

**Subject** Law enforcement data: electronic device location tracking warrants

**Authors** Lesch

**Analyst** Nathan Hopkins

**Date** February 5, 2020

## Overview

This bill clarifies distinctions between different types of warrants that a court may issue. The bill is concerned with “location-tracking warrants” for electronic devices issued under § 626A.42. These location-tracking warrants have their own unique restrictions and requirements under § 626A.42. The bill exempts location-tracking warrants from the other filing, sealing, and reporting requirements that apply to two different types of warrants: warrants for the interception of wire, electronic, or oral communications; and warrants for a pen register, trap-and-trace device, or mobile tracking device.

This bill is identical to the as-introduced version of H.F. 631, which the judiciary committee heard in the 2019 session and incorporated into its “data practices and civil law policy omnibus bill,” which was passed out of committee as H.F. 631, the first engrossment.

## Summary

Section	Description
1	<b>Application and orders</b> Amends the statute concerning the sealing and disclosure of a warrant for wire, electronic, or oral communications to distinguish and exempt location-tracking warrants from these general requirements.
2	<b>Nondisclosure of existence of pen register, trap and trace device, or mobile tracking device</b> Amends the statute concerning the sealing of a warrant for a pen register, trap-and-trace device, or mobile tracking device to distinguish and exempt location-tracking warrants from these requirements.



**MN HOUSE  
RESEARCH**

*Minnesota House Research Department provides nonpartisan legislative, legal, and information services to the Minnesota House of Representatives. This document can be made available in alternative formats.*

[www.house.mn/hrd](http://www.house.mn/hrd) | 651-296-6753 | 600 State Office Building | St. Paul, MN 55155