occurred with a final payment with interest made in the next fiscal year. This would largely eliminate the payment time lag in effect for the reciprocity agreement with Wisconsin that was terminated following tax year 2009.</p> <p>Subd. 2. New reciprocity agreement with Wisconsin. Directs the commissioner to initiate negotiations with Wisconsin, with the objective of entering into a new reciprocity agreement that would be effective for tax year 2012. Provides that the commissioner may not enter into a new agreement with Wisconsin until Wisconsin has paid any amounts due, with interest, under the terms of the agreement in effect before tax year 2010.</p> <p>Effective date: Subdivision 2 is effective following final enactment, and subdivision 1 is effective when a new agreement with Wisconsin is reached.</p>	<p>Section 4. Substantially the same; language in different order.</p>
6	<p>Alternative minimum tax; individuals. Provides a subtraction from alternative minimum taxable income for amounts deducted under the deduction for food inventory provided in section 2.</p>	<p>Section 5. Provides a comparable subtraction from alternative minimum taxable income for amounts deducted under the military retirement pay deduction in Senate section 2.</p>
	No comparable provision.	<p>Section 6. Budget reserve fund checkoff. Allows for an individual to designate, when filing Minnesota taxes, that \$1 or more may be added to the individual’s tax liability or deducted from that individual’s refund for deposit in the budget reserve fund.</p>
	No comparable provision.	<p>Sections 7 to 12. Qualified farm and small business property estate tax exclusion. Allows for a subtraction of the combined value of qualified farm property and qualified small business property from the federal adjusted taxable estate for determining the Minnesota adjusted taxable estate. The combined value may not exceed \$4,000,000. The qualified property must be included in the federal adjusted taxable estate, the decedent must have owned the property for three years immediately preceding death, and a family member must use the property for the qualified farm or small business purpose for the three years immediately</p>

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 1: Individual Income and Corporate Franchise Taxes	Article 1: Income and Estate Taxes
		after the decedent’s death. In the case of a business, its most recent gross annual sales may not have exceeded \$10,000,000. Qualified family members must agree in writing to pay a recapture tax if the family member disposes of an interest in the property to someone other than a family member, or the property is not used as a qualified farm or small business property. The recapture tax equals 16 percent of the amount of the exclusion claimed by the estate. The article also adds new language to include the value of the qualified farm and small business property subtraction for purposes of determining the Minnesota adjusted taxable estate.
7	<p>Income tax reciprocity benchmark study. Directs the Department of Revenue to work with the Wisconsin Department of Revenue to conduct an income tax reciprocity benchmark study. The study would use information from Minnesota and Wisconsin 2011 income tax returns of individuals who are residents of one state and have earnings in the other state. Requires the study to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of residents of each state with earnings in the other state; • The income earned by residents of one state who work in the other state; • The change in tax revenue in each state if a reciprocity agreement were implemented under which taxpayers were required to pay income tax only in their state of residence. <p>Requires the report to be submitted to the legislature by March 1, 2013.</p>	Section 13. Same.
	Article 9, section 2, subdivision 1 appropriates \$115,000 in FY 2012 and \$215,000 in FY 2013 for the income tax reciprocity benchmark study.	Section 14. Appropriations. Appropriates funds to cover administrative costs of conducting the income tax reciprocity benchmark study described in Section 13 (\$409,000 in FY 2012 and \$429,000 in FY 2013).
	No comparable provision.	Appropriates funds for the administrative costs of the state budget reserve tax checkoff described in Section 6 (\$104,000 in FY 2012, and \$37,000 in FY 2013).
Sec.	Article 2: Sales and Use Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
1	Sales and use tax. Eliminates the accelerated remittance schedules for vendors with annual sales tax collections of at least \$120,000 for all months except for June payments. Effective for all payments due after July 1, 2011.	No comparable provision.
	No comparable provision	Sections 2 and 3. Medical device sales tax exemption exempts from sales tax items purchased in transactions covered by Medicare and Medicaid and includes single patient use items in definition of “durable medical equipment.” The article also

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 2: Sales and Use Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
		exempts accessories and supplies required for effective use of durable medical equipment that do not meet the exemption for repair and replacement parts allowed under current law.
	No comparable provision	Section 4. Ticket resale value exemption applies sales tax on “resale” tickets only to the difference between the face value and the resale value of the ticket.
2	Ring tones. Exempts the purchase of ring tones from sales and use tax by striking “ring tones” from the list of taxable telecommunication services. This change brings Minnesota into compliance with the SSUTA definition of taxable telecommunication services.	Section 1. Same.
3	Transitional period for services; sales tax. States that a sales tax rate increase is effective beginning with the first billing period for taxable services starting after the date of the rate change. For a rate decrease, the new rate will apply to bills mailed on or after the date of the rate change. This is current administrative practice.	No comparable provision.
4	Transitional period for services; use tax. Adds the same language in section 3 to the complementary use tax provisions.	No comparable provision.
5	Advertising and promotional material. Defines advertising and promotional direct mail and continues to apply the current sourcing rules. Removes obsolete references to direct pay permits, since these are now one type of exemption certificate rather than a separate permit.	No comparable provision.
6	Other direct mail. Defines “other direct mail” and simplifies the sourcing rules for this item. Under current law, if the purchaser does not provide an exemption certificate or direct pay permit, the seller must source the mail based on each mailing address. This will allow the mail to be sourced to the address of the purchaser instead. Also removes obsolete references to direct pay permits, since these are now one type of exemption certificate rather than a separate permit.	No comparable provision
7	Capital equipment. Removes the requirement that the sales tax be paid when the equipment is purchased and then refunded. Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2013.	No comparable provision
	No comparable provision	Sections 5 to 7. Local sales tax exemption for townships exempts townships from sales tax and provides that goods and services purchased by a township that are usually provided by a private business are taxable, if a private business engages in that same activity. Strikes language exempting sales to a town of gravel and machinery, since it is no longer needed under the general exemption.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 2: Sales and Use Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
8	Sales to local governments. Expands the sales tax exemption for certain goods and services to local governments to include water used directly in providing fire protection by a fire department, fire protection district, or fire company providing services to the state or a political subdivision. The exemption is retroactive to June 30, 2007; however, no refunds will be made for taxes paid before January 30, 2010.	No comparable provision
	No comparable provision	Sections 8 to 11. Public safety radio exemption adds a sales tax exemption for sales and purchases of public safety radio communication systems products and services, effective January 1, 2013. Qualifying sales and purchases after December 31, 2009, and before January 1, 2013, are eligible for a sales tax refund.
9	Refund; appropriation. Removes the sales tax refund provisions that apply to capital equipment refunds, which will become unnecessary when the exemption for purchase of capital equipment at time of sale takes effect. Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2013.	No comparable provision
	No comparable provision	Section 12. Aircraft and equipment exemption adds a sales tax exemption for the sale or purchase of small aircraft and certain aircraft equipment, including parts necessary to repair and maintain that equipment.
10	Budget adjustment. Permanently reduces the Department of Revenue annual budget by \$140,000 beginning in FY 2015, to reflect that the department will no longer need to process capital equipment refunds.	No comparable provision
11	Repealer. Repeals the penalty and safe harbor provisions related to the early remittance schedules eliminated in section 1.	No comparable provision
Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing
1	Citation. Names the law proposed in sections 2 through 10 the “Minnesota Science and Technology Program.”	No comparable provision (S.F. 300, which proposes a similar program, passed the Senate Jobs and Economic Growth Committee and has been re-referred to the Senate Taxes Committee).
2	Definitions. Defines the following terms for purposes of the program: Authority is the Minnesota Science and Technology Authority, an existing state entity that is established in Minnesota Statutes, section 116W.03. College or university is a private or public postsecondary education institution that grants academic degrees and conducts research and development in science and technology.	No comparable provision.

HO USE SENATE

Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing
	<p>Commercialization includes all of the activities involved with developing, producing, and selling a new product, ranging from doing the basic research at the “conceptual stage” through selling the product.</p> <p>Commercialized research project is research conducted in a college or university or at a nonprofit research institution or by a qualified science and technology company that has shown advanced commercial potential in the form of licenses, patents, or similar and for which a qualified science and technology company is being or has been formed.</p> <p>Fund is the Minnesota science and technology fund created under section 3.</p> <p>Nonprofit research institution is a 501(c)(3) organization with its principal place of business in Minnesota that conducts significant research and development activities in Minnesota.</p> <p>Qualified science and technology company is a business with fewer than 100 employees engaged in research, development, or production of science or technology in Minnesota.</p>	
3	<p>Minnesota Science and Technology Fund. Establishes the Minnesota Science and Technology Fund as a special revenue fund in the state treasury. Payments from the fund may only be made at the request of the Authority.</p> <p>A standing appropriation from the general fund is made to the Minnesota Science and Technology Fund of \$1.5 million per year in fiscal years 2012 and 2013, and \$3.5 million per year in following fiscal years. The appropriation would end with the expiration of the Minnesota Science and Technology Authority as provided in section 10.</p>	No comparable provision.
4	<p>Authorized uses of the fund. Authorizes the fund to be used for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The commercialized research program under section 5 • The federal research and development support program under section 6 • The industry innovation and competitiveness program under section 7 • Carrying out the powers of the authority to award grants and loans under section 8 	No comparable provision.
5	<p>Commercialized research program. Authorizes the authority to establish a commercialized research program to encourage the creation of science and technology jobs. This program can provide grants of up to \$250,000 per project for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research projects to assist in the 	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing
	<p>commercialization of science and technology, developed by a college, university, or nonprofit organization and transferred to a qualified science and technology company</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Projects developed directly by a qualified science and technology company <p>This program is subject to the following limits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority must establish written criteria for award and use of the grants The recipient (college, university, nonprofit organization, or private company) must provide matching funds Recipients must report to the authority on the uses and outcomes of the grant within one year 	
6	<p>Federal research and development support program. Authorizes the authority to establish a federal research and development support program to increase and coordinate efforts to obtain federal funding for research of primary benefit to qualified science and technology companies, colleges and universities, and nonprofit research organizations.</p> <p>Specifically, this program is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify potential federal funding sources Make grants to qualified science and technology companies Help develop federal Small Business Innovation (SBIR) or Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) proposals Match SBIR and STTR awards (subject to an annual \$1.5 million funding limit) 	No comparable provision.
7	<p>Industry innovation and competitiveness program. Authorizes the authority to create an industry technology and competitiveness program to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide matching funds to help startup of qualified science and technology companies Fund efforts to retain engineering, science, and technical jobs in Minnesota Fund science and technology industry growth clusters <p>This program is subject to the following limits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority must establish written criteria for the award and use of the grants The recipient (college, university, nonprofit organization, or private company) must provide matching funds Only 15 percent of the grants may be used 	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing
	<p>for overhead</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recipients must report to the authority on the uses and outcomes of the grant within one year 	
8	<p>Minnesota science and technology authority; powers. Provides that the authority has all of the powers necessary to carry out its purposes, including the power to make grants and loans and to pay for reasonable administrative expenses, including staff and professional fees. Administrative costs are limited to five percent of the first \$5,000,000 in the fund and two percent of any balance in excess of \$5,000,000.</p> <p>In making grants, the authority is directed to give priority to qualified science and technology businesses that have “demonstrable economic benefits to the state” by creating jobs, attracting federal money, or creating new businesses.</p> <p>In making grants to colleges, universities, and nonprofit research organizations, the authority is to give priority to proposals that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote collaboration with private businesses; Attract new research entities, talent, or resources to Minnesota; or Attract significant researchers and resources from outside of Minnesota. <p>Interest charged on the loans and other revenues from the fund’s transactions, including required repayments, go back to the corpus of the fund.</p>	No comparable provision.
9	<p>Repayment. Requires the recipient of an award, grant, loan, or other financial assistance to repay all or part of it, if the recipient moves out of Minnesota or ceases operation in the state within three years after it received the grant. If the relocation or closing occurs within two years of receipt, the entire amount must be repaid. Relocations or cessation of operation that occur after two years and before three years require repayment of 75 percent of the amount.</p>	No comparable provision.
10	<p>Expiration. Provides the law expires when the Minnesota Science and Technology Authority expires by law (June 30, 2018). Any unused money in the fund at that point would be returned to the general fund.</p>	No comparable provision.
	No comparable provision.	<p>Section 1. Modifies a provision that was a part of the 2010 Jobs bill by extending the date under which it would be operative. The law provides that tax increments from an economic development district may be used to provide loans or other subsidies or assistance to a development consisting of buildings and ancillary facilities if the</p>

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing
		municipality finds that the project will create or retain jobs in this state, including construction jobs, and that construction of the project would not have commenced before July 1, 2011, unless the authority provided the assistance. The 2011 date is extended to 2012, except for housing projects.
	No comparable provision.	Section 2. Extends for one year, from July 1, 2011, to July 1, 2012, a deadline for action that was included in a provision of the Jobs bill that was enacted by the legislature in 2010. The extension does not apply to housing projects. This provision authorizes expenditures of tax increments, not subject to other restrictions in the tax increment financing law, for the purpose of providing improvements, loans, interest rate subsidies, or assistance to private development for construction or substantial rehabilitation of buildings and related facilities if that project would create or retain jobs in the state, including construction jobs. The law also authorized expenditures of increments to make an investment in a business that the authority determines is necessary to make that type of construction feasible. The 2010 law required that the construction must begin before July 1, 2011. This bill would extend that construction period to July 1, 2012, except for housing projects.
11	<p>Pooling rules; market rate housing. Modifies the special pooling rules for housing projects. Under present law, an additional 10 percent of increment from a district may be used outside of the area of the district from which it was collected (that is, it may be “pooled” with other moneys to pay development costs) for low-income housing. This bill expands the use of that 10 percent of increment to include purposes taken from the special laws authorizes housing replacement districts for a number cities.</p> <p>This would allow use for owner-occupied housing with a value up to 150 percent of the average market value of housing in the city, but not to exceed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$200,000 in the seven-county metropolitan area; or • \$125,000 elsewhere in the state. <p>The money could be used to acquire the houses, demolish or relocate them, rehab them, do site preparation, or pollution cleanup. To qualify, the sites or housing must meet one of the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a 1- to 4-unit dwelling that has been vacant for at least six months • Be a 1- to 4-unit dwelling that is structurally substandard • Be in foreclosure 	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consist of vacant land, if the parcel would be used to develop or redevelop housing meeting one of the other three conditions. <p>This authority is sunset on December 31, 2016, but can be used to continue paying outstanding bonds.</p> <p>Effective date: Applies to all TIF districts subject to the pooling rules.</p>	
12	<p>Ramsey; TIF. Modifies the 2010 special TIF law enacted for the city of Ramsey. It corrects the boundary description in the 2010 law to add an eastern boundary for the district. In addition, it expands the exemptions from general law TIF rules that apply to this district:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The requirement that 90 percent of redevelopment district increments be spent to correct blight would not apply. The 2010 law provided exceptions from this requirement for various expenditures (e.g., the transit station and related infrastructure), while this provision provides a complete exemption. Increments are specifically authorized to be used for costs incurred prior to establishing the TIF district for: (1) land that the city or its housing and redevelopment authority acquired and (2) public improvements installed in the district. The 4-year knock-down rule is extended from 4 years to 6 years. This rule requires some type of development activity to occur on or adjacent to each parcel within four years of certification of the district, if the parcel is to remain in the district. Once development occurs, parcels are reinstated in the district, but with the current value used to compute the original tax capacity. <p>Effective date: Local approval by city.</p>	<p>Section 3. Senate bill only makes the boundary correction.</p>
13	<p>Lino Lakes; TIF. Authorizes the City of Lino Lakes to collect increments from its TIF district No. 1-10 through December 31, 2023. District No. 1-10 is an economic development TIF district, which otherwise would be required to be decertified at the end of 2013, so this is a 10-year extension.</p> <p>If the city elects to use this authority, it would be required to use increments collected from the district after 2/1/2011 only to pay debt service on bonds issued to finance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The county road 23 interchange with I-35W; and The Legacy at Woods Edge development. <p>These expenditures would not be subject to the general law restrictions on pooling, including the 5-</p>	<p>Section 5. Same.</p>

HO USE SENATE

Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing									
	<p>year rule, and limits on the type of purposes for which economic development district increments may be spent.</p> <p>Effective date: Upon local approval by city, county, and school district.</p>										
14	<p>Taylor Falls; border city development zone. Allows the City of Taylor Falls to designate all or any part of the city as a border city development zone. The bill allocates \$100,000 to the city to provide state tax benefits under the zone. This dollar limit does not apply if the commissioner of revenue waives the limit under the general law rules.</p> <p>The general law rules for border city development zones apply to these zones. This law allows businesses locating or expanding in designated zones to qualify for property tax exemptions, corporate franchise tax credits, and sales tax exemptions. Cities with these powers also can extend some of these benefits to businesses located within their cities, but outside a development zone. To receive these tax reductions, a business must apply to the city in which it is located. Under present law, the cities of Breckenridge, Dilworth, East Grand Forks, Luverne, Moorhead, and Ortonville have border city development zone powers. (Luverne received one-time funding in 2001; the other cities have received biennial funding since designation of the zones in 1998 through the 2007-2008 biennium. No city received border city financing in the 2010-2011 biennium.)</p> <p>Effective date: Day following final enactment.</p>	No comparable provision.									
	No comparable provision.	<p>Section 4. Cohasset. Authorizes the authority that operates two specified tax increment financing districts in the city of Cohasset to transfer tax increments from each of those districts to the city in an amount equal to the advances that the city made from its general fund to finance expenditures that are authorized for expenditures of tax increments under the law for the benefit of that district.</p>									
15	<p>Appropriation. Redirects the \$3 million appropriation in section 3 to the Minnesota Science and Technology Fund to a variety of other purposes as follows:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 60%;">Purpose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">FY 2012</th> <th style="width: 20%;">FY 2013</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Enterprise Minnesota, Inc. for the small business growth acceleration program</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$200,000</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>BioBusiness Alliance of Minnesota for business development programs</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$475,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Purpose	FY 2012	FY 2013	Enterprise Minnesota, Inc. for the small business growth acceleration program	\$200,000		BioBusiness Alliance of Minnesota for business development programs		\$475,000	No comparable provision.
Purpose	FY 2012	FY 2013									
Enterprise Minnesota, Inc. for the small business growth acceleration program	\$200,000										
BioBusiness Alliance of Minnesota for business development programs		\$475,000									

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 3: Economic Development	Article 5: Tax Increment Financing				
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Minnesota Inventors</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Science and Technology Authority for administrative expenses (This amount is in addition to the \$150,000 permitted in sections 3 and 8 in FY 2012-2013).</td> <td style="text-align: center;">107,000</td> </tr> </table>	Minnesota Inventors	50,000	Science and Technology Authority for administrative expenses (This amount is in addition to the \$150,000 permitted in sections 3 and 8 in FY 2012-2013).	107,000	
Minnesota Inventors	50,000					
Science and Technology Authority for administrative expenses (This amount is in addition to the \$150,000 permitted in sections 3 and 8 in FY 2012-2013).	107,000					
Sec.	Article 4: Local Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes				
1	<p>Prohibition on promoting local sales taxes. Prohibits a political subdivision from holding a referendum to impose a local sales tax unless it is for an existing tax or for a tax authorized by special law prior to May 24, 2011—the end of the 2011 legislative session. The new limit would be effective for another two years, until May 31, 2013.</p> <p>Also prohibits a local government from spending money on a local sales tax referendum, other than the amount needed to conduct the vote. No local government money may be used to support passage of the referendum. Effective the day after final enactment and applies to all sales tax referenda, including those contained in this article.</p>	<p>Section 13. Local sales tax authorization strikes language adopted in 2008 that prohibited political subdivisions from adopting new local sales tax provisions until after May 31, 2010. Since that date has passed, the paragraph is no longer needed.</p>				
	No comparable provision	<p>Section 14. Local sales tax authorization requires voter approval of a local option sales tax before the sales tax may be authorized by the legislature. It also adds language to clarify that a political subdivision referendum on the issuance of bonds to be paid from the proceeds of a local sales tax: (1) does not have to include notice of a property tax increase, and (2) is not subject to offset by property tax values in the political subdivision.</p>				
2	<p>Aggregate materials tax; Glenwood Township. Provides that if Pope County does not impose the aggregate materials (gravel) tax, authorized by statute, the Glenwood Township may impose the tax in the township instead. The proceeds of the tax would be used for the same purpose as the county tax: 85 percent for roads and bridges and 15 percent for pit and quarry restoration or other conservation or environmental needs. The township tax would be repealed if Pope County started to impose a gravel tax.</p>	No comparable provision				
3	<p>Repeal annual escalator; Hennepin county ballpark tax. Reduces the 1.5 percent escalator that applies to the \$4 million limit on permitted expenditures of baseball park sales tax revenues for extended Hennepin County library hours and youth activities and sports to 0.75 percent. The payment for youth activities and sports is limited to \$2 million, so all growth must be used for libraries.</p>	No comparable provision				
4	<p>Limit on deposits to reserve fund; Hennepin county ballpark tax. Imposes a \$20 million limit</p>	No comparable provision				

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 4: Local Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
	<p>on the amount of reserves that may be maintained for the baseball park and prohibits maintaining a reserve for the purpose of making library and youth activity and sports grants. When the cap on the amount of the reserve fund is reached, this will compel additional revenues from the sales tax to be used to redeem or defease bonds, the only other permitted purposes for these sales tax revenues.</p>	
5	<p>City of Hermantown; sales tax. Authorizes the city of Hermantown to increase the local sales tax rate from the current ½ percent rate to the originally authorized one percent rate if approved by the voters at a general election held by the end of calendar year 2012.</p>	<p>Section 15 - similar but allows the vote at either a general or special election held before December 31, 2012.</p>
6	<p>City of Rochester; use of sales tax revenues. Allows the city to use its local sales tax revenues to fund the following additional projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$47 million for transportation infrastructure improvements (highways and airport), but prohibits any money to be used to fund a railroad bypass that would affect rail traffic in the city of Rochester; • \$26.5 million for higher education facilities; • \$8 million for construction of regional public safety facilities; and • \$20 million for the Destination Medical Community initiative. 	<p>Section 16. Similar but also allows the following uses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$40.5 million for regional youth and elder community facilities; and • \$38 million for economic development purposes (including the \$20 million for the Destination Medical Community initiative in the House language).
7	<p>City of Rochester; bonding authority. Allows the city to hold the election to issue bonds for the projects in section 7 at the same time they hold the election for the extension of the local sales tax. The amount of the bonds is limited to \$101.5 million plus associated bond costs.</p>	<p>Section 17. Similar – but the aggregate principal of all bonds issued for these purposes may not exceed \$160 million plus issuance costs.</p>
8	<p>City of Rochester; termination of sales taxes. Allows the city to extend the current tax beyond the date necessary to raise sufficient funds for current authorized projects. The tax is extended to December 31, 2012. If the tax extension is approved by the voters at the 2012 general election, the tax is extended until sufficient revenues are raised to fund the additional \$101.5 million in projects plus associated bond costs.</p>	<p>Section 18. Similar – but the extension would be effective until the Rochester City Council determines that \$160 million has been received to finance the projects and pay the balance on any bonds issued.</p>
9	<p>City of Clearwater; sales tax. Expands the authorized uses of the Clearwater sales tax to include improvements to regional parks, bicycle trails, park land, open space, and walkways included in the city improvement plan adopted in December 2006. This includes all the projects included in the city’s original 2008 request but ties the projects to a particular planning document.</p>	<p>Section 19. Same.</p>
10	<p>City of Cloquet; sales and use tax authorized. Allows the city to impose a local sales tax of up to ½ of one percent, subject to approval at a general</p>	<p>No comparable provision.</p>

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 4: Local Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
	<p>election. The imposition and administration of the tax is subject to the provisions in Minnesota Statutes, section 297A.99.</p> <p>The city may also impose a flat \$20/vehicle tax on motor vehicles sold by dealers located in the city. Revenues from the taxes must be used to pay the costs of administration and to pay for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$4.5 million for the following park improvements: the Veteran’s Park, a soccer complex, baseball complex, hockey arena, recreation center, and pedestrian trails throughout the city; • \$5.8 million for extension of utilities and other improvements related to property development adjacent to Highway 33 and Interstate 35; and • \$6.2 million for engineering and construction of infrastructure improvements identified in the city’s comprehensive land use plan. <p>The city may issue up to \$16.5 million in bonds for the projects listed based on the voter approval of the sales tax imposition. No separate vote is required for issuing the bonds and the bonds are not included in any debt or levy limit on the city.</p> <p>The taxes expire at the earlier of (1) 30 years or (2) when the revenues collected are sufficient to pay for the projects and retire any associated bonds and bond costs. Because of the timing requirements for termination, any excess revenues will be deposited in the city general fund. The city may choose to end the taxes at an earlier date.</p>	
<p>11</p>	<p>City of Fergus Falls; sales and use tax authorized. Allows the city to impose a local sales tax of one-half of one percent to finance a regional ice arena, as approved by their voters at the 2010 general election. The imposition and administration of the tax is subject to the provisions on Minnesota Statutes, section 297A.99, including the requirement for approval by the voters at a general election.</p> <p>The city may use up to \$6.6 million in revenues from the taxes to pay the costs of administration and to pay for the acquisition and betterment of a regional ice center facility, including associated bond costs. Allowed costs include furnishing and equipment costs as well as acquisition, design, and construction costs, and associated bond costs.</p> <p>The tax expires when the revenues collected are sufficient to pay for the project and retire any associated bonds and bond costs. Because of the timing requirements for termination; any excess revenues will be deposited in the city general fund.</p>	<p>Section 20. Same.</p>

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 4: Local Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
	<p>The city may choose to end the taxes at an earlier date.</p>	
<p>12</p>	<p>City of Hutchinson, sales tax authorized. Allows the city to impose a local sales tax of one-half of one percent to pay for its wastewater treatment facility, as approved by their voters at the 2010 general election. Allows the city to impose a complementary flat \$20/vehicle tax on motor vehicles sold by dealers located in the city.</p> <p>Revenues from the taxes must be used to pay the costs of administration and to pay for the construction and renovation of the city’s wastewater treatment facility, including construction, engineering, and associated bond costs. The taxes end at the earlier of (1) 18 years, or (2) when revenues raised are sufficient to pay for the project, including all associated bond costs. Because of the timing requirements for termination; any excess revenues will be deposited in the city general fund. The city may choose to end the taxes at an earlier date.</p>	<p>Section 22. Same.</p>
<p>13</p>	<p>City of Lanesboro; sales and use tax. Allows the city to impose a ½ of one percent sales tax in the city of Lanesboro, as approved by the voters at the 2010 general election, for the indicated projects.</p> <p>Revenues from the taxes must be used to pay the costs of administration and to pay for the following projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • street and utility improvements along a number of specified streets, • street lighting on State Highways 250 and 16; • wastewater treatment facility improvements; • utility improvements to the Lanesboro High Hazard Dam; and • improvements to the community center, library, and city hall. <p>Total improvements under this section are limited to \$800,000 and associated bond costs.</p> <p>Allows the city to issue up to \$800,000 in bonds for the projects listed in subdivision 3, based on the voter approval of the sales tax imposition and the bonds are not included in any debt or levy limit on the city. The taxes end when revenues raised are sufficient to pay for the projects, including all associated bond costs. Because of the timing requirements for termination; any excess revenues will be deposited in the city general fund. The city may choose to end the taxes at an earlier date.</p>	<p>Section 21. Same.</p>
<p>14</p>	<p>City of Marshall; sales and use tax. Allows the city to impose a ½ of one percent sales tax in the city of Marshall for the indicated projects if</p>	<p>No comparable provision.</p>

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 4: Local Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
	<p>approved by voters at a general election held in the next two years. The city is required to present separate ballot questions must be presented for the two authorized projects which are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • new and existing facilities of the Minnesota Emergency Response and Industry Training Center and • new facilities of the Southwest Minnesota Regional Amateur Sports Center. <p>Allows the city to issue up to \$17.29 million in bonds for the projects listed in subdivision 3, based on the voter approval of the sales tax imposition and the bonds are not included in any debt or levy limit on the city. The local sales tax ends at the earlier of (1) 15 years, or (2) when revenues raised are sufficient to pay for the projects, including all associated bond costs. Because of the timing requirements for termination; any excess revenues will be deposited in the city general fund. The city may choose to end the taxes at an earlier date.</p>	
15	<p>City of Medford; sales and use tax. Allows the city to impose a ½ of one percent sales tax in the city of Medford to repay Minnesota Public Facility Authority Loans, if approved by the voters at a general election. The loans were used to finance \$4.2 million of improvements to the city’s water and wastewater systems. The local sales tax ends at the earlier of (1) 20 years, or (2) when revenues raised are sufficient to repay the loans, including interest. Because of the timing requirements for termination; any excess revenues will be deposited in the city general fund. The city may choose to end the taxes at an earlier date.</p>	<p>No comparable provision.</p>
16	<p>Study; use of zip codes in collecting local sales tax. Requires the Department of Revenue to report by March 1, 2012, to the legislative committees with jurisdiction over local sales taxes on the use of zip codes in collecting the existing local sales taxes. The report must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current status of the Department’s efforts to develop a system to calculate local sales taxes based on a street address plus the five-digit zip code for all zip codes that are shared by a local government with a local sales tax authority and an adjacent local government; including a timeline for finishing this work; • Business compliance with the current law requiring them to impose the tax on the lowest combined rate in a zip code that crosses jurisdictions when the actual tax cannot be determined; • The accuracy of current local sales tax revenue allocations when contiguous jurisdictions have separate local sales taxes 	<p>No comparable provision.</p>

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 4: Local Taxes	Senate Article 2: Sales Taxes
	but share a zip code; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendations for administrative and statutory changes needed to improve the accurate collection and allocation of local sales taxes collected in this state. 	
Sec.	Article 5: Property Taxes	Article 4: Property Tax
1	Referendum market value. Technical change in the definition of referendum market value to accommodate cleanup of class 4c language in section 4.	No comparable provision
	No comparable provision	Section 1. Economic development; public purpose. Modifies the holding period that applies to the property tax exemption for property that is held by a political subdivision of the state for later resale for economic development purposes. Under current law, property will be exempt for up to eight years, except that a property that is located in a city of 5,000 or less population, and outside of the metropolitan area, the period is extended to 15 years. This section extends the eight-year holding period to ten years.
2	Electric generation facility; personal property. Provides an exemption for attached machinery of an electric generation facility proposed to be built in the city of Fairmont. The facility must be designed for between 20 and 30 megawatts of power, run on natural gas, and be operated by a municipal power agency, among other requirements. Construction would be required to commence after December 31, 2011, and before January 1, 2015.	Section 2. Same.
	No comparable provision	Section 3. Appeal. Provides that if an assessor denies an application for Green Acres treatment, the applicant may appeal the decision to the Local Board of Appeal and Equalization.
3	Valuation notice. Provides that the notice of property valuation sent out each spring must contain a specific notification when a property's classification has changed from what it was in the previous year. Also deletes a number of obsolete provisions in the valuation statement requirements. Effective for assessment year 2012, taxes payable in 2013, and thereafter.	No comparable provision
4	Class 4. Provides a reduced property tax classification (4c) for commercial properties consisting of not more than 20 residential units that are used for less than 250 days a year, and that are located in a city or town with a population under 2,500 located outside the metropolitan area, provided that a state trail passes through the city or town. 4c classification provides for a class rate of 1.5 percent, and provides that the property pays the state seasonal recreational tax rate rather than the	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 5: Property Taxes	Article 4: Property Tax
	<p>state commercial-industrial tax rate.</p> <p>Also makes a number of technical changes to eliminate redundant language and provide separate classification for commercial and noncommercial seasonal-recreational property.</p>	
	No comparable provision.	Section 7. Class 4. Provides that a nonprofit community service organization may count expenditures it makes for a replacement building for itself for up to 50 percent of the amount of contributions it is required to make in order to obtain a reduced class rate. This treatment is limited to a 20-year period.
5	<p>Homestead of disabled veteran or family caregiver. Expands eligibility for the market value exclusion for disabled veterans in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The surviving spouse of a disabled veteran is allowed to continue to receive the benefit for five full years after a disabled veteran’s death (under current law the surviving spouse continues to receive the benefit for two full years). (Annual application required.) • The surviving spouse of a service member who dies while in active military service is allowed to receive a five-year exclusion. (Annual application required.) • A disabled veteran’s <i>primary family caregiver</i>, if one exists, is allowed to receive the market value exclusion if the veteran has no homestead of her or his own. (Annual application required.) <p>The section also provides that the market value exclusion terminates in the year after a surviving spouse remarries.</p>	<p>Section 8. Expands eligibility for the market value exclusion for disabled veterans.</p> <p>Same</p> <p>No provision</p> <p>No provision</p> <p>Same</p>
	No comparable provision	Section 9. Local boards of review. Authorizes, but does not require, local boards of review to take appeals of denials of green acres treatment by assessors.
6	<p>State property tax. Reduces the state general tax levy for commercial-industrial property by \$32.1 million per year, effective for taxes payable in 2012 and thereafter. Leaves the general levy amount for seasonal-recreational property at the current law level of \$40.6 million for taxes payable in 2012. Both components of the state general levy would continue to increase each year by the implicit price deflator as in current law.</p>	Section 10. Phases out the state general levy for commercial-industrial and seasonal-recreational properties. The state general levy is reduced by approximately nine percent from the current level for taxes payable in 2012 and 2013, and then further reduced by five percent for each of the taxes payable years 2014 and 2015 and by ten percent of its payable 2013 level for the succeeding taxes payable years, until the tax is eliminated after taxes payable year 2024.
7	Seasonal residential recreational tax capacity. Makes technical change in state general levy statute	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 5: Property Taxes	Article 4: Property Tax
	related to technical changes in section 4.	
8	Apportionment of state property tax. Strikes language apportioning 95 percent of the state general levy to commercial-industrial property and 5 percent to seasonal recreational property, consistent with the reduction in the commercial-industrial portion of the levy in section 6. Instead the levy on each type of property will increase from the new base amounts set for taxes payable in 2012.	Section 11. Eliminates the percentage apportionment of the state general levy between commercial-industrial and seasonal-recreational properties, which is no longer necessary since specific levy amounts for each property type are specified in section 10.
9	Special taxing districts; definitions. Removes regional rail authorities from the statute that lists special taxing districts, consistent with the changes in sections 17 and 18.	No comparable provision.
10	Special levies. Eliminates the special levy for market value credit reimbursement reductions beginning with taxes levied in 2012, payable in 2013, to reflect the elimination of that program in other sections of the bill.	No comparable provision.
11	Levy limit base. With sections 12 and 13, extends the current levy limits for another two years – for taxes levied in 2011 and 2012, payable in 2012 and 2013.	No comparable provision.
12	Adjusted levy limit base. With sections 11 and 13, extends the current levy limits for another two years – for taxes levied in 2011 and 2012, payable in 2012 and 2013.	No comparable provision.
13	Property tax levy limit. With sections 11 and 12, extends the current levy limits for another two years – for taxes levied in 2011 and 2012, payable in 2012 and 2013.	No comparable provision.
14	Maintenance of effort requirements. Provides a suspension of maintenance of effort (MOE) requirements on counties for calendar years 2012 and 2013 provided that the suspension of an MOE does not require the state to spend additional money, or cause the state or county to lose federal funds. Also exempts cities from the library MOE requirement for 2012 and 2013. Requires the commissioner of management and budget to post a list of all MOEs that will be suspended under this provision.	Article 3, Section 14 [MOE requirements reduced] reduces county maintenance of effort requirements for regional libraries, mental health services, child welfare targeted case management, and family service collaboratives to 90 percent of the amount required for 2011. The MOE reductions cannot result in increased state costs or cause a reduction in federal funding. The city MOE requirement for regional libraries is also reduced to 90 percent of the amount required for 2011.
15	Property tax late payment penalties. Makes two changes in the late payment penalties under the property tax: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides that all classes of property would be subject to the more lenient penalty schedule that now applies to homestead and seasonal-recreational properties only. • Provides that the additional 4-percent penalty that applies to the second half payment (due October 15th) on November 	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 5: Property Taxes	Article 4: Property Tax
	1 st would be reduced to 2 percent.	
16	Taxation—regional rail authorities. Provides that levies on behalf of a regional rail authority must be levied by the county board within the county’s levy limit, if any. If the authority consists of more than one county, the levy must be apportioned between the member counties as provided by the joint resolution organizing the authority. Also eliminates the reverse referendum for regional rail authority levies, since the levies would just become part of the county’s levy.	No comparable provision.
17	Regional rail authorities—security. Specifies that for purposes of debt levies the county makes the levy on behalf of the regional rail authority. Specifies that only the county may pledge its faith and credit or taxing power for payment of a rail authority’s bonds. Requires the county to levy for any bonds issued by the regional rail authority prior to June 1, 2011, to which the authority’s levy was pledged.	No comparable provision.
18	Repealer. Repeals late property tax payment penalties for seasonal recreational property that is superseded by the general penalty changes in section 15.	No comparable provision.
	No comparable provision	Section 12, paragraph (b). Repealer. Repeals the state general tax for taxes payable in 2024 and thereafter.
Sec.	Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	Article 3: Tax Aids and Credits
1	Applicability; amount. Reduces the per acre PILT payments for public hunting land to 85 percent of current levels. Currently most of these lands receive payments based on 0.75 percent of assessed value. This is reduced to 0.6375 percent. Effective beginning with aids payable in 2011.	Section 1. Similar, except that the Senate reduces the per acre payments to 88 percent of current levels. Senate language reduces the 0.75 percent rate to 0.66 percent.
2	Goose management croplands. Reduces the PILT payment on goose management croplands from 100 percent of the taxes assessed on comparable privately owned adjacent land to 85 percent of the taxes on comparable land. Effective beginning with aids payable in 2011.	Section 2. Similar, except that the Senate reduces the PILT payment on these lands to 88 percent of the tax on comparable lands.
3	Political contribution refund. Strikes a reference to the political contribution refund, which is repealed in section 32.	Section 3. Same.
4	Tax capacity. Specifies that for residential homesteads and for the house, garage and one acre portion of agricultural homesteads, the tax capacity is to be computed as the property’s market value times the class rate, minus the amount now computed as the market value homestead credit. (Note that this section also deletes some obsolete language.)	Section 4. Same.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	Article 3: Tax Aids and Credits
	No comparable provision.	Section 5. Replaces a cross-reference to the Sustainable Forest Incentive Act (SFIA) with the SFIA definition of “forest management plan” that is currently used in the qualification for managed forest land.
5	Residential homestead market value tax capacity reduction. Changes the homestead market value credit from a credit to a reduction in each homestead’s tax capacity.	Section 6. Same.
6	Credit reimbursements. Provides that the agricultural market value credit will continue to be a state-paid credit, but the homestead market value credit will no longer be a state-paid credit.	Section 7. Same.
7	Payment. Provides that the agricultural market value credit will continue to be a state-paid credit, but the homestead market value credit will no longer be a state-paid credit.	Section 8. Same.
8	Computation of net property taxes. Eliminates the market value homestead credit from the list of credits to be subtracted in going from a property’s gross tax to its net tax.	Section 10. Same.
9	Disparity reduction aid. Provides for a permanent reduction in certified disparity reduction aid in each unique taxing jurisdiction equal to 50 percent of the amount certified for taxes payable in 2011. Also deletes the procedure that recalculates disparity reduction aid amounts when there is a class rate reduction. Effective for taxes payable in 2012 and thereafter.	Section 11. Different. Eliminates disparity reduction aid for counties and townships beginning with aids payable 2012. School districts will receive the amount of their 2011 distribution in 2012 and subsequent years. This section also deletes the recalculation of disparity reduction aid when class rates are reduced.
	No comparable provision.	Section 12. Disparity reduction credit. Phases out the disparity reduction credit over four years beginning with taxes payable in 2012.
10	Computation of tax capacity. Provides that each property’s tax capacity will be determined as prescribed in section 4. Also deletes obsolete language.	Section 13. Same.
11	Additional adjustment. Increases the tax rate limit for disparity reduction aid from 90 percent to 105 percent. Under this change, disparity reduction aid would not reduce a unique taxing area’s tax rate below 105 percent of tax capacity.	No comparable provision.
12	Contents of tax statements. Eliminates the market value homestead credit from the list of credit subtractions on the tax statement.	Section 15. Same.
13	Political contribution refund; conforming changes. Eliminates a reference to the political contribution refund, which is repealed in section 32.	Section 16. Same.
14	Political contribution refund; conforming changes. Strikes a definition of the term “taxpayer” that is used in determination of the political	Section 17. Same.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	Article 3: Tax Aids and Credits
	contribution refund, which is repealed in section 32.	
15	<p>Renter property tax refund; rent constituting property taxes. Reduces the percent of rent constituting property taxes used in calculating the property tax refund for renters from 19 percent to 12 percent. Effective for refund claims filed in 2011 and thereafter based on rent paid in 2010 and thereafter.</p> <p>Background. The percent of rent constituting property taxes was reduced from 19 percent to 15 percent for 2010 refunds based on rent paid in 2009 only under the June 2009 unallotment. This reduction was subsequently enacted into law in Laws 2010, 1st Special Session chapter 1.</p>	<p>Section 18. Different. Reduces the percent of rent constituting property taxes from 19 percent to 15 percent. Effective for refund claims filed in 2011 and thereafter based on rent paid in 2010 and thereafter.</p>
16	<p>Renter property tax refund; manufactured homes. Reduces the percent of rent constituting property taxes for rent paid on the site on which a manufactured home or park trailer taxed as a manufactured home is located from 19 percent to 12 percent. Effective for refund claims based on rent paid in 2010 and following years.</p>	<p>Section 19. Different. Reduces the percent of rent constituting property taxes from 19 percent to 15 percent for manufactured homes and park trailers. Effective for refund claims filed in 2011 and thereafter based on rent paid in 2010 and thereafter.</p>
	No comparable provisions.	<p>Sections 20 and 21. Homeowner property tax refund. Increases the maximum state refund amounts and reduces percentages paid by claimants at most income levels. The household income levels are updated to reflect inflation adjustments through the 2012 filing year. Effective for refunds based on taxes payable in 2012 and following years.</p>
17	<p>Alternative process for consolidation. Allows an alternative way for counties to begin the process for consolidation by filing a unanimous resolution from each county board with the secretary of state. Currently they need to have a petition signed by 25 percent of the voters in the last general election from each county in order to start the process.</p>	No comparable provision
18	<p>First class city. Defined based on 2008 population. Includes Minneapolis, St. Paul, and Duluth.</p>	No comparable provision.
19	<p>Aid payments in 2011 and 2012 (counties). Limits the total county program aid (CPA) paid to each county in 2011 and 2012 to the <u>lesser</u> of its paid CPA in 2010, after reductions, or the amount it was certified to receive in Pay 2011. Total CPA payments in 2011 and 2012 are reduced from \$197 million to \$161 million.</p>	Section 22. Same.
20	<p>City formula aid. Finishes the phaseout of LGA to first class cities begun in section 22. Those cities get 25 percent of their base aid in Pay 2013 and zero in Pay 2014 and thereafter.</p>	No comparable provision.
21	<p>City aid distribution. Clarifies the starting point for calculating maximum aid increases and decreases to aid payments in Pay 2013 and later. States that the limits on increases and decreases do</p>	<p>Section 23. Similar - does not include the provision related to first class cities.</p>

HO

USE

SENATE

Sec.	Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	Article 3: Tax Aids and Credits
	not apply to the aid changes to first class cities.	
22	<p>Aid payments in 2011 and 2012. Sets the aid payments to different types of cities in each year. Defines “base aid” to be the lesser of (1) paid 2010 LGA or (2) certified 2011 LGA for each city. The aid paid to each type of city is as follows:</p> <p>In Pay 2011:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First class cities as of 2008 would receive 75% of the base aid • Remaining cities would receive their certified 2011 LGA <p>In Pay 2012:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First class cities as of 2008 would receive 50% of the base aid • Remaining cities would receive 100% of base aid 	<p>Section 24. Different.</p> <p>In Pay 2011:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aid to all cities is equal to the lesser of the 2011 certified LGA distribution or the 2010 LGA final distribution after reductions. <p>In Pay 2012:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aid to all cities is equal to the lesser of the 2011 certified LGA distribution or the 2010 LGA final distribution after reductions. (Same as House except for cities of the first class and the city of Houston)
23	<p>Appropriation.</p> <p>For cities: Phases down the LGA appropriation in Pay 2013 and 2014 and thereafter to reflect the remaining phaseout of LGA to first class cities. The appropriation of \$274,377,734 in Pay 2014 and thereafter is equal to the amount of aid going to cities other than first class cities and suburbs for aids payable in 2013.</p> <p>For counties: Sets the county program aid appropriation for pay 2013 and later years at the \$161 million level paid in 2012. Beginning in 2013 the aid will be distributed through the formula.</p>	<p>Section 25. Different.</p> <p>For cities: Permanently reduces the appropriation for Local Government Aid from \$527.1 million to \$426.4 million for aids payable in 2013 and thereafter.</p> <p>For counties: The appropriation for County Program Aid is reduced from \$197.7 million to \$165.7 million beginning in 2013. Beginning in 2013 the aid will be distributed through the formula.</p>
24	<p>Terms. Eliminates a cross reference to the inflation factor for PILT payments that is repealed in section 32.</p>	<p>Section 26. Same.</p>
25	<p>Types of land, payments. Reduces the per acre rate for PILT payments beginning with aids payable in 2011 to 85 percent of the 2011 rate under current law. Eliminates any inflation in the future. Currently the inflation adjusted rates for payable 2011 are equal to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5.133/acre or 0.75 percent of assessed value for acquired land; • \$1.283/acre for county administered other natural resources land and land utilization project (LUP) land; and • \$0.642/acre on commissioner administered other natural resource land. <p>The permanent rates set in the bill are 85 percent of the 2011 rates and are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$4.363/acre or 0.6375 percent of assessed value for acquired land; 	<p>Section 27. Similar, except that the Senate reduces the per acre rate to 88 percent of the 2011 rate under current law.</p> <p>Permanent rates are 88 percent of the 2011 rates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$4.517/acre or 0.66 percent of assessed value for acquired land;

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	Article 3: Tax Aids and Credits
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1.091/acre for county-administered other natural resources land and land utilization project (LUP) land; and • \$0.545/acre on commissioner-administered other natural resource land. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1.129 per acre for county-administered natural resources land and LUP land; and • \$0.565/acre on commissioner-administered other natural resources land.
26	General distribution. Adjusts the allocation of PILT payments to the county and the townships proportionately to reflect the 15 percent reduction in the total payments.	Section 28. Similar, except Senate adjusts allocation to reflect the 12 percent reduction in total payments.
27	Lake Vermilion State Park and Soudan Mine State Park, annual payments. Reduces the PILT payments for land in these two parks to 85 percent of the current level—from 1.5 percent of appraised value to 1.275 percent of appraised value. Effective beginning with aids payable in 2011.	Section 29. Similar, except Senate reduces PILT payments to 88 percent of the current level.
28	<p>Administration of 2011 property tax refund claims; renters. Directs the commissioner of revenue to recalculate claims for 2011 renter property tax refunds to reflect the reduction in the percent of rent constituting property taxes from 19 percent to 12 percent provided in sections 15 and 16. Requires the commissioner to notify claimants whose refunds are recalculated that the recalculation was mandated by action of the 2011 Legislature.</p> <p>Background. By January 31, 2011, landlords are required to issue form CRP to renters for use in claiming the renter property tax refund. Form CRP reports on line 1 the dollar amount of rent paid, and on line 3 the rent multiplied by the 19 percent, which equals the percent constituting property taxes. Renters are instructed to use the amount on line 3 in filling out form M-1PR, the claim form for property tax refunds. The Department of Revenue would then recalculate the M-1PR claim as if the line 3 amount had been rent multiplied by 12 percent, rather than 19 percent.</p>	Section 30. Similar. References the Senate proposed reduction in the percent of rent constituting property taxes from 19 percent to 15 percent.
29	Credit reductions and limitations; counties and cities. Reduces county and city pay 2011 market values credit reimbursements to the same amount received in pay 2010, after reductions.	Section 9. Substantively the same, but codifies the reductions in a new subdivision 7 in section 273.1384.
30	Property tax statement for taxes payable in 2012 only. Provides that for purposes of the 2012 tax statement only, in depicting property taxes payable in 2011, the market value homestead credit will not be explicitly shown. Instead, the credit will be subtracted from the amount shown as the gross tax.	Section 31. Same.
	No comparable provision.	Section 32. Requires the commissioner of natural resources to report to the legislature with recommended changes to the PILT program after consulting with the commissioners of revenue and MMB and stakeholders. The report must include an analysis of the current PILT payment system and any recommended changes to the purpose and

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 6: Aids, Credits, and Refunds	Article 3: Tax Aids and Credits
		criteria for payments, the rate of payments for classes of natural resource lands, the distribution formula and recognition of the tax capacity foregone due to the loss of future development potential for the land.
31	Cooperation, consolidation, innovation grants. Allows the commissioner for administration to make grants of up to \$100,000 each to local governments to plan and implement service cooperation/consolidation or innovation with at least one other local government. Grants are on a first-come first served basis and the total appropriation is about \$1.6 million per year for the FY 2012-2013 biennium.	Section 33. Similar. The grant program is administered by the state auditor rather than the commissioner of administration. Does not include “innovation” as a grant purpose. Reporting requirements differ slightly. \$3.5 million is appropriated in each of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 for the consolidation and service-sharing grants.
32	Repealer. Paragraphs (a) and (b) repeal the political contribution refund program, the section of the data practices law relating to political contribution refunds, and the section providing for refund receipts. Effective for contributions made after June 30, 2011. Paragraph (c) repeals the market value credit reimbursement reductions for towns for pay 2011 and the inflation factor used to adjust PILT payments. Paragraph (d) repeals the Sustainable Forest Incentive Act (SFIA) program effective July 1, 2011. Requires the commissioner of revenue to issue a document to each enrollee releasing the land from the covenant. No comparable provisions to part of paragraph (c) or paragraph (e).	Section 34. Same provisions in paragraphs (a) and (b). Paragraph (d) repeals market value credit reimbursement reductions for towns for pay 2012. Paragraph (c) repeals the PILT inflation factor. Same provision in paragraph (f). Paragraph (c) also repeals the wetland reimbursement and the inflation factor used to adjust PILT payments. Paragraph (e) repeals the disparity reduction credit effective for taxes payable in 2015.
Sec.	Article 7: Green Acres and Rural Preserves	
1	Purpose. Provides that the purpose of the Green Acres program is “...to encourage and preserve farms by mitigating the property tax impact of increasing land values due to nonagricultural economic forces.”	No comparable provision
2	Additional taxes. Provides that for property originally enrolled in the Green Acres program after May 1, 2012, the payback period will be five years instead of three years.	No comparable provision
3	Requirements. Modifies requirements for enrolling property in the Rural Preserves program by eliminating the requirement for a conservation assessment plan and eliminating the covenant requirement, implicitly eliminating the requirement that the land be enrolled for a minimum of 8 years. Stipulates that only class 2b land that is currently classified as agricultural homestead, or that was	Art. 4, section 4 eliminates the requirement for a conservation assessment plan and strikes the requirement that the land be enrolled for a minimum of eight years, and be subject to a covenant agreement.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 7: Green Acres and Rural Preserves	
	<i>properly</i> classified as Green Acres land in 2008, is eligible for enrollment in the Rural Preserves program, and that the land must be contiguous to class 2a land under the same ownership that is enrolled in Green Acres.	
4	Application. Eliminates the description of the covenant agreement and all references to it.	Art. 4, sec. 5 requires applications for enrollment in Rural Preserves to be accompanied by an aerial photograph or satellite image of the property, and requires application forms to set forth the consequences to the property owner of termination of qualification. Requires the application form to contain a recommendation that land that is likely to be changed to a nonqualifying use should not be enrolled.
5	Additional taxes. Eliminates all references to the covenant agreement from the additional taxes section of the rural preserves program. Also provides that property that is initially enrolled after May 1, 2012, will be subject to a five-year payback requirement rather than a three-year requirement.	Art. 4, sec. 6 eliminates all references to the covenant agreement from the additional taxes section of the rural preserves program.
6	Land removed from program. Provides that any land that was properly enrolled in the Green Acres program as of May 21, 2008, and was then removed from the program must be reinstated to the Green Acres program, in the case of class 2a property, or enrolled in the rural preserves program, in the case of class 2b property, at the request of the owner. Further provides that if any back-taxes have been paid with respect to properties being reinstated to the program, the county must refund the additional taxes to the property owner. Effective the day following final enactment.	No comparable provision
7	Covenants terminated. Provides that any covenants entered into for the purpose of enrolling in the rural preserves program are terminated.	No comparable provision
8	Study required. Requires the commissioner of revenue to explore alternative methods for valuing tillable and nontillable acreage enrolled in the Green Acres program or the Rural Preserves program, in consultation with the Department of Applied Economics at the University of Minnesota, the Minnesota Association of Assessing officers, and major farm groups. Requires the commissioner to make a report to the legislature describing the methodology to be used for the 2012 assessment prior to February 15, 2012.	No comparable provision
9	Repealer. Repeals statutory section providing definitions for rural preserve conservation assessment plans.	Art. 4, sec. 12, paragraph (a) same.
Sec.	Article 8: Minerals	Article 6: Minerals
	No comparable provision.	Section 1. Exempt entities; corporate franchise tax. Clarifies that businesses that conduct mining,

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 8: Minerals	Article 6: Minerals
		producing or refining of ores, as well as metals and minerals, are exempt from the corporate income tax.
	No comparable provision.	Section 2. Sales tax exemption for production materials; other ores. Modifies the existing sales tax exemption for materials that are substantially consumed in the production of taconite to include similar materials that are consumed in the production of other ores, metals, or minerals if they are used by taxpayers who are subject to the net proceeds tax provisions.
	No comparable provision	Section 3. Refining. Establishes a definition of “refining” in the minerals taxation chapter.
1	Occupation tax rate; nonferrous. Reduces the rate of the occupation tax on minerals, other than iron ore and taconite, from 2.45 percent to 1.75 percent.	Section 4. Occupation tax rate; nonferrous. No comparable provision.
	No comparable provision.	Adds references to refining metals and minerals to the description of taxpayers who are subject to the nonferrous occupation tax. It specifically provides that mining includes the application of hydrometallurgical processes.
	No comparable provision.	Section 5. Gross income. Modifies the definition of gross income that is used in the nonferrous occupation tax provision to include metals or minerals and to remove the reference to energy resources.
	No comparable provision.	Section 6. Taconite occupation tax. Reduces the rate of the tax from 2.45 percent to .06 over a period of 11 years.
2	Net proceeds tax rate. Increases the rate of the net proceeds tax from 2 percent to 2.7 percent. In conjunction with the change in section 1, the combined occupation and net proceeds tax rates will remain 4.45 percent.	Section 7. Net proceeds tax. Does not change the rate of the net proceeds tax.
	No comparable provision.	Changes the imposition of the nonferrous net proceeds tax so that it applies to all ores, metals, and minerals, mined, extracted, produced, or refined within the state.
3	Distribution of net proceeds tax. Modifies the distribution of the net proceeds tax as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The five-percent share under present law for the city or town in which the mine is located is divided between the cities or towns in which the mine and concentration or processing plant are located. The commissioner of revenue will make this split on an equal basis between the governmental units with mining versus the concentration or 	No comparable provision.

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 8: Minerals	Article 6: Minerals
	<p>processing facilities. If multiple jurisdictions are involved (e.g., a mine in two towns or cities) the commissioner is to give “due consideration to the relative extent of such operations performed in each taxing district.” This language parallels the language used for allocating taconite production tax revenue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Makes similar changes to the 10-percent share for the school district in which the mine is located. This also parallels the language in the statute governing apportionment of taconite production tax revenue to the schools in which the mine and concentration plant are located. • Requires the county to pay one percent of its share to the Range Association of Municipalities and Schools. • Reduces the five-percent share for the Douglas J. Johnson economic protection fund to three percent. • Increases the 5-percent share for the taconite environmental protection fund to 7 percent. 	
	No comparable provision.	Section 8. Net proceeds. Provides that the same deductions apply to the net proceeds tax as are provided under the occupation tax. This increases the allowable deductions to include depletion and interest expenses.
	No comparable provision.	Section 9. Metal or mineral products; definition. Defines metals or mineral products to mean all of those that are subject to the nonferrous net proceeds tax.
	No comparable provision.	Section 10. Production tax distribution. Strikes a reference to a distribution to the Range Association of Municipalities and Schools in the production tax distribution guarantee law. Section 17 repeals the distribution to the Range Association of Municipalities.
	No comparable provision.	<p>Section 11. Production tax imposed. Modifies the rate that applies to the taconite production tax in two ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ the implicit price deflator that applies to the rate is eliminated; and ▶ the rate is reduced to account for the elimination of the distributions to the Taconite Economic Development Fund, the Range Association of Municipalities and Schools, and the city of Eveleth for the use of the Hockey Hall of Fame. <p>This provision permanently sets the rate at \$2.074/ton; the rate for 2010 production was \$2.38/ton and the rate for 2011 production is</p>

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 8: Minerals	Article 6: Minerals
		estimated to rise to \$2.412/ton under the implicit price deflator escalator.
4	Taconite production tax distribution. Eliminates the annual escalator (keyed to the indexing of the production tax rate) for the three-cent per ton distribution to towns in the taconite tax relief area. These distributions, starting with the 2012 distribution, would revert to the 3 cent per ton allowance, rather than the escalated amount.	Section 12 (paragraph (d)). Also eliminates the annual escalator for the town distribution, but sets the town amount at 3.1 cents per ton (House bill sets this at 3 cents per ton).
	No comparable provision.	Modifies the distribution of taconite production tax proceeds to cities and towns in recognition of the elimination of the distribution to the Range Association of Municipalities and Schools.
	No comparable provision.	Section 13. Property tax relief account. Maintains the level of the taconite production tax distribution to the property tax relief account at the 2011 level.
	No comparable provision.	Section 14. Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board. Maintains the distribution of taconite production tax proceeds to the Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Board at the 2011 level and eliminates future increases in this distribution, consistent with section 11’s elimination of the tax rate escalator.
	No comparable provision.	Section 15. Douglas J. Johnson economic protection fund. Maintains the 2011 level of taconite production tax distributions to the Douglas J. Johnson Economic Protection Trust Fund.
	No comparable provision.	Section 16. Taconite environmental fund. Provides that the distribution to the Taconite Environmental Fund will remain at its 2011 level.
	No comparable provision.	Section 17. Repealer. Paragraph (a), repeals provisions establishing the taconite economic development fund and the distribution of taconite production tax proceeds to the Range Association of Municipalities and Schools, the Taconite Economic Development Fund, and to the city of Eveleth for the support of the Hockey Hall of Fame, as well as a provision that related to the distribution of the increases in production tax revenues attributable to the implicit price deflator. Paragraph (b) repeals a provision that appropriates a state aid amount equal to a tax of 22 cents per ton, which has been distributed as if it were production tax proceeds. Paragraph (c) repeals a provision that established deductions for the computation of the nonferrous net proceeds tax, which is replaced in this bill.
Sec.	Article 9: Miscellaneous	Article 7: Miscellaneous
	H.F. 258. Same. (passed House March 3, 2011)	Sections 1 and 2. Revenue recapture for licensed ambulance services. Provide that licensed

HO	USE	SENATE
Sec.	Article 9: Miscellaneous	Article 7: Miscellaneous
		ambulance services may be claimants under the Revenue Recapture program without going through a county as a claimant agency.
1	Tax incidence study. Directs the commissioner of revenue to include information on the distribution of federal taxes paid by Minnesota residents in the <i>Tax Incidence Study</i> , which is presented to the legislature in March of odd-numbered years. Effective beginning with the study due in March of 2013.	Section 3. Same.
2	Appropriations. Appropriates \$15,000 per year on an ongoing basis to the commissioner for the expansion of the <i>Tax Incidence Study</i> to include federal tax burden in section 1.	Section 4. Provides the same appropriation for the expansion of the <i>Tax Incidence Study</i> .
	Appropriates \$35,000 in fiscal year 2012 for the study on the use of zip codes in collecting local sales taxes in article 4.	No comparable provision.
	See Article 1 for the income tax reciprocity benchmark study appropriation.	
	No comparable provision.	Section 6. Budget reserve reduction. Cancels \$8,665,000 of the balance in the budget reserve account to the general fund on July 1, 2011.
Sec.	Article 10: Cash Flow	
1	Cash flow account. Requires the commissioner of management and budget to transfer the unobligated balance of the statutory cash flow account to the general fund by June 30, 2013. The account balance on June 30, 2013, is estimated to be \$266 million dollars.	Article 7, section 5. Similar. Cancels \$216,000,000 of the balance in the cash flow account to the general fund on July 1, 2011.